
The Law of Poles

The "Law of Poles" states: Like poles repel each other, and unlike poles attract each other. If the N poles of two magnets are brought close to each other they push apart. The same is true for the S poles of two magnets, they also repel one another. But if the N pole of one is brought together with the S pole of another they are strongly attracted to each other.



DECODE YOUR RELATIONSHIPS

ARDO CI

Copyright © 2013 by Ardo Ci All rights reserved No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the written prior permission of the author.

Contents

 Decode Your Relationship 	ps 1
--	------

7	Forward
18	The 5 Magnetic Types
22	Code 1
42	Code 2
60	Code 3
77	Code 4
93	Code 5

2 Decode Your Relationships 2

111	How do I know what my magnetic code is?
129	Combining the force fields
167	Interpretation of the magnetic codes

3 Decode Your Relationships 3

194 Relationships in families, friends and partners

4 Decode Your Relationships 4

211	Further explanation of the magnetic code
214	A powerful magic wand or just plus's and minus's, huh?
219	The perfect match – a word of extreme caution
221	The famous five
222	Has this really anything to do with astrology?
224	A classic case?
225	Are Aquarian men and Virgo women a good match?
227	Turn me on and let the force be with me
232	More about magnetite
236	Epilogue
241	American presidents
243	UK Prime Ministers
244	Famous men
245	Head to head
246	Famous women
247	Stage and screen
249	Popes
250	Most influential women of the 20 th century
254	References

Extra contents available for free - download from www.matchme.biz

Tables1	
Aries	3 - 147
Taurus	148 - 292
Gemini	293 - 437
Cancer	438 - 582
Tables2	
Leo	3 - 147
Virgo	148 - 292
Libra	293 - 437
Scorpio	438 - 582
Tables3	
Sagittarius	3 - 147
Capricorn	148 - 292
Aquarius	293 - 437
Pisces	438 - 582

Download these tables from www.matchme.biz

Forward

"The meeting of two personalities is like the contact of two chemical substances; if there is any reaction both, are transformed." Carl Jung (1875 – 1961) Swiss psychologist

Everyone has relationship problems. It is a simple fact of life. No one escapes from their chains. At their worst they produce wars. At their best, they produce a daily interaction' minefield of slights, misunderstandings and disputes. And that is just within circles of families and friends let alone the world beyond! Whilst there can be many reasons for these constant occurrences that dramatise our lives, there is an overriding one – the effects of the earth's electromagnetic field on our brains.

As long as we are on the earth, we are subject to its mercies, which are few. Our health and our minds are directly affected by it.

I once attended a seminar where the speaker was asked how could a person improve their relationship with their 'difficult' partner, to which he gave the following response; "About your relationship I know nothing but I can tell you this – if you love someone for all their faults, for all their peccadilloes and for all their whims, miracles will happen". Of course, he did not mean that you lie down and simply let them roll over you. He meant

that if you consider them from an external point of view rather than the usual internal one, you will understand the reason 'why' better and you will be less affected by, and more agreeable to, the other person.

And they will love you for it as you love them, those who allow you to be you.

All you need to understand is that we are being played with by our alignment with the earth's magnetic field. Each of us is attuned to it from birth and, as we progress through our lives, we are being pulled and pushed by it, as it underpins and influences everything we feel, do and say,

Surprisingly, there are only five different electromagnetic codes. The code you are is yours and cannot be changed. You may change your life, your lifestyle, your personality, but you cannot change your code. It is your umbilical cord to the earth and it predominates imperceptibly - mostly to yourself - in your feelings and behaviour but noticeably to everyone else.

All magnetic codes are equal in value and power but unequal in attributes. They carry a different amount of positive and negative attributes in how they manipulate us. Knowing the magnetic polarity of ourselves and others enables us to experience enlightenment and happiness in all our relationships.

This book has been a lifetime in the making. It requires the reader to suspend all pre-judgements and allow what is being presented to seep into the mind uncritically. A lot to ask I know but, in some cases, it will be vital, as most of us 'imagine' for ourselves as we read and layer what we read with that imagination. For example, if I say 'Madonna' some might imagine the superstar, others the mother of Jesus. It all depends on the context. But if I were to describe a detective in a novel, few would ever imagine the same person. So, I ask the reader again to suspend pre-judgements. If, in the end, the reader is unconvinced, please write to me - I want to hear from you.

At this stage, let me repeat, with confidence, there are but five codes and, therefore, five types of basic human behaviour. Imagine what this means for the human race, particularly in a world stripped of personality. This world I am talking about is borne from the Adam and Eve of all existence – duality. Everything has an opposite in or of itself. So, whilst the knowledge of what I reveal to you can be a powerful tool in management, not unlike hypnotism it can also be a devastating tool for good and bad in matters of influence, control and manipulation. In the hands of the right people this can bring enormous benefits but, in the hands of the wrong people, it can wreak havoc, chaos and destruction. Indeed, I suspect it is

already in use. I can only say that if enough ordinary people understand it, it can be used by the good to nullify the bad. I didn't create this. It emerged from my search for a scientific basis to explain and validate the existence of astrology. That is an altogether different dimension but it is important to clarify the difference between the magnetic codes and astrology. Much has been said to dismiss the value of astrology but the facts continue to challenge the non-believers that our personality traits can be seen or recognised as common to others born within a star sign. I would like to make it clear also I am not talking about horoscopes which are an entirely different subject. I am simply referring to the intrinsic characteristics recognised in people in different star signs or sun signs.

The magnetic codes being revealed are directors of our fundamental behaviours BEFORE personality is established and personality is 'influenced' by the magnetic codes. Part of our personality is itself influenced by our star sign. A way of understanding this might be analogous to the statement: the skin on your body comes before the clothes you wear. The skin can have a small variety of pigments (the magnetic codes) whilst the clothes can be various and different depending on your society. Another analogy, which might suit computer buffs better, is that the magnetic codes are the 'bios' whilst the 'operating system' is the personality.

It is astonishing to realise that, despite the billions of us that exist, we should be shackled with just five rudimentary magnetic processes. Then again, perhaps not! It is a pattern often repeated here on earth in other seemingly unrelated ways such as the five continents, five oceans, five lines of force and we, as man, have only five senses, five fingers, and five toes. It may be just a coincidence that we should conform like the rest of nature and consist of only five types of basic, mechanic-like, mental movements but my study would question that.

Our personalities and looks, which cloak this, are what appear to make us all different. But we are not different, except in magnetic type. Magnetic type is not made up - it is mechanical, unchangeable and inescapable. It manifests itself all the time in our thoughts and actions.

In the general scheme of things this may not seem to matter. Our interactions with others are either with those we know, often intimately, or those we hardly know at all or sometimes only in passing and, when it comes to large numbers of people, it is so watered down as to appear to be invisible. But it's not. It's always visible to those who can see. This book can make you see.

The question is: is it important? The answer is: most emphatically, 'yes'!

The code is not like astrology where personality traits can be suggested or identified or facial expressions can be recognised as being of one sign or another – some people can identify your birth sign by your looks. It is a fact that our facial expression often contains the 'look' of the sign. Nor is it like the wonderful 'enneagram', which identifies 9 types of human attitude. The enneagram shows that any one type can be easily manipulated by circumstances that are a moveable feast on the circle of behaviours it well defines.

No, the magnetic code is different because it is fixed and immutable. It never changes. It is the root of what you are and what everyone else is. It is the stamp of creation and, by its crease, you and I and everyone else has been set in stone. No matter who we are, where we live or what our circumstances may be, we robotically underpin all our actions in accordance with the code.

This is the code:

These 'waves' are drivers - magnetic lines of force - and

although there are only five of them, none is more or less important than another. All are vital to the continuation of life. Without them there would be no variety. But it is to be noted: too much of one driver in one person or another, in relationships, causes imbalances and sometimes destruction; not enough, and the relationships can become unmanageable. At the very least, they can, if understood, bring a wisdom and acceptance to the relationship – any relationship – that can guarantee to make it work.

There are two streams to navigate in grasping what is going to be conveyed here. One is 'the group', the other is 'one to one' relationships. Most people will care to know only about the latter but, really, it is the group that we should concentrate on, as it is made up of numbers of the former. For example, three people in a group produce 6 different relationships not to mention a seventh, which is the one they provide to others as a body.

The absolute dynamic of a group is the result of the interactions of the individuals within it. Given that there are only five types, imagine the importance of fully understanding not just the modus operandi of those who make up the group but the group itself and how that could be used in so many disciplines and situations. Indeed, I would go so far as to say it would give you 'crowd control'. Teachers, employment managers, sports managers, clubs, political committees, and

most frighteningly of all, politicians, amongst others could use it to control those they are responsible to and for.

Uniquely, the most successful group of all time - The Beatles was made up of each one of the five types. No, I haven't made a mistake; their producer, George Martin, was considered the 'fifth Beatle' for his contribution to their recordings. As you will find later in this book, they were completely balanced dynamically. When the split came, it was as much because the dynamic of the group had changed with the introduction of Yoko Ono . The second state of the second stat split them up, but her polarity did - suddenly they were unbalanced as a group and the individual modus operandi of each of them was exposed. George Harrison's famous, reflective statement, in typical type SSS response, was: "I'll be a Beatle as long as they want me to be a Beatle". Paul McCartney just kept working and writing and driving on in typical . fashion, the opposite end of the pole. John Lennon . had a new stage to stand on with Yoko, while Ringo . give sat patiently waiting for the outcome. George Martin . stood in the wings prepared to go either way. These were all typical 'code type' reactions to what was going on and no one could 'end' the group because they had been a balanced one until now.

With the inclusion of another, they quickly disintegrated. And the one who said 'enough' was McCartney - the most dynamic of them. Otherwise, I think there's a good chance they might

still be playing together at every opportunity, as McCartney continued and continues to do.

Balance in any group dynamic is important to understand. It is over and above personality because it 'informs' personality how to behave. When personality is stripped away, the code and the person's behaviour associated with it, remains operational.

Some might say an understanding of 'Sun signs' or Chinese Horoscopes would be the same thing and to some extent I agree but there are twelve of each of them and the full panoply of variations, which that brings, make it much less likely to be as effective or reliable in 'crowd control'. I once worked in a famous London hospital where the entire department of Psychology, consisting of several psychologists, were Aquarians. We might deduce from this that the head of the department had a thing for Aquarians or that there were a sufficient number of them to be magnetically drawn together but, knowing Aquarians as I do - I am one myself - they are highly individual and I couldn't imagine them doing it on purpose.

No, something else had happened. If all of those Aquarian psychologists had been, say, **•••••** types, which they could have been were their sun sign the main consideration, they would have hit off each other like billiard balls and the department could not have survived for long. But it did, and for years and years, proving to me at least that the people who

shared common traits associated with a particular star sign were not all 🖲 🖲 🖲 type but a mixture.

Duality is the basis for magnetism and magnetism is the 'act' of the code, which can be evinced as a form of magnetism where 'like' poles repel, 'unlike' poles attract. We are all human magnets. We have magnetite in our brain and this book reveals each person's polarity.

And when I know your polarity, I know you.

DECODE YOUR RELATIONSHIPS 1

The 5 Magnetic Types

To reduce the billions that make up the human race to just five types may seem fanciful if not downright delusional but the descriptions that follow are a reflection of underlying behaviour born of a magnetic relationship to the earth's magnetic field and, therefore. It's influences and not individuality. Human beings obviously come in an infinite number of varieties but when you remove the factors that 'personalise' us, such as our upbringing, our place in the world, our experiences and a myriad other things, you find what is left is the real, raw us. And the real, raw us is only one of five types. It is there even as we are born. We instinctively feel it in ourselves. It arises only occasionally, as it is largely hidden from us most of the time. Our 'personalities' that we 'think' are the real us are in charge, nearly all of the time.

We are controlled by the state of our brain at any given time. Most people recognise that as 'thought'. Yet, it has been realised scientifically that thought exists '*outside the brain*'. How can that be? Our brains are electrical and, like lightning, as the electricity charges from one point to another, it carries 'thought'. But this is not what the magnetic code identifies. In order for the brain to carry out its conductivity, it needs a magnetic field. For the magnetic field to come into existence,

we need to be magnetised. And so we are. Magnetite in our brains links us to the earth's magnetic field.

We are subject to its position, variations and manipulations and they predetermine our behaviour by our personal alignment to the earth's magnetic field. In addition, we have to remember this field is being bombarded by the solar winds as well as the usual effects of the Moon. Our personalities may fluctuate from time to time but our 'driver' - our alignment - never changes. Whatever our personal circumstances, including anyone affected by physical or mental incapacity such as those unfortunate enough to be struck by such horrors as Alzheimer, magnetite does not diminish nor does its link to the earth's magnetic field.

To make the code understandable in its effects on the way we are, it has been necessary to use words and expressions that at times appear to 'personalise' the type. Always remember as you read on that it is revealing the manner of behaviour underlying everyone within each type. There are moments in your life when you will see what is being described with ease and clarity but most of the time it is masked by daily life routines. Once you peel back the upper layer and see the 'attitude' you will find yourself removed from the intensity of exchange and be better enabled to observe with impunity. You will find yourself understanding more and you will be awakened.

It was once pointed out to me how incredible it was that there were so many different versions of the human face and so many different faces in the world that it just did not seem possible. When the head is removed from the body, shaven and all hair is removed it is impossible to tell male from female. But it is possible to tell White, Black, Yellow, Red and Brown skin. Note the five types again. This makes for a useful analogy to the soulmate code. The human face is infinitely variable and yet, at its base, it comes with five essential features – eyes, ears, skin, nose and mouth through which it reveals the five senses: sight, hearing, touch, smell and taste. If the brain had no thought patterns these senses could nevertheless exist but they would not be ratified by the person experiencing them.

To that, scientists have added a number of other, more refined, senses. One of these is 'magneto reception'. This, as I have described elsewhere in the book, is the ability to detect changes in a magnetic field to perceive direction.

We all have this ability and it is this hidden sense that is the 'soulmate' code. Its revelation of direction is the underlying key to our behaviour and our behaviour "informs our personality" in a way that is often referred to as 'the sixth sense'.



A description of each of the 5 magnetic types

How each of the five types commonly behaves as a result of our natural magnetic inclination, is heavily masked by personality, but it is clearly observable at times of stress when the 'front' we put on has been ripped away by the circumstances we find ourselves in.

I am often asked to strip out the effects of the magnetic code in our behaviour from our personality so that it can be realised more easily. This is very difficult because one is mechanical, the other is reactionary. On the following pages you will find information on how the code translates into personality traits.

What you read will not describe you or anyone else – except those specific stated examples – personally. Many other factors combine to make you who you are. But you will find the gearing to your life, and others lives, is the same for all those with whom you share the same magnetic code.

Your electromagnetic force field (EMF) is fixed. Your personality is not.

CODE 1

••••

Paul was the bass player for a band in the 1960's. He was pretty cool. In fact he was pretty hot. Whilst everyone in the band developed introvertly and extrovertly as the case warranted and were great at what they did, Paul was not only as good at what he did, he was as good as what they did too. While they jumped and played and rattled and rolled, and he did too, he had another element to his functionality – he never stopped doing it. Even in the quiet moments, he was a 'driving' force. He became good at writing songs too, and producing them, and singing them, and doing the backing vocals and playing all the instruments when the band either weren't looking, or even when they were but failing to listen to what he said he wanted them to do.

He didn't try to oppress the rest of the lads. Indeed, he often delighted in his normal position as 'one of the band'. He just could not stop his creative juices from flowing. He never appeared to be any more prominent as a member than anyone else. Actually, that spot was taken by another member who had formed the band and was also equally talented. No, Paul was simply an unstoppable energy. He pushed. Fearless in facing change and often bringing it about, he constantly strove to ever

greater challenges and heights. Even when the group broke up he still went on building, developing and expressing his talents.

One **••••** in history was a seemingly quiet fellow - very quiet in fact. But he was steely in his determination to get what he wanted. What he wanted was to lead a country and to do that he joined the revolution and then became a thorn in the side of his enemies. As luck would have it, his main contender for the crown of leader was another **••••** but with a more agreeable personality. They seemingly appeared to get along but, below the surface and beyond their common enemy, they were more opposed to each other than the opposition they faced. Each had his own determined way of doing things and whilst they allowed each other to get on with it, when they were together they clashed, often bitterly Eventually, although it could never be proven, one took care of the other like the Mafiosi would do.

From battlefields to business, **••••••** types like 'order'. They are prepared to wait and can be stubborn beyond stupidity. They are also friendly – friendly to everyone. Very surface, they are 'people' people and love getting their hands dirty and working hard. They have incredible energy. They appear to have a child-like honesty about them and their dealings with others.

Not many of them ever do rise to be the one in charge and I think this is the reason – they can't hide their honest endeavours to do what is often necessary. This can annoy others. Hence, their rocky road to the top. But when they do reach the top, they are absolutely tenacious and ruthless.

One I knew could be all over you like a rash one day and your mortal enemy the next. She shrugged off criticism with an embarrassed but resigned grin. I can be lack tact. It is just as well because they say it like they see it. When the devil takes them, they can be the most vindictive of the five types, and in those cases where they have been unbalanced by their life experiences, they can be utterly ruthless.

Dave was a quietly spoken, reserved, bookish sort of guy. He came from a large family so that may have subdued him a bit but he was never wanting for readiness to tackle what came his way. He summed up the adage 'talk quietly and carry a big stick'. They could have modelled Don Corleone in the Godfather on him.

It would be a mistake to think that **••••** type will have a blazing personality. They may have. They may not. As I repeat many times, this is not about personality itself. It is about the presence of a particular directional, electromagnetic force field that gives rise to personality but it is not personality itself. Take, for example, J. Craig Venter, the man behind the

human genome project which promises to transform our lives in the near future. He is **••••**. He does not have a blazing personality. In fact he comes across as quite a nice, reserved, softly spoken, subdued individual. Now compare him to another of this EMF type, Oliver Reed. Here we have hell raiser himself in the flesh – loud, brash, unbridled thrusts of energy and menace. Another **••••** was the great actor, Richard Harris, who was like both of the others at different times in his life. All the same **••••** type, but the image depends on the time and place. However, scratch the surface of them all and what you get is a serious dedication and application to whatever task they undertake.

The pace and direction of the electromagnetic pulse makes these people thrive on expression and feed off holding court. They have no 'receivers' (, just 'transmitters' (). It gives them great expulsive drive in their behaviour and no respite from the daily challenge.

Strangely, they think they are quite laid back whereas, in truth, they are very pushy in doing anything and can sometimes be like an express train through a station. They have a superabundance of, usually, unrestrained, nervous, driving energy bubbling just underneath the surface like a volcano constantly ready to erupt. This can cause them to hurt or give offence and, in turn, be hurt or take offence easily, in equal

measure, but their intention is the opposite – they just want to say it like it is.

They reach out to others and see everyone they meet as 'new hope'. They are from the 'shoot first and ask questions later' brigade, full of energetic derring-do and carelessness with it to boot. They will give freely of themselves in communicating with others. Later, in their quiet moments, they may question themselves, worried that they may have offended someone in some way unnecessarily. Then, without retaining any malice, they will shrug their shoulders and act as if "these things happen" and accept their fate. They love company and thrive on new relationships. They energise in crowds but especially so when it is new company. In turn, it energises them more. If the company is returning the energy then it becomes even more intense.

but warm and protective friends – however long it lasts, which usually is not long. They blow hot and cold with their relationships faster than the day turns to night.

As they can't be controlled, they always tend to be in danger of going over the top in their behaviour. Above all, they love to impress. Certainly, we all like to be liked and they, of course, do, too, but it is an inconvenience and cumbersome feature of the human condition to them and it is quickly discarded without care when they lose control, which can be often. Despite their dedication to the purpose, they can change their minds more often than the weathermen. Their attention has to be constantly corrected as they cannot hold a steady course for long. But for the time they are dedicated to their purpose, they are like heat-seeking missiles.

And they aren't exactly team players either, being too selfcentred, although they will play their part as required to get them to where they want to be. And they like to be the boss. But they are highly personable, colourful individuals in group situations. They are uni-directional, expecting things to be done "their way". Yet, for all that, they can very easily withdraw from the fray and happily go it alone.

The primary thing, which I have already stated is that they have no Receiver
in their make-up, no inlet valve. Without a Receiver there can be no absorption of external influences.

That does not mean **•••** types are not affected by external influences. They can be. What I mean is that they do not absorb, gestate and exchange like the rest of us. They simply can't. Instead they use what they hear or see to parry back with, like a bat striking a ball. Another way of understanding this is in observing what happens to a stage performer, especially a comedian, when confronting a very appreciative audience. Effectively what they 'pick up' stimulates them but it does not slow them down or make them deviate off-course. They are target driven, focused, obsessive even.

It is said that if you want to achieve a goal you have to imagine yourself doing it first. That way you become geared to the objective. Well, ******** types are extremely single-minded and, in every case, they will achieve what they set themselves. In that way, they are innately successful. No matter what they decide the purpose of their existence to be at any given time they will wholeheartedly commit themselves to it. Even if they decide to become a tramp, they will be the best tramp they can possibly be. This is a real talent that they have and we can see it as the key characteristic in many a figure on the world stage whether they be murderers, madmen, superstars or showgirls. But it all comes at a terrible price.

On the face of it they may appear to be part of the crowd. However, they are *never* part of the crowd. They are soloists. They stand apart from rather than as a part of groups even

whilst being within them. They bounce off people rather than integrate with them. This gives them a really lonely, stand-off position in their dealings with others whilst you will always see them desperately strive to appear to be engaged with everyone if that is the requirement. Others, however, sense their real position, which is disinterest in anything but their own. It is not quite selfishness, rather self-centredness. Remember, they do not have the capacity 'to receive' so it is not calculated.

They can be unusually generous. The purpose is always to 'buy' into the other person until they find flaws. As part of their EMF they will latch on to people from time to time and be totally devoted to them. They will seek to control and manipulate them over time because their way is the only way. This always results in stresses. Once the flaws appear they can switch to a completely opposite view of you and the generosity immediately ends. This makes them appear to be cold and shallow - calculating, even. And it may be true sometimes but no truer than it is for the rest of us. However, their EMF dictates an all-or-nothing approach and so your flaws are just as valid as your talents when it comes to the way they see you. In other words it really makes no difference in the end as you will either enslave yourself to their wishes or purpose - whatever it may be and whenever it may change - or they will give you the full treatment in reverse. One minute you are God's gift to the human race; the next you are the devil incarnate. Irrespective, their approach is the same - full on.

This causes enormous disputations around them. Loyalty is not their strongest suit; intention is. Notice I did not say 'good' intention. It may be good or it may not be. That does not matter to **•••••** types. They can be dangerous with what they say. Little is given to considering the feelings of others. They do what they do and say what they say without real consideration and only from the benefit of their own position. As they get older they realise it may be better to temper their outspokenness occasionally than risk another burst lip.

When they have certain natural artistic talents, they can be incredible at producing the goods. Again this is because the **••••** types are driven. They can't stop themselves from doing what they do and the outcome of that is more of the same talent repeated over and over. Their dedication to their task is simply like breathing to the rest of us. Only *they* will decide when it's over. And when they do they are just as dedicated at turning their back on it and everything that goes with it without a thought.

That said, they do not often come to the fore. Fewer world leaders than you might expect have come from this type. Only three out of 15 British prime ministers and five out of 43 U.S. presidents have had this magnetic field. And just one in Russia – Boris Yeltsin. And I think that is because they are very honest in who, and what, they are and the rest of us do not like

being disarmed by their openness. It makes them appear weak.

In other arenas you find they are the driving force behind the activity and, although they favour taking the lead, either don't or are stopped by those around them from doing so. The reason for this is that the EMF is strong and - the way it works for them - so powerful that those around them instinctively flinch when they see them reach for power. This, in turn, makes **••••** types try to subdue their overt expression of apparent thoughtlessness in excluding others from their processes to achieve their target. No matter what the position is that they are in and no matter how many people surround them, they are soloists at heart and it will keep rearing its ugly head time after time.

When they fail, they retreat with resigned acceptance, sort themselves out quickly and bounce back as if nothing had happened.

When they truly head their own domain they are quietly demanding enough to be respectfully revered by those around them in a God-like manner. When that doesn't happen they disconnect themselves from that person or those people. They really need to be told how great they are to dispel the doubts that naturally arise when left alone.

In this, it can be seen how the magnetic code is not a description of personality but informs it. The direction of the ever energy is ever outward and this underlies the conditioning that makes the personalities achieve what they do. Personality is arrived at by a combination of influences including, background, education, acumen, astrological signs, gender and many other things. Given the make-up of those elements, you then have the level of achievement, and the arena in which the individual operates, to contend with. All of these are masks to the code. At the code level, ever stypes all behave the same way. This 'way' is the engine to their machines and they can, and do, achieve great things and behave in exactly similar ways with each other because of their EMF.

So whether we are talking about a self-doubting woman who is a pilot, a quiet man who is a house-husband, a murderer who

works as a clerical assistant in a factory or the leader of a country or the greatest singer in the world, all will display the same tendencies, cause the same reactions and react the same way to all around them.

They are not team members unless the team can be convinced as to their way of doing things. The world is required to dance to their tune. It is never the other way round. They are in-yourface, no retreat, types. This means they cannot get on together with each other for any length of time. When they meet others who have the same EMF they inevitably clash with them. Like trying to put two magnets with the same polarity together, it can't be done. They repel one another. They push each other apart. Effectively, you will never find more than one in any group. If you do find more than one together, it is either because there is no other way (a life and death or family situation) or the relationships are relatively young (in a work environment for instance). These are circumstances where there is no way out and the result will be weeping and gnashing of teeth. Lots of feuds and emotional eruptions, disruptive behavioural patterns and competitive exchanges will inhabit the environment.

That said, they will all always show a devotion to family; a loyalty, which will be subjected to the same demands as any other. My way is the only way. They may fall out with some family members from time to time – in fact, I guarantee they will

but they will always be drawn back by their need to fulfil their devotion to what they perceive as one of their life targets. And once they have aimed at a given target, that's it, they are locked on.

••••• types do not like to play second fiddle. If they have to, it is accepted in a pragmatic way to keep them on target and get them to what they are after but the payment for this can be great as they will make things 'electric' in the mix. It will not be an easy ride for anyone else involved. However, by 'being there', they will force the matter to a successful conclusion. Ultimately they want you to make the goal because it is also their goal, even if they are not in charge.

They are relentless, unstoppable, tenacious and forthright. If you need to get something done and you happen upon a ••••• type, count your blessings because you have hit the jackpot – it will get done! And quickly. In fact, so quickly, it will probably make your head spin. Whether they do it themselves or arrange for it to be done by someone else they will become your captain. They can cut through the dross like a hot knife through butter.

Such powerful beings, they fearlessly confront the issues in a way the rest of us can only envy but I feel sorry for them. Their commitment to the achievement is unequalled yet it is that very thing that makes them so difficult for others to be able to get

along with them. They push, push, push and find it hard, if not impossible, to listen to others. Sometimes they feign to do so but they have such rigid faith in themselves that they can overcome anything so well that they easily dismiss consideration they might otherwise give to anyone else's thoughts on the matter. They so much want to be part of the group but they can never be. They are always going to be alone as the pinnacle serving others. If I could give them a gift it would be the gift of at least one Receiver . Without this they remain apart from rather than a part of whatever process they are engaged in but what wonderful drivers they make to ensure things get done.

Although, like everyone else, they can be let down – in fact, they feel let down by people all the time - in the end they can't be hurt because nothing can penetrate their shield. The shield is not one created on purpose for defence, it is actually created accidentally out of their attack. By the nature of their EMF profile position they are given only to outward expression of their being. Therefore, when they are in low mood, they remain impervious to blows from outside because they are unable to absorb outside influences.

Energy is one thing they have in abundance, notwithstanding a medical condition, albeit temporary or permanent. This is key to their electromagnetic pulse. One enhances the other. They also have a lot to say and are not easy to restrain when holding

court. Even the quiet ones of this type can let you have it with a quick glance. The energy pours out of their eyes like a raygun. In simple terms, these people, overall, have an intensity of character - the energy emission is non-stop. Let's dance.

In personal relationships

A ●●●● meeting another ●●●● for the first time will be very gratifying for both. Naturally eclectic, they will converse about anything and everything, energising each other to burnout.

Their relationships with one another can be summed up in the old adage "hail fellow, well met". They can be the life and soul of the party but its all surface. They lack substance. The promising balance initially found in their own type's company dissipates in time leaving them with a feeling of "what next"? They can, and do, often erupt in attack mode directed at one another – this, I think, is caused by the frustration they get from the company of the other. We're talking two different rails of the same rail track – going to same place and maybe, simultaneously but, definitely, independently. They cannot get on well together. It just is not possible.

A ●●●● with a ●●●● will begin in the same fashion and the ●●●● will tire out before the ●●●● ever tires of soaking up their energy like a black hole. This leaves the ●●●● clamouring for more ability to satisfy the demands of ●●●●.

Whilst this situation is better for the SSSS it is less than perfect for the SSSS character. They need a more responsive partner and, whilst they enjoy the fact that the SSSS absorb their every living breath, they intermittently crave more of a threat coming back even when they know it will cause them hassle. But this is the best electromagnetic configuration for the four transmitter types.

As for being with those with ●●●● types, they are not magnetically destined to succeed. There can be an awful lot of

sparks. Neither side gives way in close combat but the relief point is in the one in that allows for the necessary breathing space. Still, the **•••• ••** will continue to blaze a trail whatever the outcome. They overwhelm the three transmitter types who are no shrinking violets. There is not enough to absorb the positive and highly dynamic energy flow of the four transmitter types. There needs to be more receivers. In relationships of this type they usually end up apart living completely separate lives even when they remain together. The **••• ••** over-react from time to time as a result. This resistance is vital to the four transmitter types, which is why they court danger in seeking out others with the same or nearly the same energy levels as themselves.

The best relationship $\textcircled{\ensuremath{\mathbb{O}}}$ types can opt for will be with their complete opposites, the four receiver types $\textcircled{\ensuremath{\mathbb{O}}}$ but, frankly, they probably wouldn't recognise it because the latter absorb everything and, as I have said, I know how much they love danger rather than comfort, which there will not be from those with four receivers. They magnetically, perfectly match but the key to magnetic success is most often found when there is one extra transmitter - $\textcircled{\ensuremath{\mathbb{O}}}$ - between the two. It acts like a kicker. Here, there is no excess – one pushes, the other pulls.

Whilst ●●●● types should opt for ●●●● types, and the latter can take all they have got, I suspect the ●●●● types

will actively seek others more unsuited to their magnetic type because of the sparks. They need to be unchallenged whilst secretly desiring the opposite.

Those with a balance of e e e are also well able to deal with e e e types. Again, though, there is a lot of dicing with death, and for the fulsome, driving and dangerously living e e e that is fine but it is very tiring for the other party who retreat, and need to retreat, often to recover, after which they come back very happily for more. On the whole, this is a very sparky relationship, which can work, but only just, and not without pain.

The same is true for their relationships with $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\baselinestime}}\ensuremath{\textcircled{\base$

In Business

As a boss they are a tour de force but that has to be tempered with the notion they will also tend to be loose canons. They will

tether others but will baulk at being tethered themselves. They will show great dedication and loyalty to their task and will apply themselves wholeheartedly to it. They can be expected to go for it on the achievement stakes. A problem they have is translating their magnetic force field onto their colleagues in a way that works for all of them. They are so abundantly dynamic, giving offence is as natural to them as charm. You just can't be sure which of those two things you are going to get. Staff working under their control will feel suppressed and controlled. Clearly, the level of responsibility and the area of responsibility will be key to the success of the appointment of one of these mortals. For example, if they are put in the position of manager of a localised group or a task such as a branch, they will need to be supported by people who have mostly Passive - S EMF. If not, there will be ructions. On the other hand, if they are manager of a sales environment and they are not given an entirely free hand to extend that hand to the staff who will, or should be, mostly Active - 🖲 EMF, sales will decrease.

As employees, be grateful you've managed to capture one of these souls, especially if sales are important to you because they are the best that money can buy. You just wind them up and away they go. Unstoppable! They'll need a minimum of overt supervision but a large dollop of covert overseeing. They are very individualistic, not given to teamwork. Teamwork for them means they are the leader and everyone else is in the

team apart from them. If they have a problem they will be forthright in making it known.

Best position for them: Sole ownership of a company.

CODE 2

$\Theta \Theta \Theta \Theta$

A friend of mine who I grew up with was kindness personified. He was ese and although he was the cleverest in the group, his softness gave the impression he was slightly behind the curve. He was forever being shouted at to 'come on' and 'catch up'. Despite his innate intelligence he never acted as though he believed it himself. Another of his qualities and common to them all is their loyalty and trustworthiness. It can be sorely tested at times but ese types simply crumble in abject regret when they find they are on the receiving end of their misplaced confidence in someone.

One SSS I knew – let's call him John - got his first job with an international card company and in his openness with his new colleague treated him as a friend and told him things about the job, his girlfriends, and his family in their many conversations. One day, John went to work to find that his colleague's desk was cleared. When he inquired why, he was told that the guy had been promoted to manager and wanted to see John as soon as he came in. On arriving at the new office of his friend, John held out his hand and warmly congratulated him on his promotion. The manager said thanks and then immediately said that as part of his new job he was looking at improving procedures and there would be no place in his plans

for John. He could leave immediately. Instead of punching him in the nose, my friend disappeared for two days to be found very upset in his garage by me. He never once thought or spoke of taking action against the firm.

They can be very hurt by what they experience but they are brilliant at suffering it. Rarely do they overtly display their true feelings of grave disappointment.

Another SSS rose to be high ranking in his political party. He was very ambitious but not for his personal aggrandisement rather for the people who he felt he was representing. At the same time there was another in his party who was also high ranking but an altogether more personally ambitious type. Together they became great friends and a powerful combination. An opportunity suddenly arose whereby one of them could be crowned king. Because they were equally talented and admired, a compromise was reached whereby one would take the role of leader and the other, unusually, would be given complete autonomy in the management of the finance. At a certain time the agreement was that e e e would take over as leader in a show of firm continuity for the party. In the end, SSS got the same treatment as my friend, John, and a schism ensued.

Another I knew was so considerate of the needs of others that she would often give up her own time to encourage her

employers to do charity works. She made strenuous efforts to help people less fortunate than herself and never for any personal reward or recognition. Sees are totally unselfish and can always be expected to act straight.

In gatherings they are charm and propriety combined absorbing with interest and good humour all conversations about them. They don't take up the floor or ever look to hold court but that does not mean they are in any way reticent. They will speak forcibly and noticeably when required but give a false impression of shyness.

One I knew of was a bookkeeper for a large company on the continent. Early one morning he arrived at the managing director's office whereupon he told him he had done something wrong for which he wanted the assurance of the md that he would be charged with fraud when the police arrived, whom he had already called.

This was a shock to the md as the bookkeeper was one of their best and most trusted employees which was one of the reasons they did not promote him as he was so good at his job.

He told the md how disappointed he had been when, years earlier, he had been overlooked for promotion and they had appointed an outsider. He had made every effort to encourage his new boss to do certain things with the company's money

but it was to no avail. So he decided to do it himself. He then went on to explain what he was doing working weekends and after hours during the week. He had set up a number of Swiss bank accounts and began to invest the company's money in the way he couldn't convince his boss to.

With that he handed over the account details to the astonished md which showed he had made £2m profit for the company. Further he had never taken a single penny for himself. Against the wishes of the md and the board, but at his insistence, he was charged and jailed. The company immediately appointed him director of finance and held monthly board meetings in the jail.

This absolutely true story sums up SSS type.

Let's be absolutely clear, we are talking serious inductive power here. Whereas those with four transmitters (O O O O) who blast and blow, huff and puff their way to your attention, four receivers O O O O quietly, purposefully and powerfully just draw it from you. They are supreme at appearing benign and masking their vice-like grip of power over everything around them.

means these people can take all you have got and more. They could be incredibly manipulative and violent with it but, instead, you normally find their power to absorb is so great

it actually overwhelms any desire they may have to use it for their own personal ends. Whenever such power does raise its ugly head, I can assure you, it is purely as a result of illness, which can beset any of us, as their mindset momentarily becomes twisted.

What we have here is beguiling innocence, smiling, inviting; drawing strength from your strength. They are so warm and easy-going you find yourself almost wanting to reach out and put your arm around them or pat them on the back. Careful when you are doing it though. Be certain in your mind it is for the right reasons. If it is not, they will find you out and you'll know what it's like to be on the end of a vicious bite.

Truth is all to these most individual, self-supporting, yet mesmerising, types. They are very sensitive to their surroundings and quietly judgmental. They appear to be 'other-worldly' and all knowing. If ever there was a case to show the power of understanding that the opposite of what you see is what you get, this is it. You probably think that because there are no transmitters in their make-up there can be little energy to recognise, but how wrong you can be. Oddly enough, in their heads they have truly the biggest personality of all. Well, think about it. What would you expect when they are able to receive so much they can take some of it, mimic it and throw it back with spades – and all without so much as causing a blink to their receivership. As with all duality, everything has

an opposite and often that opposite is the opposite of what you expect. For example, **•••••** give the impression of being strong and powerful but in fact they are more like the lion in The Wizard of Oz, whereas you might think **•••••** would be withdrawn and weakish but they are the ones who are immensely strong, powerful and resilient.

I have stated before that electromagnetism is not directly about personality but I must mention here that it is really an extraordinary thing that e e e e people can appear to have a huge outward personality. They love the bright lights. They need to be in the spotlight or wherever the energy comes from They love company and happily appear to be part of the group but, make no mistake, there is only one shining star. They can take their place at the back but, believe me, they are working their way through to the front.

Don't be fooled. They are intensely private individuals and, as a group, they are frighteningly objective-driven much like the characters in the film The Children of the Damned! They can patiently wait for the moment when what they have conceived should happen, does happen – no matter what the setbacks.

They are absolutely primed and aimed at providing for the best interests of people in general. They really, really, really do care about the common good. They are extremely calculating and deterministic. The light they are given is never enough to

match their power of absorption so the result is that those around them must constantly work on their commitment to achieving whatever may have been agreed between the parties. Oh! It won't matter a jot what may develop during this process whether you've been a clown prince or made mistakes of gigantic proportions, or cost them a great deal through stupidity, they will barely blink providing you show, and they continue to recognise that, essentially, you are still on the beam. But fall off that beam for any reason and you're dead. Four receivers means they will suck you into the wilds of outer space and you will never be heard of again. Nothing can annihilate you faster, I promise.

The real power here is that they are very serious about life and responsibility. They expect the same from you but know that it is unlikely you will show such a fine trait, so they make giant allowances for you. You, of course, unsuspecting, get to meet or come into the company of one of these dearies and they allow you to behave in your usual moronic way. Indeed, they'll even applaud you as you go out of your way to impress them with your box of smarts. And you will happily think you are doing just that. Then you might tipple over into disappointment for them should you fail to deliver. And nothing, but nothing, will get your head taken off faster than that little mistake. However, let's say you've made it into the inner sanctum first, well, okay, you'll survive but let me assure you, you're being watched.

These souls are very tuned in to local conditions. They are also filled with bonhomie and good humour. There seems to be few grey areas with them. They can attract, detract and distract in equal measures. They can be very sensitive to the vibrations around them and can be subdued by them. They are as independent as you can get and, whilst they emit a persona of care and deep appreciation for things within their purview, they are immeasurably strong in confronting the worst.

"The one's who aim to fight, well, we aim to please" could well be their motto for that is exactly the mindset of SSS. They accept the inevitable, and bravely. They are controlling, influential and like to understand their situation only to the extent that it enables that control to be exerted. There is a tendency to 'imagine' things into existence rather than confronting the reality but, once faced, they are stalwarts in accepting their fate.

These people are energy absorbers. The electromagnetic waves are all towards them. They have no kicker
to stimulate the energy from others so they benignly absorb everything there is or they can find. They thrive on receiving energy from others and feed off caring and consideration. When they have over-indulged on too many waves, they become quiet, but never oppressed. They think they are actively helping those in need, 'those poor saps they are listening to', but it is simply a

way to gain their attention so that they can expose the full range of their considerable smarts. Strangely, they think they are forthright but, in truth, they are very laid back and unfazed by what may be happening around them.

They have a superabundance of space to receive energy. I do not mean they are dark matter – they most certainly are not. Quite the opposite in fact. I mean that they are as powerful in drawing energy as the Sun is in expressing it. They are the complete antithesis of their polar opposites, $\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$, who are unable to receive at all, but give everything they have got – crash, bang, wallop and there you have it; unbridled thrust. For the $\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ types, their energy is geared only "to receive" and it is usually unconstrained. It has no effect on them whether the giver requires their attention but their intention is the opposite – they just want to hear it like it is. In truth, they don't really mind what the other person feels or is saying. They are simply drawing the energy they need themselves from the other person.

They don't initiate reaching out to others. It is a chore to them that they have to bother going through the process of 'getting to know you'. However, others reaching out to them will be decidedly appreciated. Despite the apparent reticence they may seem to show in their meeting with people for the first time, believe it or not, they are extremely forthright, personalitydriven and non-self aware. In their guiet moments, they never

question themselves, and are totally unconcerned that they may have offended someone in some way unnecessarily. There is an exception to this. They do not believe in cruelty and if a person has been bitterly hurt or offended no one can go to the extremes e e e e people will to amend the situation. If you can take it, however, and they know you can, you'll be burned at the stake without care.

Apologies are out of the question - more chance of hell freezing over. What is there to apologise for when they aren't aware they have even caused an upset? They love company they already know, as it energises them, but meeting new company is difficult for them to have to endure, as they do not thrive on forming new relationships; it makes them feel slightly awkward. On these occasions, they usually go into 'observer' mode whilst smiling at you.

However, once the first meeting or two with 'new company' has been accomplished they are immediately treated as old company and e e e again in their element. In turn, they are most energised by old familiar company over whom they will hold court.

They can happily engage in any event as the life and soul of the party but it is all surface. As long as the party is being generated they will gladly take their place. The promising balance initially found in their own type's company dissipates in

time leaving them with a feeling of "what next"? Once the party is going, they have a strong desire for more and more – i.e. no full capacity syndrome.

Imagine what we've got here - four receivers and no transmitters. All the other types propel magnetic energy outwards to a greater or lesser extent but not this type. They wholly - and only - have the capacity to receive. They can take all you've got in double and then more and more and more. That is what they do. Their opposite, the ******* types, have no inlet valves, so they beam themselves out of existence with the incredible lightness of being but, ******* means 'deep, very deep' and very understanding. They are insatiable for energy. With it comes wisdom. Additionally, they are invariably, highly moralistic and correct in their demeanour.

If **••••** people were to be described as 'electricity' then **••••** people would be described as 'reflecting light'; two extreme expressions of the same energy, or the sun and the moon respectively.

I can only repeat the mantra - "This isn't about personality but what informs personality" - but at no other time do you need to really understand this than now. SSS may well exude a bright and breezy personality but I can assure you whether they do or not they will be extremely serious beneath it all. These guys are formidable. They are constantly assessing, weighing and judging everything around them. They don't often become leaders in the modern world although they are always striving to be top dog. When they do, they are introspective, retiring and appear to others as controlling, deep, aloof and suspicious. Interestingly, most of the presidents in the first seventy-five years of the modern history of the USA were **See** but there have been none in the 20th century. Only two SSSS - Alec Douglas-Home and Gordon Brown have ever been prime minister in the UK and neither of them were elected by the people, having stepped into their positions due to the pressured resignation of a former incumbent.

They have great backbone and can often be found supporting the underdogs, and the most underprivileged, but not in a loud brash way. That's not their style at all. They 'receive' not

'transmit' so they use their 'receivership' to shift the weight of interest to what needs to be done.

They are big hitters. They can dance with the best of them but the natural state is to be serene and calm. So, whenever they are on parade, they feign to present themselves as the same as everyone else. But they are not. They never will be. They are supremely different in that they offer others the support they need because they themselves need their emissions of light and energy.

The EMF is set for them soley to attract. This can make them appear serious, sometimes austere, remonstrative. They may often compensate for their lack of
with a localised vibrant personality. In such cases, they can, and do, contribute well in groups. Indeed, they can often be found taking the lead. They are well capable of it. But the underlying fact is they are incredibly introspective and intelligent in their consideration of the forces around them because of their need to attract energy. So, they will often suppress their own innate desire to take charge and allow others to do so even whilst 'knowing' they would be better in charge themselves. They will then carry on with their own function whilst basking in the light emanating from you. It is possible too they are ensuring you are in the light.

They exude consideration for others but not for others' sakes particularly, rather for their own. That does not mean they are selfish but they are self-centred in the same way as ♥♥♥♥ types in that it is 'towards the self'. That is to say they centre themselves on the basis of incoming energy. They are not selfcentred in a selfish way; quite the opposite, in fact. Both of ♥♥♥♥ and ♥♥♥♥ are extremely unselfish. They are set to use what means are at their disposal to draw the electromagnetic fields available from others. When it does not happen, stand back, here comes some stomping of feet, the glad-rags are donned and the big 'let's have a party' attitude takes over. They don't like sitting-in at all. No light. Yes, we all need our quiet moments but rarely do ♥♥♥♥

They are also extremely kind and thoughtful. They have a natural instinct for what is 'required' given most situations. It's like an antenna for what is purposeful and what is not. This guide propels them to ensure they continue to attract the light which is their purpose. They also bring this gift to ensuring the balanced interaction between others is maintained. What a friend to have. They won't let you down. Heaven forbid what will happen if you let them down though.

Spirited, See people are very well adjusted. They have a keen sense of high moral values. Everything is advanced to best effect the laws of attraction. They are strong and appear

non-violent but, exceptionally, those that do go to the dark side use the same magnetic force to deviant and devastating purpose. Thankfully, this is very rare. In a general sense they are captivating and real party-lovers; great observers and serious show-offs. Bring on the lights and out they come. Yet, for all that, they are immensely withdrawn. The attractors make their persona different when in public than in private.

In personal relationships

In their relationships, they are the best out of the four other types for dealing with $\bigcirc \odot \odot \odot \odot$. In conformity with the principles of magnetism, they are their direct polar opposites and whilst they may electromagnetically consume each other, this does not make them the ideal partnership. Better for $\bigcirc \odot \odot \odot$ that there should be a little humility found in those with lots of transmitters but it should not be unlimited.

At a '6' on the SER (Scales of Electromagnetic Relationships), the best and most engaging partnership for SSS is SSS. This type are great performers on the world's stage and this provides a plentiful supply of dynamic and amusing action that

the SSS craves. Here we have a situation where the SSS can pour out the energy, which the SSSS can easily absorb but, at the same time, the remaining one SSS shares the power with the SSSS as they both have a receiver remaining. In other words they are both going the same way.

The SSS with a SSS (remember – the placement of the and Solves not matter) has prospects but, depending on the circumstances, I think the latter will be less interested in the former than the other way round. Again, here we see that the sparks can be generated by the SSS and be readily received by the SSSS but after that the balance is one of SS against SSS and this makes for a les than dynamic situation. In fact it makes the relationship 'pleasant' but there is a need for a shock to stimulate it.

When there is a combination of SSS and SSSS there is a natural affinity because of the one transmitter that sparks it. However, this is not enough to light the fire and the relationship will never take off beyond friendliness. Certainly, this coupling are not made to stay together in the one place for long. SSSS needs more transmitters and although SSSSS is attracted to SSSSS because they are not challenged by them, they too recognise they need someone with transmitters also.

As for **SSS** with **SSSI** can't believe such a relationship is possible unless they live on a mountain where there's no one

🖲 🗑 🖲 In Business

As employees, Sees are perfunctory and reliable. They expect the same from those around them. They apply themselves to their tasks with a determination unseen in any other type as they burrow deeply into the requirements of the job. As a boss they are formidable, and a combination of gifts of good observation and fearlessness in dealing with situations makes them a good choice for the job. They are ambitious. Added to that, they are kind. This makes them good leaders and good colleagues. They also make good team workers, integrating well with their team whilst keeping sufficiently aloof to be objective-oriented.

As the boss, there is a tendency to retreat, and to draw others to them rather than the other way round. This can give the impression they are isolated. Really, they are just acting as a

centre-pin for control. Subordinates bring their information and problems to the centre and the centre co-ordinates the overall activity. This is the only way they can occupy the position. However, what happens when they get themselves into it is they become more and more entrenched expecting more and more to be brought to them for adjudication rather than for leading the hunt.

They are tenacious, lucid and pragmatic in their dealings and given to be supremely stoical about life. These key character traits are the result of the inward profile of their EMF and remain with them from childhood to old age. And, finally, it is worth noting that the two computer operating systems, Microsoft and Apple, were founded by Bill Gates and Steve Jobs and both of them were $\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$. No more to be said.

Best position for them: Counselling.

CODE 3

Dutiful and reliable to those they may serve, some of the best people you could ever employ come from this code and it has to do with the magnetic flow being charged towards them. To make themselves happy can simply be because they can make you happy. Your happiness is intrinsically linked to their own. As their magnetic field is about attracting energy to them it's a perfect symbiosis. What they do is calculated mot primarily for your good but for their own. In that, they can prove to be the most considerate of the five types.

From 1964 to 1997 there were five successive UK prime ministers who were **eeee**. They presided over a period of great industrial and social change and they were all self-centred. That is to say they were all driven by the energy of attraction. Everything was done fearlessly for their benefit by ostensibly being for the people's benefit. But what an array of personalities they were, proving the difference between the magnetic type and the personality. Foremost amongst them

was Margaret Thatcher. It was interesting that she should have done to her what she did to her predecessor, both of whom, of course, were **eeee**. By comparison, in the whole of the 20th century, only one American president, Gerald Ford, who temporarily stepped in for a short period after the resignation of Richard Nixon was this type.

To those they favour they are extremely generous and forgiving. To those they don't, they can be the 'queen of the blue meanies'.

Richard Burton, Art Garfunkel, HM The Queen, George Michael, Princess Diana and Frank Sinatra to name but a few are all this type. What strikes you most when you see these names? They are all highly personable and friendly to the eye yet aloof in their bearing.

There is a marvellous story about Frank Sinatra that I think best shows up the innate kindness of **Beese**. I doubt very much that when you think of his name the word approachable does not come to mind. However; when he became successful Frank never forgot his roots and apparently used to clandestinely visit the old area where he grew up in. Unrecognised, he wonder wander around what had been his childhood neighbourhood listening to people talking and whenever he heard of someone in difficulty he would later

arrange for it to be supplied to them without them knowing who it came from.

Goodness is at the heart of this type but it can be hard to reach them to get it. Their magnetic field is satisfied when they can be altruistic but the basis for their altruism is stimulated by their delight in satisfying themselves by doing. They need longer periods of 'me time than any other type.

Fiery is the best word to describe those whose magnetic polarity is made up of three receivers and one transmitter. It may seem strange to say that. It might appear to be more appropriate for their direct opposites, @@@@@, but unlike them, it is a quiet, subdued, smouldering fieriness. It can erupt almost without warning. They have a great capacity for absorbing energy so, unsurprisingly, they can become very irascible when they want things to go their own way. They demand attention and thrive on being adored. They need the light and will do anything to attract it, not for itself, but so it can highlight them. This is totally unlike their opposites, the heavily dynamic Image: Second states and the second state their position or role take the beam and not them. To this end Set the most personable and charming of all the five magnetic types. Once they capture your attention you will be completely captivated. They can be absolutely enthralling,

The energy source here is toward the receptive. Their opposites, **•••••**, are all very forward and loud with their EMF, even 'obvious', but this group are very subtle to a point and then WHAM! The key magnetic trait that derives from the electromagnetic force field here is to draw the energy so it can be put to some useful purpose to them. And **•••••** types can produce a lethal cocktail of charm and persuasion to achieve their objective in whatever way it suits them. In addition, they can be extremely methodical in getting what they want. No stone is left unturned.

They are not the overt life and soul of a party but they are great party participants. No party should ever be without them as they can be gracious and smooth in their engagement with others. Never are they too loud. They are too centrist to not always remain aware of how they are being perceived so that they can continue to maximise on the attention or adoration they naturally demand. Whenever they find such adoration missing, they will blatantly persist to recover it, and can turn positively deadly and negative when, in the end, they think it is not going to be forthcoming. This may sound negative but it is quite the opposite. It's just what they do – attract energy!

They are very, very tough and have no problems dealing with obstinacy or bolshie opposition, usually meeting it head-on knocking its two front teeth out, if it can be done. Yet, they easily melt when they have been rejected, beaten or

confronted by an unpardoned or unpardonable error discovered in their behaviour, which they cannot defend. They tend to shrink away to lick their wounds like a scolded cat. This gives them an air of vulnerability which is another of their attractors although they are well able to take care of themselves.

The energy source here is very powerful because it provides people of this dynamic with the strength to drive forward and the ability to absorb the pressures that come when doing so. In quiet reflective moments, they will chastise themselves or feel racked by doubt but to the outside world this will be heavily masked and few ever get to see it. However, it is always evident in their manner to everyone who knows them well. They are able to home in on the souls of others around them and can be deadly accurate in using this against their prey whenever the need arises.

The expression of generosity they show is rooted in the payback clause of their blueprint. Remember they are mainly receivers. They have huge capacity for absorbing the energy of the world around them. Unlike those with four receivers who are, ironically, really benign and behave, comparatively, like toothless tigers, this group has teeth and can use them.

Not only super-sweet when they want to be, they are usually totally loyal. They are also great separatists and individualists,

like their opposites. In one to one relationships, their partner is usually retained as long as the partner remains completely loyal and devoted to them, for they will always remain completely loyal to their partner Why look elsewhere when you have already got the commitment needed to keep the ego going! Even if you have been indiscreet and caught out, eeee people may still suffer you as part of their ploy to regain your affection and loyalty. But, watch out, in such cases you will always be on notice. However, they are extremely kind and supportive to those who measure up. They will always strive for peaceful co-existence but, when push comes to shove, they will not hesitate a minute to take your head off if you have not regained your position in their eyes. Turning their back on you will be the least of your worries.

They are warm, friendly, engaging individuals who like to take charge of situations but underlining this stance is their absolute requirement to have someone else in the wings to whom they can address themselves as though they, their close associates, are in charge. They try to be open and honest in their dealings with others but the energy source can make them as cute as needs be to a) ensure that they continue to be respected and b) stay in charge. They smart easily and like to have their timeouts to sort themselves out, returning to the fray as though butter would not melt in their mouths. When they greet you, they are always open, fulsome, bright-eyed and bushy-tailed. Smiling and beguiling, they are interested in you and make you feel like you could be the most important person in the world. They are wonderful hosts and hostesses, letting you get on with it. Once they have greeted you with all these smarts at the door, you are basically left to your own devices, not quite forgotten about, just left to your own devices in direct contrast with the greeting you had on the door. 'Occasional nod and: "alright?" - that's it! What more do you want? After all, you are really there as a boost to their need, not the other way around. All the time you will be monitored from afar without knowing it.

As well as everything else, and notwithstanding some of the major personalities who have risen to the top of the pile here, they are self-doubting when they are in a leadership position, needing plenty of comfort and support and ego massaging to hold the line. If it is not there it can lead to them making an exit

to quietly get on with things to suit themselves. That said, all of them are extremely reliable and rarely exude ambitious streak although they always know where they want to be.

Some of the most principled and determined characters in recent history have had this configuration. And they all attract 'ready-to-die-for-them' devotees. They all carry the same magnetic polarity that provides for a style of behaviour, which enables them to deal with life's little turns in a very particular fashion – true grit!

See See people, by their behaviour, attract, if not demand, total devotion. This striving to be looked up to or liked can be seen in many high-profile entertainers, leaders and very successful people. They can galvanise or move large numbers of people in response to themselves. Whether they do it by writing, politics, sport, acting, adventure or whatever, they have the innate capacity to magnetically draw massive support to their thought processes. Unlike the See Group who attract attention to a cause rather than themselves, See See types conversely draw support only towards themselves like metal filings to a magnet. If things do not accede to their wishes, watch out!

constituency. They feel the need to be loved more than any other type. Outside their constituency they can be merciless.

Those who are O O O, are often generous to a fault to those they find favour with. I guess that could be said to be true for all of us but, again, the underlying factor here is that the generosity shown is a vehicle created to enable the receivers to be fed with energy. O O O need an awful lot of input. That one O they have ensures they are expulsing sufficient waves of electromagnetic energy to keep the fires burning with reciprocation in triplicate.

They can be daring, seemingly fearless, bellicose almost, who love to be in the forefront of whatever they are doing. No shrinking violets these but they also like to be part of the machine and even the machine itself. Obviously, there are a lot of front line personalities in this group just as there are in others, but SeS like to keep their private lives private whilst keeping up appearances in the daily grind.

They behave in such ways as to attract others to want to help them or inspire others to be confident in them. In public, the impression they give is that they are stable. They are not given to be over-elaborate in their behaviour during times of normal circumstances. This is all seen by other non eeee people as a modest and temperate attitude that enables eeee to

imperceptibly ingratiate themselves into favourable positions. Not a bad talent to have!

Beneath the laissez-faire, first-impression, these guys are can, and do, stand their ground. Even when they are wrong they can somehow muster the strength to continue the combat. And another thing about them is that, more than any of the others, when they give their commitment, they give their whole commitment unreservedly. It's quite a thing in this shallow world of ours. However, you are always on red alert to deliver what they need when they need it. In other words, their commitment to you demands your wholehearted commitment to them. Well, it's only fair anyway. Whereas their kindred spirits in the SSSS group, who share the same side of the magnetic pole equation, and who are also loyal beyond the pale, would dice you into quarters if you ailed them, these types resign themselves to their great disappointment in you. It can be quite a withering feeling.

The whole raison d'être of the magnificent ● ● ● ● is about drawing energy or other peoples polarity towards themselves. That does not mean they are selfish. Not at all! In fact, they can undoubtedly be as generous as anyone else. No, it means they are centred on themselves or self-motivated. They are the absolute antithesis to their polar opposites, ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ●

limelight. ● ● ● ● ● ● people's energy patterns draw other forces towards themselves. Their one ● beams away as and when they wish to attract the energy they need. This makes them appear thoughtful, introspective and, seemingly, ponderous. They may be brilliant or stupid, happy or sad, rich or poor but they all strive to fit-in.

Due to the magnetic flow they are not impulsive. They are slow and deliberate in their processes; judicious, cautious, predominantly passive, and refined. The personality their EMF engenders is not outward and overwhelming but rather inward, comfortable and pleasant. When they smile, they beam. When the are sullen, they have a look about them that declares "I know what I want" and "I know where I am". When denied their rights, they can turn on you quicker than a hissing rattler.

They are very correct and proper. They can be recognised as the backbone of any organisation. They are diligent and reliable. In most of their dealings they would like to be thought of as above reproach. And, in the main, they will always appear cool and aloof. They are not given to trail-blazing but can lead well when the opportunity arises. It isn't something they particularly seek but they are extremely capable of it when it comes their way. Because of their low-key image, they can be overlooked when it comes to honours but when the accolades are gone and they are gone too, they expose a 'missing link' about themselves as the noticeable absence of

the enormous power they brought to the situation. They are truly towering in strength. They are comfortable in their own skin, which is caused by the inward stream of electromagnetic energy from all around them. They don't have to do much to collect what they need.

Now, in case I have given the impression that SSE anot be leading lights, take a look at these names: Maggie Thatcher, Elton John, Princess Diana, Richard Burton, Catherine Zeta-Jones and David Beckham to name but a few. Pretty powerful eh! But look again and think carefully how private they actually are in their normal lives. The ever inward direction of the force field makes them 'quiet' and 'reflective' whilst their role-play makes them appear dynamic for a time. If they tire, as they easily do, they become ebullient and grumpy. They need their space.

They are well-grounded, strong-willed and responsible. Consistent, hard-working and geared for success. Ever so serene. I am reminded of the adage "Still waters run deep".

In personal relationships

Do they go well together? I mean do they link well with others who are also eeee? Frankly, not as well as they should. They tend to get on each other's nerves and eventually, if together, they live separate lives to enable the space to evolve

to enable being together. They will need to have money for this to happen really successfully. If not, their chances of experiencing a long-term happy relationship are challenging as feuds will be often. Each of them will be expecting the other to do what is necessary rather than they, themselves, doing it. Nevertheless, there is a natural attraction between them because they are made of the same material but, whenever it does happen, two separate lives must exist like two railway tracks sharing the link boards. The each of the same of the same of with at least two and preferably three transmitters (.) for ignition. Someone with four . Someone with four . Someone with four . Someone with four . Someone the blaze of light, suppressing their own individuality in the process. This can lead to a dictatorship about the house.

On the other hand, SSS people will never match with them for long spells because of the lack of spontaneous response from the cool and aloof SSSS on the Scales of Electromagnetism (SER) and although they often click I believe it is more often because of security than magnetism.

They can have the ultimate relationship with polar opposites ••••••
It offers a perfect balance. Watch out though because there is an in-built, self-destruct mechanism in this coupling. This means they are in constant danger of transforming this natural relationship success into failure. Whilst ••••••

●●●● make for a 7 on the electromagnetic scales of relationships, they can tire their opposites rather than their opposites tiring them. This is the only time when 7's on the scale breakdown. 7 is the only shared point on the scale being the same from the Active ● or Passive ● side.

● ● ● ● types are very promising in the relationship stakes. They have the right balance for an even keel. The extra ● in the polarity gives the relationship it doesn't have with other but not so much as to stimulate it to over-dynamism – something the ● ● ● ● ● on't like for too long as they like their peace and quiet moments.

🖲 🗑 🗑 🗑 In Business

appear to be so serious as well. Such a rock-solid type! And they are! When they set, they are like rock in their ways.

Unlike **•••** people who do whatever they do for 'its' sake, **•••** types do 'it' for their *own sake*. Not that they want praise for it, but that they want the energy from it which comes from it being done 'their' way. They exude a kind and thoughtful disposition and endeavour to portray a warm and friendly face at most times. This gives the impression they are easy going but, truth to tell, they are as sharp as knives and know exactly what they want from the position they are in.

The dynamism and flightiness of their opposites **••• ••** is missing entirely in **••• ••** guys who are passive and rock solid. You will find that when things around them become strained they themselves remain composed and restrained. This reinforces others' confidence in them at times of crisis. Obviously this is a great trait to have, especially if you are the boss of a large company. They are tough and dependable. Loyal to a fault, *you* will let them down long before they will ever do it to you. They are extremely warm and generous people who make you feel special. That gets them great loyalty. And a key to identifying them is their vulnerability effect. They have a natural ability to present themselves as vulnerable and in need of protection. But, trust me, that's an illusion. They use it like the markings on the wings of a butterfly are used, to

ward off potential predators. If they have committed to an interest in you, there is no one more supportive and dedicated.


Their major trick in obtaining what they want from others is to look or appear helpless. Frankly, they are anything but helpless. They are extremely capable.

As employees they make great back-office workers. They really are the engine room of any enterprise. They are diligent in their efforts, precise in their application to the work in hand and confident in completing their tasks. In fact this is their natural and preferred position. It's too onerous and tiresome to be the boss; better to be just a little way behind the boss. For the front end of your business, you would do well to employ as many of their opposites, the **Sec**, as you can get your hands on but, for the support and organisational back-up required to fulfil the business coming in, you really need to find as many **Sec** people as you can. Be warned, however, that too many will lead to a kind of benign anarchy as they will turn on themselves. So you need to throw in a good number of the other types as well for balance.

Their magnetic energy being three parts directed inwards means that, when they do anything, they can become quickly exhausted. They need plenty of periods of rest. Battery recharging is an absolute must which, if it isn't satisfied, will make them appear grumpy and argumentative. This is another

reason why they like, and need to be, surrounded by those with more energy, although they can be overwhelmed by it too. If that happens, and they don't like you, you can find yourself on the receiving end of short, sarcastic statements and a knowing look.

Best position for them: Head of Personnel.



You might think I am going to suggest that you put your dark glasses on for crash, bang, wallop, here come the bobby dazzlers, after what I said about the SSS? Not a chance. Stand down. Remember, personality is not a direct reflection of the code. It is a mask. The code is like an invisible cloak that only your sensors pick up whilst your consciousness may not always do. For example SSS might give you the impression of what you think a SSSS person must be like and vice versa. It's only an impression – the real them will be revealed to you by familiarity. So whilst SSS may be the life and soul of any party, they are also more, often, the quiet ones in the corner when the party is over.

Put them on the stage and watch them shine but as soon as they are off the stage they are quiet and private. Ronnie Barker is a classic case of the type. When he portrayed his characterisations he was the king of the castle but when he

was off the stage he was totally unrelated to it. They simply do what they do and then forget about it.

One of my friends of this type just could not be stopped from donning his wife's clothes and acting as a stripper at the office Christmas party. Sometimes he would go out of his way to make a fool out of the md. After it was over he would have no reference point to it at all as if it did not happen. The compulsion to perform is so great they are the most open and honest of the five types. Basically, they really do not care a monkey about the world. It is what it is – in their case, a playground!

These people are unquestionably forthright in whatever they are doing, but they are also incredibly non-aggressive. They will certainly take the lead if it is there for the taking but, equally, they will not be the first to come forward to claim the right. They are extremely well balanced and friendly. They have all of the audacity and none of the fierceness of the $\bigcirc \odot \odot \odot$. And they are the complete antithesis of their direct opposites, the $\odot \odot \odot \odot$, who are centred on themselves

●●●●● people have a very light, positive outlook and attitude. When Shakespeare wrote the immortal words "All the world's a stage, he was telling us he was one himself. Like most actors though when come off the stage ●●●● are no longer the character they were playing.

A key factor in their behaviour is that **S S Rever** boast or swagger. In the modern idiom they are "cool". They are fiercely able and individualistic people. Nothing is too daunting for them. Often, they will take on more than they can chew because of that. But as they are not self-delusional they can deal with it, or the outcome of it, should it prove necessary to do so.

They are clinical and clear about their intentions and will not be shy about letting them be known either. They have a tendency to say it like it is, that is whenever they bother to say anything at all because, although they are engaging, they are not seekers of attention to themselves. The attention they seek, if at all, is towards the matter itself that may irritate or interest them. In the main, they behave as though they are wary or reticent to give utterances. However, when pressed to do so, or coerced by circumstances into doing so, nothing will prevent them from saying what is on their mind. I think they are the most truthful of all types, certainly to themselves, and therefore they can appear to be free with their remarks. They are completely reliable and duty-bound whether it be to others or

themselves, which can often be the case. They can as easily rifle the petty cash tin as protect it and then justify it to themselves as they see fit, shrugging their shoulders whether the outcome be good or bad. I do not mean they are thieves but that they are great at accepting their fate. They can show a little incoherence and excess when they lose their self-control.

●●●● are extremely able and good conversationalists in their private lives but to the outside world they invariably project an image of separateness or detachment. They are unperturbed by the opinions of others about something they do or say. After all, when it's the truth, why should the feelings of others bother you? This gives them immeasurable strength to carry out the plan, their plan...maybe.

With **••** people there can be extremes of public persona but always a common outlook in private. They can be witty and light-hearted but, away from the public view, they are all extremely private, temperate individuals, very down-to-earth, friendly and trying to live in the real world as real people. There will be no airs and graces especially behind closed doors from this EMF type.

●●●● are intensely self-assured and private. They have no need to seek public adoration. That may come as a result of the position they are in or the talent they show but, after the show is over, so are they – over you!

One over-riding factor in their electromagnetic make-up is that they are born survivors. And after that if the going is too tough even for them, they have the necessary reserves to switch out the lights. They are able, keen, quick and determined and better than anyone else at withstanding the pressures of a change in fortune. Witness to this fact are Christopher Reeves who so stoically dealt with being paralysed from the neck down and the cases of Jonathan Aitken and Jeffrey Archer, both of prison with great dignity having once held such lofty high positions. Many a person might have been expected to completely buckle under such dramatic changes of fortune but they not only succeeded in not doing so, they actually accepted it for what it was and, thereby, turned the situation to any advantage they could make of it. When the going gets really tough and there is absolutely no way out they just shrug their shoulders, smile and face the situation with quiet aplomb.

This then is the essential trait in this type – big persona tempered by the word 'private' writ large across their foreheads; this far and no further. That is why they have a natural ability to be able to defend the indefensible and, if finally proven wrong, they turn on their heels, - 'the matter is to be ignored from now on' - and that is the end of that.

Summarily, what I think of when I am reminded of them is openness, warmth and friendliness. It's an in-your-face type

attitude. Quietly suffering the slings and arrows around them, but always striving for placation. They have a sense of instinctively "knowing" what is right. There is not much skulduggery here. What you see is what you get. They can be a bit over the top about their innate abilities but sure why not? Haven't we all at some time? They are pretty rounded characters, and knowledgeable too. It may not necessarily be in-depth but they will have a good idea about most things. Because of the honesty factor, when they are driven to the other side they can be very honestly hurtful. No sooner done than they will make every effort to claw their way back to the status quo.

They are very expulsive, meaning they are very sociable animals. Some might tell you they hate a party, or going out but, trust me, they love to mix and give vent to their smarts and exchange with all the world. They have a nervy type of energy. Their one I {Receiver} ensures they are able to listen to what you have to say too but they usually use that just to stimulate their own cogitations on the subject matter under discussion. No party should be without them. They make it light-hearted, energetic and balanced.

So, it's lights, camera, action! **Sees** just love the limelight – while it lasts. They have a fantastic propensity for shining when the light falls on them. It is in their entire make-up to project themselves. They are no shrinking violets. However, by

a quirk of nature, because they like to impress, they are nervous about getting things right and until they do they can give the impression of being those 'shrinking violets'. Once they are sure of what they are doing, there is no holding them back from the limelight. Having said that, you would think they would fall apart once the circus has moved on to somewhere else but here is where the real power of **Sec** rests for they are completely unfazed at its passing as though it were a burden. The reason why they can do this with such ease is because it is their natural state to 'beam'. So, whether there is a large audience or not indeed, sometimes, even if there is no audience at all, they continue to beam in their 'beingness'. So, being robbed of the spotlight is of no importance to them although obviously it is only natural that they would like it to continue for as long as possible.

●●●● people are great party goers. This does not mean to imply they are frivolous. Some of them are incredibly serious people whilst most of them show their seriousness in their application to any task that befalls them. Whether they are on the world stage, or anything less, they approach everything with a light-hearted, outward expression and a highly composed, inward stability of true grit.

They tackle problems head-on. It's all the same to them whether it be problems created for them or problems of their own making; they are resistant to slings and arrows. They bear

all misfortune almost with a shrug. As I mentioned, the one inlet valve they have – the in their EMF code – acts like a sensor to stimulate them to respond to the world around them. It accumulates information which they can absorb and use to supply the huge energy source in their I accuminance of the transmitters ensures they never cease beaming. Unlike I are who have no receivers and therefore do not take other energy in, I accument of the transmitters they never cease themselves with as much panache as they can muster. That one receiver makes them the most engaging of the five types in their ability to address any of the other four types.

They instinctively know exactly what they are doing, where they are going, what they are out to achieve and how they will do it. In most cases, they won't or can't be told anything. Also, they are the best of all types in rebuffing criticism. It's simply another feeder to their transmitters.

Still, like everyone, they blush, they get things wrong, they make mistakes, they fail to achieve their objectives. What? Did you think I was painting a picture of perfection? Of course not! They are subject to the same human foibles of everyone else but the way they have of dealing with them is different based on the way their force-field is made up. They unfailingly continue to transmit their energy whatever the circumstance they find themselves in.

Their EMF profile means they are the most able at turning adversity into advantage. Three outputs and one input enables them to be able to resign themselves to their fate.

They are naturally gregarious and, in the process, make great company. Not afraid to shoot on all cylinders, they will go where angels fear to tread. Yet, they are not troublemakers in their dealings with others. In fact, they can take the sting out of almost any situation they are faced with but they are quick to engage in any confrontation that comes their way. By that I don't mean they are ready for physical violence. Some may, some may not, as with anybody, but I mean in coming to terms with their situation. They can be brusque when they want to be and this can also lead to placing them in awkward situations. Whether they be quiet, ebullient or gregarious people their honest, good humour, and verbal abilities based on worldlywise cognitive sensors, always retrieve the situation in the end. person calm down. Being brusque is far from being their natural state and it rarely erupts. Sarcasm is always within their reach.

●●●● are obviously extrovert although be mindful this does not mean they all have an outgoing personality. Personality is a mask. Dependent on the circumstances, ●●●●, as with all others, are conditioned by their surrounding and experience. Some, therefore, may behave with more than a good measure

of decorum. However, what will definitely be obvious to others is their natural inclination and bearing to be light and giving. And no matter how dour a character some of them from time to time might appear to be, they will shine brightly in company.

What their EMF defines for them is outward projection and they are not centred on themselves but rather on the thing they are involved in. This is the reason why they can so easily walk away to a new dawn from a position of great achievement with hardly a look back. The behaviour pattern infused through their EMF is in the line 'That was then, this is now', for most of them act bright and breezy, as if they haven't got a care in the world. This hides a truth: they are as considerate and serious as anyone else in their quiet moments.

This 'gay cavalier' behaviour to life could also be described as "Que Sera Sera" or "what will be will be" and is the hallmark of ••••• e people. It stimulates reactions in the other four types because of their force field projecting outwards including those of the same EMF type, which is interesting in that, between them, they would have 6/8ths parts •• transmitters but the remaining 2 •• receivers are enough to ensure there is just enough inlet valves to control the steam being produced.

They are particularly weak when it comes to defending others. This is for the same reason as everything else: they don't want

to endanger their approval rating. Now I know a number of people who have this code who are extremely suppressed by their personal circumstances. So much so, in fact, that the outline above that I have given for these types would appear, on face value, to be at odds with them. Let me assure you they are every inch what has been described and you can see it when opportunities arise. It is so important to grasp the knowledge that we feign to promote ourselves to be someone we may not necessarily be, on the basis of what we are experiencing, and it takes so little to rekindle the natural state, that is the real us, found in our force field.

●●●● love attention and for that reason they make great salesmen. They are showmen and whether they are outside the tent ushering in the clientele, or inside the tent entertaining them directly, it makes no difference. They are there for the performance. So anything that allows them to give outward expression to their innate magnetic field is fine by them.

They can also be foolhardy in not considering enough the choices they may be facing at any given time. Serious thought is for serious people and they are too lively to succumb to the restrictions seriousness places upon them for very long. You will never find yourself using the phrase "get a life" to these people. They have every bit of it in spades. For those who, by way of personality, and position of authority, seem to be serious or are required to present a serious face to the world,

they are merely keeping up appearances. Given half a chance the mask will fall and the playfulness will come to the fore.

There are many shiny bright lights they are easily attracted to and like moths to the flame they gravitate towards anything that can make them flap their wings. Invariably, ●●●● don't naturally mean to harm anyone by doing so. They just love the world stage. Flash, bang, wallop!

In personal relationships

With regards to forging relationships and alliances they have the strongest electromagnetic placing. Everyone seems to enjoy their company. I believe this is because of their supreme ability to commit wholeheartedly and, where conflict arises, maintain a brave face outside the situation. They have the position of balance in all one-to-one relationships with people who are **SSS**. This is the ultimate pairing they can have, as their electromagnetic poles are entirely complimentary. They also hold the next two highest points on the SER table for they can have excellent relationships with those who are Sevent and those who are like themselves Sevent it may prove a bit bruising but the loyalty factor is so great here I honestly think they can withstand anything. Even at the worst of times when they have really reached the end of their tether, that little word 'loyalty' will come from deep within the recesses of their existence and point the way until things come good

again. The records indicate that relationships between ••••• and ••••• are written in stone. On the other hand, those who are •••• relating to ••••• will not be very successful because of the excessive number of dynamics in the two. There's an attraction here because the dynamics are so strong – 7/8ths • - but it's a very false dawn. In the end, the loyalty factor mentioned before will be beaten to death by the punishing energy of •••• who will force it into extinction. And even then, the •••• will doggedly hang on. It's that old loyalty factor combined with their mantra "that's just the way it is".

In all their relationships ******* are the best electromagnetic people on the scale for making friendships work even when they fail such as with ******* people. They'll still make every effort to allow it to work.

As for partners, **Set** are aptly placed to get along with most other types because of their openness but whenever, on the magnetic scale, they find, or are confronted with, the emergence of unbalancing properties such as those with **Set** then all kinds of horrors and behavioural destructiveness can break out. This is because of the inert intransigence of the extreme **Set** people. Initially, things may appear good because of the dynamics but those extremes will appear in no time at all. The result I fear will be a breakdown in the reaction of the **Set** people. Everyone

puppy ways, and are the worst match for both of them. Their private persona can be irritating to the demands of the . types who want them to be on the stage the whole time. Iove approval and so they hang on for dear life to the Set it only exacerbates the potential contempt can have for them. The 1 receiver isn't enough to save their relationship or the **BBBB** would happily do so because they are inherently disposed to be loyal and faithful even when they have transgressed. But, before you think I am talking about fidelity, I better explain what I mean by loyalty and faithfulness. It is a solution of the second sec probably more than most, in fact - but even when they do transgress they will carry on as though nothing has happened and remain loyal and faithful to the first party if they are allowed to be.

As for them magnetically relating with those on the opposite ends of the pole, the ese people, this has a degree of possibilities not existent in the types. Although this is a relationship with an abundance of receivers I think the reason why it works well is that each supplies the other with the opposite polarity that they need. The e transmitters kick-start the receivers on the opposite poles whilst, simultaneously, the two remaining e terminals bring a quietness to the relationship. This success is possibly because in their quiet

moment, the normal periods off the stage, they can relax in the presence of the all-absorbing SSS whilst they, in turn, still receive the energy SSSS which still emits from them.

Really, the only exception to their ability to have successful relationships is with **BBBB** people. Yet for all that, they are initially attractive to **BBBB**.

In Business

As the boss they can give the impression that they are acting with a little less certainty than the EMF found in others in the same position but this is only an impression. They are, in fact, deadly in the act.

They can also appear to be a little more flighty than those around them but they are hugely dynamic, energetic and cooperative with those they work for and those who they are responsible for. However, if there's a flaw, it is to be found in their lack of backbone when it may come to getting rid of staff. Here again we see they are deeply considerate in what it means and requires before carrying out the act. More than any other group, Ike to be liked by everyone, so they don't like the negative sides of being the boss – like letting someone go, no matter what the circumstances. Otherwise they make very responsible bosses. As for leadership, they make great leaders but not great managers. They always have great ideas

and can inspire people to do things they would not ordinarily do, but they don't make great managers. Ideally, they must always have someone to partner with them to shoulder those aspects of running a business ******* are weak in. In this they would do well to be in business with someone who is *******. Good for business, bad for peace.

Best position for them: Circus Ringmaster.

CODE 5

A magnetic polarity of **••** and **••** in any formation brings to mind "balance". Alas, 'tis a lost cause as the hand sits on twelve for just the briefest of moments. It is mostly either approaching or receding from the point of the strike. These magnetic types can be highly strung. There is always a striving towards keeping the hand on 12. It makes them surreptitiously

nervous. It makes them self-doubting. And it makes them silently scream inwardly as they often allow themselves to be taken advantage of.

We are talking about people who are sympathetic energy outpourers. They thrive on expression and feed off holding court but they become quiet and introspective when they have exhausted their batteries. At such times they need to be left alone to quietly reflect. It is essential that they be allowed this space. Where **BBBB** types also have quiet periods away from the stage, it is part of their make-up, for SSS types it is not their natural state but one they must secure for themselves from time to time. .Despite the apparent strength they seem to show in their meeting with people, believe it or not, they are extremely reticent and self-effacing. Their usual bonhomie can be misleading and you can be forgiven for mistaking it as their normal manner when they are actually in need of a temporary retreat from the world. It is often so well hidden you may not notice it until it erupts in your face unexpectedly. They enjoy company but they equally enjoy being alone from time to time. In fact, if they don't have these periods of solitude you can watch out because they will lash out unexpectedly and you'll be left wondering what on earth caused it.

Their motto could well be "don't push me!" But otherwise they'll do anything for you and without any selfish motive whatsoever. Seeing you happy is all they want. On the other hand if they

ask a favour of you, they won't be put out if you can't help them. In fact, they won't even remember it the next time you ask them for something but remember what I said "don't push me" is lurking there somewhere.

They want things to be right. They want the government to always do the right thing, their friend to always do the right thing, their employer to always do the right thing, the bus company to always do the right thing. They want everyone to do the right thing and when they don't, as is so often the case, BAZZAM! – they're uptight and the wrong side of the clock.

They can be frustrated because they think they can do things better. Not in a superior way do I mean this but simply in an everyday fashion. They exude commonsense. It's the balance thing again. They don't seek admiration for it and, in fact, they would rather not be engaged in dealing with it but if they have to be they can do things better given the chance. And if they cannot, they know how it can be done better and who to get to do it. And the reason is because they are not looking to gain anything personally from it; hence their frustration with the world about them in it not measuring up. This is because they are intensely private people and the realisation they will have to involve themselves is anathema to their "beingness". Even the ones who come to public notice tend to shy away from publicity-seeking over and above the main issue at hand. Believe me, when they take off the cloak, they may as well be

in Fort Knox because they will cut themselves off from the issue they represent. Oh! they can be a laugh a minute in front of the public if that is their position but give them time off and the public just doesn't exist. They will luxuriate in their retreat. While they are "there" they are "there" and very focused but once they are not, then "good riddance" and "thank God".

Another interesting facet about their behaviour is their potential leaning to treachery. Golom, the character from the Lord of The Rings, reminds me of their mental processes. Of course, Golom, was beyond the pale in mental instability and I am not suggesting for a moment that 2T2R people are unbalanced like Golom. But their thought processes, and occasional angst, is similar to Golom. Their "ring" – their "precious" - is balance or perfection. They strive for the impossible – to make the hands on the clock sit forever at 12. And when it does, they will retire gracefully and immediately.

their most extreme and rarely does it expose itself in characters of this type. Another film which exposes their drive is Star Wars where Darth Vader was once like Obi Wan Kenobi. Both of these characters are . one in the light and the other on the dark side but perfectly balanced in each of their own domains.

They could make excellent spies or politicians because, although "the truth" is at the heart of their whole existence, ironically, they are not averse to bare-faced lying whenever it is necessary. This can even be self-indulgent to an extent to convince themselves it is necessary for the good of the truth and the achievement of the hands on the clock-face being where they should be - on 12! However, they do not want to be, they do not long to be, they do not intend to stay and, as soon as it is practically possible, they will withdraw from being "the Leader". Certainly, they can be at their best as the power behind the throne, but never do they want the throne and when it is theirs they see it only as "caretaker"! They just want the throne to behave properly. They have the propensity to make good law-makers and philosophers. The desire for balance is the perfect device to bring fairness to bear. In fact, nothing but nothing can drive these souls over the edge more than injustice and unfairness wherever they may find it. They strive, behind closed doors, to achieve this great objective. When they think it is an impossible task, they retreat, never to be heard of again

and happy they are with it. They will gladly live out their days walking on beaches and staring at stars.

They are comfortable with themselves. This can sometimes convey an air of arrogance or indifference to others about them. However, nothing could be further from the truth as to how they feel about themselves. They reveal a very sensitive and self-effacing personality when you get to know them. They are not quite the occasionally caustic, self-assured, ambitious and aloof creature you see before you. They are riven, in fact, with desire to do the right thing, ensure things are being done the right way and desperate to slip away to their retreat. They don't even want thanks for anything they might achieve. The equality of the direction of their electromagnetic force field demands balance, balance, fairness, balance and balance.

To better understand the magnetic flow of these types the best way I can describe the energy flow of See is to imagine yourself being in a boat in the doldrums. Normally the doldrums is a colloquial expression for despondency, depression, listlessness and inactivity but that is not what I mean here for, as I will show you, See types are mostly anything but.

Wikipedia describes 'The doldrums' as "a colloquial expression derived from historical maritime usage. The Doldrums (often capitalized when referring to the geographic region) is an area

of the Atlantic ocean and the Pacific ocean affected by the Intertropical Convergence Zone, a low-pressure area around the equator where the prevailing winds are calm. The low pressure is caused by the heat at the equator, which makes the air rise and travel north and south high in the atmosphere, until it subsides again in what is called the horse latitudes (tropic of Cancer and tropic of Capricorn). Some of that air returns to the Doldrums through the trade winds. This process can lead to light or variable winds and more severe weather, in the form of heavy squalls, thunderstorms and hurricanes. This region is also noted for calm periods when the winds disappear altogether, or are light and shifting. Because of the unpredictable weather patterns, the Doldrums became notorious with sailors because this region's periods of deadly calm could trap boats for days or weeks on end as they waited for enough wind to power their sails."

And this is exactly the way ●●●●● EMF informs their behaviour. These types give the appearance of calm and balance. In fact, that is what they aim for and how they would like to be. Because of the calm and the desire for calm, when they are disturbed - as we all are from time to time - they erupt into the most outrageous characters of all. Yet, even in this state, they can just as suddenly return to their normal state of calm. This trait gives them an air of authority which none of the other four types have. They appear so even and balanced that they attract all the other types in equal measure. However,

when they blow, they really blow. Some of the world's worst despots and, indeed, a few who were not considered despots but, at times, acted like they were, have come from this type. And research has shown that where a leader is *selected* in any group, more often than not, it is one of these souls who is chosen. Where others 'take' power, these usually have it foisted upon them and often through circumstance. Indeed, for a good example of this, it is noteworthy that of the 15 popes elected to the throne of St. Peter, between 1800 and 2005 no less than 10 were this type and, interestingly, none were $\Theta \otimes \Theta$ or $\Theta \otimes \Theta \otimes$.

So how best do you explain the electromagnetic force field operating in them? Well, in fact, it is operating in a perfectly balanced way. The energy flow is equal in its outward and inward movement. They are like tightrope walkers, always correcting themselves against the invisible movement of air that is human interaction all around them. Like tightrope walkers they hate to be pushed too far as such an imbalance makes them fall from grace. This makes them extremely selfeffacing. They can access, absorb and resign themselves to their fate with benign dignity once the rage within has passed. The rage is caused by their inability to bring balance to their position.

They make the most powerful of friends without being intrusive. Whilst they appear to be friendly, they are, at all times,

seemingly aloof. For the moment you are there, you feel as if you are being treated as their best friend. Yet, the moment you are gone, you feel you are forgotten. However, you are never forgotten, simply shelved until the next time you are taken out of the box.

They are equally weak and strong. Once they commit to something it becomes the point from which balance is then sought even if that position is a morally indefensible one. There is no going back. They each have their own centre of balance. Some will lean more towards the plus/active side of their nature; others to the minus/passive side. The majority, though, will be finely balanced between both active and passive. Those who lean predominantly towards the 🖲 side will invariably stride towards fame or notoriety whilst those who lean more towards the 🖲 will be more observant and unobtrusive in their engagement with others. You will find amongst . amongst types some of the worlds most determined despots and vicious killers but you will also find some of the world's greatest and most dignified reactionaries in dealing with their perceived 'injustice'. So they are a real mixed bag of delights.

They are also Machiavellian in their behaviour. Devious and cunning in nature is what Machiavelli was remembered for, which is 'grist to the mill' of See types, of which he himself was one. In every way, their motivational response is to seek

peace, harmony and order - in themselves. The emotional responses of others can easily unbalance them and that leads to their 'withdrawal', which makes them appear weak but, in fact, is their strength as they 'know' instinctively what is occurring and can easily recognise their inability to triumph over it. No one will give you more consideration or good counsel than they will. And no one will sharpen your response to the cause of it better than they can.

The whole motivation of **S S S is to give and take in fair and equal measure.** They make perfect party hosts and guests, never being excessive in any way and giving 'glue' to the rest so that they keep things moving and interesting. Their demeanour allows others to be whatever they are by influencing them without their knowledge and by not directly 'controlling' them. They will always try to fit in with the circumstances rather than force the circumstances to fit in with them.

This is how the magnetic flow translates into the personality of $\odot \odot \odot$. This is how equality in their magnetism expresses itself in their personality and the attitude that prevails in what they do. After that, all those other factors of personality that go into making us what we are such as speech, looks, language, education, experience, lifestyle, etc., etc., create a mask that hides us from knowing them or them from knowing us.

Where the magnetic energy is equally shared between outward and inward movement the effect on the mind is to strive to maintain that equilibrium. Life is never perfect so their mind is always faced with the challenge of its force field being unbalanced by something or other. It is only when an individual becomes unbalanced in themselves through a personality defect perhaps or an experience that led to it, that we see their EMF in permanent extremis believing it is surrounded by imperfections outside, that need to be corrected. Think Adolf Hitler, and you will get the gist

On the other hand these types are as fair and just as one could hope for. In their normal state, they are great 'supporters' of causes, ideas and people, and unobtrusively so. They make great companions and will rarely let you down. However, if you ask for something that they will not, can not or do not want to give, you may find them caustic.

This makes them ideal backbone material to any enterprise. They are always course-correcting their position to ensure balance. They make good listeners although, sometimes, they may not be good at hearing. Their placement on the magnetic scale, being centre, makes them readily 'available' to others. They are reliable in a way none of the others ever can be because of this demand for equilibrium.

Another important factor to remember is the EMF profile applies to everyone in each type irrespective of background, education, age, cleverness, colour, creed or kind. It is a machine-like, driving, force that is the magnetic force field that delivers a process to our thinking before our thoughts emerge.

It can be compared to the BIOS on a computer. It resides first before the operating system comes into effect. The difference with us human beings is it is aligned to the Earth's magnetic fields and predetermines our interaction with others.

In personal relationships

them as easy prey. They treat everyone they meet with the same respect, even their enemies. So, in their personal relationships, it takes an awful lot for them to turn their back on you. If they do, you will find that even in this they still carry a degree of balance about the situation and will always be open to exchanging views about what made it possible in the first place. This facility in their make-up could make them great philosophers and psychologists.

They get on well particularly with their own kind because the energy flow is equal. Sometimes one or other of them will enter attack mode and the response will be from the other to draw out their energy element and strike back. Result – mini Armageddon. At other times they will both be using their energy sides mainly and they will just drift along harmlessly going nowhere. Otherwise they can be expected to remain seemingly placid and imperturbable. If the energy with energy and take in their relationship. They are a 'Receiver' energy relationship because they do not intentionally cause offence but rather act in a defensive way. This relationship, though magnetically successful, needs the extra transmitter energy of the extra receiver that the others have.

With **BBB** they have the greatest success. The dynamics of the **BBB** stimulate the **BBB** to be vibrant in response and vice versa. It goes a little overboard when it comes to **BBBB**.

These guys just burn and burn and it becomes tiring for the . They need to retreat for a long period after a foray or two with . As for . As for . they get on famously and much better with them but only after a fashion as, in time, they need to retreat to restore their two terminal . batteries to keep the spark going for it is they who stimulate the return from the . Types and . and . and . with . with . with . with the occasional heated exchange a guarantee. It's never serious as they are both laconic leaning. . types are the balance givers and so they are able to bring harmony to the interactions of the others.

I should mention here that the success of all one-to-one relationships appears to hinder on there being one more transmitter
 between the pair, as is the case here, with the exception of the relationships at the lower ends of the polarity scales.

🖲 🖲 🕞 In Business

As the boss they can be a little flighty but they are hugely dynamic, energetic and co-operative with those they work for and those who they are responsible for. However, if there's a flaw it is to be found in their lack of backbone when it may

come to getting rid of staff. It is not that they are unable to take such action. If it is just and fair then they have no problem dealing with it and better than most, at that. It's just that they are always given to an overdose of 'sympatico' whenever the situation that causes such measures to be taken are less than just. Truly, I would rather be fired by one of these types than any other. Even if it is unjust, they will make it appear unbiased.

For this reason they are a joy to work for and will treat everyone as their equal. From their own bosses point of view this aspect to their character doesn't sit well with them and so their own bosses, if they are not CO CO themselves, often can be found to contrive to undermine their CO CO subordinate adjutants.

As the boss they can be sometimes too wishy-washy or, if unbalanced, blowing hot and cold too often, to be effective. They can be dictatorial one minute and overly easy-going the next. So often, however, you will see this type 'selected' by others for pole position when I think it would be better if they were placed as the adviser to the boss! Now that's where they really make their mark. Boss's by nature need to have the eccentricity of imbalance found in any of the others in their EMF code (as depicted on the barrel). Only Second types (note: again remember any position of and sit the same type) have the equilibrium that can make them behave one way

today and another way tomorrow. But their thought processes are geared to balance. That is why they make great kingmakers.

They make great, reliable employees at any level. They will always try to do their best and are the backbone to any organisation at any level. Without a goodly number of them, an organisation would quickly collapse. They are the spine of the book. Without them the pages would just fall out. If a so a so be very single minded. It's as if they use what they are focused on as their crutch to stay in balance. I think this is what makes them potentially the most reactionary of all the five types when they feel things around them are not as they should be and they need to redress it. Of course, they are no more inclined to such behaviour than anyone else when considered at the normal levels of life experience but I make the point here that when they snap or when their natural state is unbalanced they can be the worst for exacting a predetermined retribution.

In general, they are very easy-going and eager to please and be pleased in equal measure. They are not given to excess in their attitude and will bring the same generosity of spirit to their defence, when under attack, as they bring when *they* are doing the attacking. Fair to say, they are extremely difficult to budge when circumstances are challenging.

In every walk of life where people are selected for representation these types are chosen most often. Undoubtedly, it is because they 'appear to be' fair and balanced and appear to others as though they will be equitable in judgement. Without doubt, that is not always the case in the end but it is always the impression they give. Pleasant, easygoing, unlikely to ruffle anyone's feathers etc., etc. Sure, but as I have said, make the mistake of pushing too hard against them and you better stand back

Best position for them: Tinker, Tailor, Soldier, Spy

DECODE YOUR RELATIONSHIPS 2

HOW DO I KNOW what my magnetic code is?

If you walk into any room full of people, especially strangers, why are you immediately attracted to some and not to others.

Is it their smile, their clothes or perhaps their good looks? All of these things can appeal to you but, in the first few seconds, you have not enough time to make this assessment. Something else is happening that you cannot fathom - the magnetic force-field. There are many different elements that go into making a relationship but the key one that brings couples together, makes good teams, happy families and successful business partners is what I term the soulmate code!

The magnetic force-field, or our soulmate, is the place where rests our base motivation for all our behaviour patterns. The code is the identification of each person's electromagnetic field (EMF), the polarity rating that predisposes us to attract and repel in a given measure in response to other people's EMF.

As I have already mentioned there are just five codes and each one of us is defined by them. Our actions are predetermined by our code which is given to us at our birth.

Just as there are five major circles of latitude on the earth, each with its own peculiarities there are also five human magnetic types. To enable an early and simple understanding

of what is meant by the code here are the five statements of essence...

- Each of the 5 codes consists of a combination of 4 magnetic poles.
- The poles are identified as transmitters and receivers. Transmitters repel indicated by the colour red and the symbol . Receivers attract indicated by the colour blue and the symbol .
- Red transmitters are active, outward projecting and characteristically male
- Blue receivers
 are passive, inward attracting and characteristically female.
- Males and females can consist of various combinations of both blue (receivers) and red (transmitters)
- They operate independently of our personality, which is a mask. Our basic character traits are in response to our code
- 6. It is all based on the law of Duality

Theses terms are used to form in the mind a picture of the direction of the magnetic energy flow. For example, saying transmitters are like 'male' does not mean they are manly but that they are the essence of the energy of Man. Likewise, in this context, the alternative expressed and meant in the word, 'receivers' is the essence of the energy of 'female'. In

analogous terms if we were talking about sea creatures, sharks would be to male energy as whales would be to female energy. It is important to grasp a mental image of the two forces; one is pushing, the other is pulling. Both are equal and complimentary. Neither is superior or inferior. The Chinese call it yin and yang.

With each polarity point each of us is transmitting and receiving energy to or from others.

Like all material in existence subject to the simple law of duality i.e. everything has an opposite in or of itself. Even down to our DNA where it is inherent in the makeup of the molecules. In the same way that the double helix of DNA has two sides made up of 4 bases, the Earth has two lines of force made up of four bases i.e. North/South and East/West, and drawn from that source we, too, have two lines of force. For each of these two lines of force there are two representative poles of ^(a) and ^(a).

To ascertain a person's code we look to the oldest known knowledge – the subject of astrology, both from the East and the West.

As we all know, western astrology consists of 12 sun signs covering a 12 month period closely aligned to the months of the year, whilst eastern astrology consists of 12 animal signs representing a 12 year period.

The first and only person to have combined these two strains of behaviour was Suzanne White in her marvellous book, The New Astrology. For anyone interested in astrology I cannot recommend this book highly enough.

Signs of the West

To begin the soulmate process we list out the twelve signs of the zodiac as known to us in western astrology in the order of their normal procession

> ARIES TAURUS GEMINI CANCER LEO VIRGO LIBRA SCORPIO SAGITTARIUS CAPRICORN AQUARIUS PISCES

In ancient times, man believed that the earth was "born". According to Macrobius, in 500 BC, at that moment "Aries was in the Midheaven, and because the Midheaven is, as it were, the vortex of the world, Aries was therefore held to be the first

of all the signs, the one which appeared like the head of the world at the beginning of light". This explains why traditionally Aries has always been recognised as the first sign of the Zodiac. Therefore, in accordance with the divine law of duality, whereby Adam, representing male energy, came first, we can appoint Aries with the first dynamic, which is represented by . This character indicates masculinity or "active" energy. This is a dominant sign, the birth of the ray of creation, and so we begin by assigning it with a <a>
 for a positive pole or transmitter. From this position we can apply the law of opposites and, in accordance with the divine law whereby God created Eve next - female energy - the next sign, which is 'Taurus', with the first Laconic, is represented by S. This character indicates femininity or "passive" energy. This is a recessive sign, the mother of the ray of creation, and so we assign it with a S for a respondent negative pole or receiver.

Now we can continue to apply the symbolism to all the signs beginning with the next, Gemini, which therefore becomes B followed by the next, Cancer, which becomes B and so on until it looks like this

ARIES	۲
TAURUS	۲
GEMINI	۲
CANCER	۲
LEO	۲

VIRGO			۲
LIBRA		۲	
SCORPIO			•
SAGITTARIUS		۲	
CAPRICORN			\odot
AQUARIUS	۲		
PISCES			Θ

As you can see, as sure as night follows day, a plus is followed by a minus and, in turn that minus is followed by a plus. And so it continues. We now have the first pole, either a B or a B for each sign.

To establish the second pole we proceed by dividing the above list into their two separate blocks or groups of Transmitters ④ and Receivers ext{ looks:-}

|--|

<u>Receivers</u> 🗐

ARIES	TAURUS
GEMINI	CANCER
LEO	VIRGO
LIBRA	SCORPIO
SAGITTARIUS	CAPRICORN
AQUARIUS	PISCES

The red group is by nature extroverted given to performance, versatility and talkativeness. The blue group by nature is introverted given to being perceptive, cautious, introspective. Those in the blue group exude quiet power; those in the red group, dynamism. The visual representation of the energy of the transmitters ● is one of pulses whilst it is wave-like in the receivers ●. In animal terms transmitters would be sharks and receivers would be whales. In musical terms Mozart would represent the transmitters while Beethoven would represent the receivers.

At the next level, within each group of red and blue there is a male (transmitter) force and female (receiver) force. This has to be identified because within each group the *individual* males and females carry different energy fields. Remember, everything in the universe, indeed creation itself, is made up of two opposite and equal forces. Where there are stars, there are also black holes. Where there is darkness, there is light. Where there is force there is absorption. Where there is attack there is defence.

So, taking the red group first, <u>we now have to divide them</u> into two groups of males {+} and females , assigning each one with either an active/male pole or a passive/female pole.

The red group are the yang/male group ext{ ● in which the polarity of the first male ext{ ● to be listed comes immediately after the }}

group's identity key of \circledast and by the law of duality two poles of similar polarity cannot be allowed to follow one another, this polarity is therefore reversed to a \boxdot . In other words the group commences with \circledast so the first male – Aries – is \boxdot . This action makes the polarity of the first female \boxdot change to \circledast . This means that a male Arian is a \boxdot in the \circledast group (\boxdot) while an Aries female is (\circledast) being a \circledast in the \circledast group. This is in conformity with the law of opposite poles. The rest follow on from this in the \circledast group thus:-

In the sign of:	<u>Males are:</u>	Females are:
ARIES	۲	۲
GEMINI	۲	۲
LEO	۲	۲
LIBRA	۲	۲
SAGITTARIUS	۲	۲
AQUARIUS	۲	۲

In the second, blue receiver group , the opposite happens. In the first sign – Taurus - the first male ● is opposite at the start so he retains 'his' personal ● polarity (as in '+' follows '-'). The reason for this is that he, being male, is red or ● following the first symbol which is ● representing the blue receiver group. Subsequently, the first female, whose normal symbol is ●, also retains her polarity ● because by the law of opposites, she is opposite to and following the first male in her group. Following on from that the next sign, Cancer, is ● for male and ● for female follows and so on

In the sign of:	<u>Males are:</u>	Females are:
TAURUS	۲	⊜
CANCER	۲	۲
VIRGO	۲	۲
SCORPIO	۲	۲
CAPRICORN	۲	۲
PISCES	۲	۲

The **table on the previous page** displays all those contained in the **Receiver e group**. It also shows the polarity identification for the different genders.

Everything is opposite and follows the structure of electromagnetic polarity i.e. $\textcircled{\baselinetwidth}$ must be followed by $\textcircled{\baselinetwidth}$ and vice versa. This means that an individual male or female can be active $\textcircled{\baselinetwidth}$ or passive $\textcircled{\baselinetwidth}$ in <u>either</u> the active $\textcircled{\baselinetwidth}$ or passive $\textcircled{\baselinetwidth}$ group. For example a female can be $\{\textcircled{\baselinetwidth}, \textcircled{\baselinetwidth}\}$ and a male can be $\{\textcircled{\baselinetwidth}, \textcircled{\baselinetwidth}\}$.

Here are some examples.

A Libran male would be identified as a {● ●} being the male ● in Libra which is in the Active group ●.

A Leo female would also be a $\{\circledast \ estimate{1.5ex}\}$ being the female \circledast in Leo which is in the Active group \circledast .

A Scorpio male would be $\{ \boxdot \ \boxdot \)$ because he is a male \boxdot in Scorpio which is in the Passive group \boxdot as shown below. Likewise a female Taurus. A female Cancerian \boxdot would be a $\{ \circledast \ \boxdot \}$ as would a male Virgo.

Now, we do the same with East.

Signs of the East

Chinese horoscopes are based on 12 animal signs. Folklore has it that several centuries before Christ, the Buddha invited all the animals in the kingdom to come to a New Year's party. In their honour he decided to name each year thereafter in the names of the animals and the order in which they arrived. Additionally, people born in those years would inherit the characteristics of the animal.

The Chinese recognise Yang (Male) and Yin (Female) in all things and this is also the same in their Horoscopes. For our purposes however we will map out the East as we did the West to determine each person's polarity. We will then combine these with the West to see the true picture emerge. This is not an arbitrary exercise. The Chinese recognised that the signs like all things are split into two sides of Active and Passive, Positive and Negative poles. I should mention at this point that my adaptation of the Western astrological signs was based entirely on my own observations. It was later brought to my attention that the Chinese had observed the same electromagnetic processes going on in human interactions, which they interpreted into their charts or horoscope years. It was an illuminating but unsurprising revelation to me.

To begin the process we list out the twelve animal signs representing the twelve years in the Chinese Horoscope in the

order of their procession just as we did with the twelve signs of the zodiac in western astrology.

RAT
BUFFALO
TIGER
CAT
DRAGON
SNAKE
HORSE
GOAT
MONKEY
ROOSTER
DOG
PIG

As Rat is the first sign, it is designated an Active or Transmitter sign, and it is the birth of the ray of creation. From this position we can now apply the law of opposites and therefore the next sign, Buffalo, is assigned as a Receiver type. The sign that follows Buffalo is Tiger, which is designated with the opposite pole and the next, Cat, becomes and so on until it looks like this:

RAT		۲	
BUFFALO			۲
TIGER		۲	
CAT			Θ
DRAGON		۲	
SNAKE			۲
HORSE	۲		
GOAT			۲
MONKEY		۲	
ROOSTER			۲
DOG		۲	
PIG			Θ

We now have the first pole for each sign and divide them into the two separate groups of Transmitters
and Receivers

Transmitters 🖲		<u>Receivers</u> 🖲
RAT		BUFFALO
TIGER		CAT
DRAGON		SNAKE
HORSE	GOAT	
MONKEY		ROOSTER
DOG		PIG

Similar to the West, the Transmitters group in the East is by the soulmate code definition designated
 for male, Yang, extroversion, given to performance, versatility and verbosity, whilst the Receivers group is, by the soulmate code definition designated
 nature for female, Yin, introversion, given to being perceptive, cautious, introspective.

As with the West, the I represents the Transmitters group and the represents the Receivers group. Again, within each group exists a male and a female force. This has to be identified because within each group the individual males and females obviously carry different energy fields. So, now we take the first group, the Transmitters group I, and split them into males and females and females and then identify each one as a male force or a female force. Before doing so, however, we must apply the law of opposite poles i.e. where is has been, comes next. Therefore, the first in the Transmitter group is male is not because the group is the 'male' or group itself, the law of opposites and duality means the polarity is reversed of the male is reversed to . Conversely, the first female who is normally denoted with the symbol becomes and the rest follow from this.

<u>So, in the sign of</u>	Males are	Females are
RAT	۲	۲
TIGER	۲	۲
DRAGON	۲	۲
HORSE	۲	۲
MONKEY	۲	۲
DOG	۲	۲
This WHOLE group		Tronomittor 🚳 group

This WHOLE group is ACTIVE I or Transmitter I group.

This means each person begins with ● and then adds a ■ or

epending on their position in this table

For example, a male in the year of the Rat would be I and a female in the year of the Dragon would be I

For the opposite, Receiver group ext{ exact opposite poles apply. Based on the 'Adam and Eve' principle, as the first in the Receivers group ext{ exact opposite of the male in the exact opposite of the male in the exact opposite of the first in the first in the first in the first opposite of the male in the exact opposite of the first in the first in the first in the first in the first opposite of the male in the exact opposite of the first in the first in the first in the first in the first opposite of the male in the first in t

female \bigcirc being symbolically correctly opposite the previous male I retains her polarity I and the rest follow on.

This **WHOLE** group is **PASSIVE** [●] or **Receiver** [●]group. This means each person begins with [●] and then adds a [●] or [●] depending on their position in this table

In the sign of	Males are	Females are
BUFFALO	۲	۲
САТ	۲	۲
SNAKE	۲	۲
GOAT	۲	۲
ROOSTER	۲	۲
PIG	۲	۲

A male Cat would be ●● because he is a Cat male ● in the
Passive group ●; likewise, for a female Rooster. A female Dog
● would be a ● ● and a male Snake would be a ●●

FREE TABLES DOWNLOAD

Refer to the force fields table on the following page or from the tables available separately for download for free from <u>www.matchme.biz</u> which you can use to determine your polarity.

Take care with establishing the start of each year – the Chinese system is subject to wild fluctuation.

When the table on Page 128 is fully expressed there are, 1728 pages of one-to-one relationships freely available for download.

1911,1923,1935,1947,1959,1971,1983,1995,2007	Pig	۲	1			Pisces
1910,1922,1934,1946,1958,1970,1982,1994,2006	Dos			۲	۲	Aquarius
Rooster 1909,1921,1933,1945,1957,1969,1981,1993,2005	Rooster	1	۲			Capricom
Monkey 1908,1920,1932,1944,1956,1968,1980,1992,2004	Monkey			۲	۲	Sagittarius
1907,1919,1931,1943,1955,1967,1979,1991,2003	Goat	۲	1			Scorpio
1906,1918,1930,1942,1954,1966,1978,1990,2002	Horse			۲	۲	Libra
1905,1917,1929,1941,1953,1965,1977,1989,2001	Snake	1	۲			Virgo
Dragon 1904,1916,1928,1940,1952,1964,1976,1988,2000	Dragon			۲	۲	Leo
19 03, 19 15, 19 27, 19 39, 19 51, 19 63, 19 75, 19 87, 19 99	Cat	۲	1			Cancer
1902,1914,1926,1938,1950,1962,1974,1986,1998	Tiger				۲	Gemini
Buffalo 1901,1913,1925,1937,1949,1961,1973,1985,1997	Buffalo	1	۲			Taurus
1900, 1912, 1924, 1936, 1948, 1960, 1972, 1984, 1996	Rat			۲		Aries
Years in which the Animal Year occurs	Animal Year	PASSIVE S Pernales	Males	Pernales	Males	Birth Sign
		٩	1	•		
	1					

COMBINING the Force Fields

More ●'s or transmitters, means the more expulsive, sharp or active short-wave electromagnetic energy force field. Conversely, the more ●'s or Receivers, means the more receptive, absorbent or passive long-wave electromagnetic energy force field. Too much dominance or too much recession in any relationship will cause it to dynamically struggle. As a general rule, one or two more dominant or recessive identifiers in one of the partners' gives rise to an ideal electromagnetic profile. However, too many ●'s or ● 's in the group, be it two or more people, will negate it.

To understand this, refer to the SER table on page 134, which can help you to more easily identify what magnetically works or doesn't. The SER table is the identity of the magnetic code in the relationship of two people only. From this all other combinations in and of groups can be deduced. The table is split into two halves; Active e and Passive e. Each half ranges from 0 to 7; 7 being common to both. Remember, everything has an opposite in or of itself and so it is even with the table. For example, take the 0 point. On the e or Active side 0 means relentless dynamic push causing endless disruption. On the other end of the spectrum, the e Passive side, the 0 relationship has relentless laconic pull power so there will never be any outrages which means it can be successful where the other can't but they are both rare and the polar opposites of

one another. The optimum level, 7, is the only one not to have an opposite. This is because it is its own opposite in default. Relationships at this level are both perfect and imperfect. The balance is so fine that, in perfection, the complete opposite can be occurring simultaneously. 0+, 7+/- and 0- represent a triangle of magnetic junctions. 0+ means repulsing magnetism like the effect of pushing two magnets together with the same polarity; 7+/- means both repulsion and attraction; and 0means non-magnetic attraction like two magnets without magnetism. The table for both Actives and Passives rises from "0" representing no magnetism through "3", which is the apex point for both halves.

Personality may cause relationship disintegration or initial false relationship integration and should not be confused with magnetism or the magnetic scale. This means that whilst the personality of an individual may change, the magnetic flow will not and the attraction or otherwise will remain. The attraction or repulsion feature is at the base level of our existence. It is an aura and it is independent of personality. However, it *informs* personality. It can direct it to behave in a certain fashion but this is not a permanent aspect of it, and personality, which is manifestly subject to all kinds of influences, including drugs or mental disorders or other accommodating arrangements, can change with the prevailing circumstances. In this context, magnetism does not change.

Our personality is the mask of our behaviour and it is a complex mix, drawn from education, background, experience, upbringing, feelings and a myriad other factors. But at the core of every human being lies a very different personality which I refer to as the personality of the soul. In keeping with the law of duality it is the complete opposite of the outer personality in that it is simple in its construct and purpose and it informs the outer personality by interweaving with it. This personality of the soul is the soulmate code, which is the driving force behind us all whether we are aware of it or not. It is raised in us through our magnetic relationship with the earth's magnetic field. I often repeat the mantra that the soulmate code is not about personality as we know it but that it informs personality. By that I mean that our core purpose established as the personality of the soul is the real guide to our behaviour and hence it informs our personality so that we always get what we want. The SER table on the page 134 reveals what type of relationship there is between people. The higher the number, the stronger the attraction. However, this table does not mean that relationships do not exist between people on the lower points of the scale. There are always possibilities for relationships depending on circumstances but the kind of relationship will be as described on the pages that follow thereafter.

The scales of electromagnetic relationships' (SER), is the full range of 15 possible relationships between any two people. It

is in two halves – the upper 'red' area, represents the relationships with a high Transmitter dynamic and the lower 'blue' area, represents a high Receiver dynamic.


Each half ranges from 0 to 7. 7 is the mid point for the whole scale but it is also the same high point for each half. On first looking at the scale you might think that 0 means no relationship is possible and 7 is a perfect one but that is not quite true. The code indicates the kind of relationship there will be and not whether it will can be successful or not. Understanding and using the code will enable the relationship – any and all relationships – to work by 'allowing' for the existence of the repercussions of the code in each person to exist.

Firstly, all relationships are possible depending on the circumstances in which they exist but the state of the relationship will be underpinned by the point on the scale the relationship is identified as being on. For example, two people on 0€ will not ever make a peaceful marriage but if they were paired by a society that arranged marriages they would still get married and have a very explosive relationship as a result, which they would then both have to endure. The magnetism would be similar to trying to put two magnets together with the same positive polarity – they push each other apart.

The scales describes each couple's inter-relationship dynamic or 'attractor-factor'. It does NOT suggest there can be no attraction between the two, nor that they will be unable to relate. What it does show is the level of magnetism and strength of magnetic polarity between each person in the coupling and the reason for it.

Take **••••** with **••••**. They are 5**•** on the scale. Their opposite, **••••** with **••••** are 2**•** on the scale. Both of these couplings are enormously attracted to one another yet why is one at 5 and the other at 2? After all, each couple contains two of the same magnetic type. The reason is that 5**•** recognises they have enormous dynamism that continually stimulates the relationship whilst the 2**•** is enough to absorb the fire and give it rest. The 5**•** individuals will be energising each other. The 2**•** will each be vying for the energy of the other which will be limited as they are both predominantly 'receivers'. The 5**•** could do with more 'receivers' to calm them down and the 2**•** could do with more 'transmitters' to perk them up. This can come from an outside source but they need this stimulus.

It is true for ALL one-to one relationships, male/male female/female, male/female, old person/young person etc.,



The lower the number on the SER scale, the less the 'magnetic' attraction. This means the relationship requires

more or less ● or ext{eq}, depending on the coupling, to give it magnetic balance. The better the polarity balance the more likely the relationship will be successful.

7 marks the point for a magnetically perfect, dynamic and balanced relationship. However, it is simultaneously also the magnetically perfect point for self-destruction for the head is the tail and the tail is the head. It is so symbiotic it could actually do with another 🖲 or 🗐. Hence, the reason why 7 is shared by both the Active and Passive sides. On either end of each pole the electromagnetic balance of the relationship diminishes the further away from the centre you go. In other words, the lower the number, the less the electromagnetic balance. On this see-saw scale of success, zero is extreme, after which, 1 to 6 ranges degrees of electromagnetic relationship success. The further down the scale you go towards zero the greater the increase in the number of disputes, confrontations and 'sparks' amongst those found in the 'Active' red, relationships group and correspondingly, an increase in laconicism, irascibility and ennui in the 'Passive' blue, relationships group. However, irrespective of type, the higher the scale number, the greater the degree of certainty of a successful magnetic relationship. This does not mean, necessarily, 'marital' success or 'marital' failure for that matter. As I have said before such a situation is subject to too many extraneous influences although what it will show is the potential for marital success if the couple involved can deal with those

'other' matters. The level of any relationship on the scale is due to the balance of the natural electromagnetic attraction. The actual placement of the poles themselves is not important to be able to recognise its corresponding position on the scale i.e. the configuration eeee is the same as eeee or eeee.

The 'attractor-factor' is the identification of the dynamic of the attraction i.e. the strength of magnetism and to what extent it applies itself. Sexual attraction or other circumstances may override it but not change or diminish its effects which will always be there and emerge.

The following pages offer more pithy details of the essence of each relationship shown on the SER table in a synoptic form.



This relationship could end up with one or the other in prison. They are entirely alike in their heading and entirely independent of one another. They are unable to coexist because of the lack of any receivers in their make-up. There is room for only one lion in the Pride. I could see these 'working' together for the same company providing they were not either (a) competing with one another or (b) involved too much with each other in the contribution they make for the greater good. Competition would be too great. Being in the same department or one working for the other would be a disaster. As for personal relationships with one another there's a possibility of a very temporary arrangement borne out of natural physical attraction but it will soon be overcome. The problem here is that it is like trying to put two magnets together. It just can't be done. They can only push away from the other.

Scale: 0 🖲

	Poles	Balance	Remainder
(A)			
(B)			

Image as individuals:	Both high 🖲 and extrovert	
Image as a partnership: Extreme High 🖲		
Towards each other:	'A' will try to dominate 'B'; 'B' will try to	
	dominate 'A'. They will behave like	
	two lions in combat.	
Overall:	Positive relationship; they can work	
	together to achieve the same goal but	
	with no love lost. The only way will be	
	as individual parts and will often clash.	

Well, they can endure each other's company but not for long periods. They will seek out the company of others to counterbalance their relationship by bringing some transmitter stimulation which they really need. Rather than antagonising one another, as those with four transmitters do to one another, these souls have nothing between them to ignite or spark the relationship. It will be rather like trying to strike a light with a damp match. This will be an extremely laconic relationship because neither party can do enough to stimulate let alone sustain the interest of the other. Both need energy to be directed at them not the other way round. This does not mean they will undermine one another – there won't be enough energy to be bothered. If they are to live together it will be separately from each other. In other words live and let live taken to extreme.

Scale: 0 🗐

	Poles	Balance	Remainder
(A)			
(B)			

Image as individuals:	Both have absolute 🖲	
	electromagnetic energy	

Image as a partnership: Extreme High 🖲

Towards each other:	Both will absorb any light available,	
	which can only come from others and	
	as they will both be seeking this	
	energy they will compete against each	
	other	
Overall:	Passive relationship; they will fail to	
	work together as a team or	
	partnership as neither will provide any	
	spark at all but both will be absorbing	
	whatever energy there is around them	



This will be a very feisty relationship. Each will be highly individual and dictatorial towards the other. Lots of clashes and energy exchanges. They stimulate each other especially at the beginning of the relationship as they are naturally attracted to one another due to the flow of the energy source of the one extra transmitter matched by the one receiver, but it will always lead to bust-ups and perhaps even worse. They bounce off one another rather than being able to find common ground for agreement. Not a natural electromagnetic success.

Scale: 1 🖲

	Poles	Balance	Remainder
(A)			۲
(B)			۲

Image as individuals:	Both extrovert	
Image as a partnership: Very High 🖲		
Towards each other:	individualistic, separate	
Overall:	Lots of sparks fly. High failure	
	probability. 'B' passive energy	
	provides the only breathing space. The	
	problem is a lack of receiver energy	

A very poor relationship in prospect. That doesn't mean they won't be attracted one another. They will be but that is because they recognise themselves in each other. Initially, they will get along but there's so much pulling there will be little to ignite or sustain the relationship. As a work relationship there is greater possibility for success but it will depend largely on the circumstances. As colleagues on the same rung they will have an agreeable pathway but one in charge of the other will lead to extreme dissatisfaction. Yes, they can be reasonably good together but it will only be for short time stretches of time. On the other hand they have a natural affinity for one another. That one extra '+' stimulates the interest between them but although they can be great friends it can only ever be that providing there are lots of times of separation.

Scale: 1 ອ

	Poles	Balance	Remainder	
(A)				
(B)			888	
Image as individuals:		'A' and 'B' have Passive		
		electromagnetism		
Image as a partnership: Very High 🖲		: Very High 🖲		
Towards each other:		'A' will take the lead but only slightly;		
Overall:		'B' will become easily bored by the		
		company of 'A' as there will be		
		insufficient spark		
		A negative relationship. Initially the one plus will help spark some activity		
		but like a cinder from last night's fire it will soon die.		



This is certain to be another feisty relationship. As with a 1 on the scale they will be highly individual and dictatorial towards one another. Lots of clashes and energy exchanges. However, unlike a one relationship, there will not be any natural attraction to one another because the two Receivers type will feel they are giving in too much. It will be more competitive and from the success or failure of that issue alone can emerge the possibility of a relationship. However, this is going to be tough going. Toleration is about the only thing that might see them through or long periods of separation.

Scale	ə: 2 🖲		
	Poles	Balance	Remainder
(A)			۲
(B)			۲

Image as individuals:	A' is extrovert and 'B' is balanced
Image as a partnership	: High 🖲

Towards each other:	A' will tend to dominate and control but
	B' will be able to absorb this and at
	times dominate back
Overall:	Sparky relationship; tendency to give
	way to one another; strong.



Unlike their opposites, the $\{+ + + -\}$ matched with $\{+ + + -\}$, whose electromagnetic energy is medium low Active that can make things happen, these couples are desperately waiting for something to happen. And they will be waiting until hell freezes over because neither of them can be expected to provide that necessary extra spark. They are High Passive and will make it but without the balloons and decorations. Although they both have the same EMF, they both pull in opposite directions i.e. towards themselves individually when other and electromagnetic force comes towards them they will fight over who receives it.

Scale: 2 🖲




Image as individuals:	Both introvert	
Image as a partnership: High 🗟		
Towards each other:	Both equal, waiting for the other to	
	move. Both equally needing adoration	
Overall:	Need an extra spark to stimulate the	
	promise; Kind in their manner and	
	retiring; inoffensive, friendly; nothing to	
	excess.	



This is one relationship I can almost guarantee will end up in tears. The magnetic attraction of the minuses for the plusses and the power-freaking plusses over the minuses is strong and will make this relationship explosive or painful or both. Naturally attracted to each other initially, the power play and chicanery soon takes over and this is one relationship destined to be very temperamental. The electromagnetic energy waves are seemingly able to correspond but the final outcome is two poles of similar polarity with nothing left to release them. Three receiver types can be extremely stubborn and moody even to a point of aggressiveness and four transmitter types can be very tiring as they are relentless in their pursuit to dominate. The four transmitter types will tire of the passiveness of the three receivers' type.




Image as individuals:	'A' is introvert and 'B' is extrovert
Image as a partnership:	Medium To High 🖲
Towards each other:	'A' very domineered by 'B' but 'A' can
	react aggressively from time to time,
	almost with cruelty, because of the
	one active pole.
Overall:	Positively charged relationship; not
	able to operate independently of one
	another

Yes, these are reasonably good together. Occasionally there may be outbursts as the overall tendency is one of being passive and the two plusses may give rise to feelings of frustration at times. There is a good amount of give and take but when there is too much of the latter as can be the case there may be flare-ups. However, they will soon die down as both parties are Recessive and given to a reasonably peaceful co-existence. This is in direct contrast with those who are 3 Active. Those who are 3 Passives are better equipped to succeed because there are fewer transmitters, which allows more energy to exchange whereas with 4 transmitter types there is no inlet valve.

Scale: 3 🖲

	Poles	Balance	Remainder
(A)		0880	88
(B)			88

Image as individuals:	'A' has balanced and 'B' has 🗟	
	electromagnetism	
Image as a partnership	: Medium To High ອ	
Towards each other:	'A' will slightly dominate 'B'; 'B' wilfully	
	absorbs energy of 'A'. They make a	
	very good team	
Overall:	Positive and strong relationship; they	
	work together as a team and	
	individually contribute to the success	
	of the partnership	



Although they are balanced and equal to one another they are placed on the positive active expulsive side of the scales as the dominant plusses will electrify their relationship making it sparkle whilst that will only be a subterfuge as the recessive minuses will be pleasantly absorbing all the energy. They get on well together but the energy fields nullify one another. One gives, the other takes. There's little left over to do anything more. They can work as a team and be reasonably successful together but the lack of an additional spark will cause them to irritate each other from time to time but without malevolence.

Scale: 4 🖲				
Poles	Balance	Remainder		
(A)		None		
(B) •••	<u> </u>	None		
Image as individuals:	/ 'A' has total € and 'B' has total Passive electromagnetism			
Image as a partnership: Medium to Low 🖲				
Towards each other:	'A' will totally dominate 'B'; 'B' will			
	totally absorb energy of 'A'. They			
	balance each other out perfectly.			
Overall:	Positively charged relationship; they are able to combine their talents automatically, each respecting the work of the other and neither left with the ammunition to cause competition between themselves.			



This partnership works extremely well. They know how to handle each other when the going gets tough or they have lost patience with each other. Because they are mainly Recessive energy they can absorb antagonism with a shrug. The partner with the two dynamics will be the driver but the real power and manipulation will be coming from the other's three receivers. In their relationships as a couple with others they will appear as two individuals in a unit. There will be no sense of 'clinging' here but there will be a recognisable 'commitment'. The flow of electromagnetic energy is very well balanced, its dynamic strength being absorption.



Scale: 4 🗐

	Poles	Balance	Remainder	
(A)		\$ € \$	۲	
(B)	***		0	
Image a	as individuals:	Both Recessive ele	'Both Recessive electromagnetic	
		energy		
Image a	as a partnership	: Medium To High 🖲		
Towards each other:		The extra energy pulse of 'A' will		
		predominate in the r	elationship but 'B'	
		will rule the roost.		
Overall:		They will be quite well matched.		
		Although there will be flare-ups from		
		time to time they will	work well	
		together and appear	so particularly as	
		a couple to outsiders	5.	



There won't be any shortness of talk in this relationship. It will be testy at times and quarrels will abound. However, each will share the excitement of existence with the other but the remaining poles being the same, neither party will be able to curtail the excesses of the other. Individualism though strong here is not big enough to overlord the relationship. The chances of success are excellent. Both are aiming in the same direction, both will be flexible and show a high degree of tolerance for the other. However, whenever one party or the other oversteps the mark it will be like setting a lighthouse on fire.

Scale: 5 🖲

	Poles	Balance	Remainder
A)			۲
B)			۲

Image as individuals:	Both extrovert	
Image as a partnership	: High 🖲	
Towards each other:	Head to head; each equally	
	domineering	
Overall:	Sparks galore but exciting and	
	survivable; 'A' will be entirely equal to	
	'B' but the placement of the poles will	
	give greater authority to 'A' in some	
	instances and to 'B' in others	



A very safe and secure relationship. Both waiting for the other to drive the vehicle. They are both inter-dependable neither showing signs of superiority. They will be balanced in themselves and bring that together so that they are warm and friendly and not given to excess in their relationship with one another. This is a strong electromagnetic coupling but it lacks a dimension, which only another transmitter can bring. They will languish in the comfort of each other's company but it will never blow the top off the mountain.

Scale: 5 🖲

	Poles	Balance	Remainder
(A)		NO P	None
(B)		* *	None

Image as individuals: Both balanced electromagnetismImage as a partnership: Balanced; medium to low PassiveTowards each other:Supportive; neither dominantOverall:Balanced in their manner and fair;
nothing excessive. No sparks to ignite
or destroy. 'A' may have tendency to
dominate but withdraws from the
challenge of 'B' and vice versa



Hugely successful EMF attraction. Very electromagnetically attracted to one another and a very attractive partnership. They work well together. Able to share responsibility. This is one of the most successful relationships of all notwithstanding any idiosyncratic personality traits. Also, because of the predominance of "+" energy, it is a very sparky, energetic partnership.

Scale: 6 🖲




Image as individuals:	'A' is balanced and 'B' is extrovert		
Image as a partnership: High 🖲			
Towards each other:	'B' slightly more dominant than 'A' but		
	'A' will easily hold his own allowing 'B'		
	to lead.		
Overall:	Positive relationship; able to operate		
	independently as well as together		



A perfect relationship. They will thrive on each other's company. There is an abundance of Receptive energy that dominates here whilst the active plusses move unrestrictedly in the arena of company, which is something they adore. Those with four Receivers will delight in the sparkling dynamism of the types with three Transmitters. Their one Receiver makes them more sensitive than others for the four Receivers types and the latter positively buzz on this vibrancy. What makes them particularly attractive not only to one another but as a team is that they are on the Passive side of the scale so they are fearlessly confronting the world around them.

Scale: 6 🖲

	Poles	Balance	Remainder
(A)		e e e e	۲
(B)			۲

Image as individuals:	'A' has Active and 'B' has totally
	Passive electromagnetism
Image as a partnership	: Medium to Low 🖲
Towards each other:	'A' will slightly dominate 'B' while 'B'
	wilfully absorbs energy of 'A'. They
	make a very good team
Overall:	Positive partnership; they have the capacity for a very successful relationship. 'B' will allow the flightiness of 'A' to happily run free and 'A' in return will delight in the receptivity of 'B'



They are in perfect harmony and the attraction will be immediate and lifelong because they balance out. However, unlike other couplets that also balance out, they are unique in that like hermaphrodite they are both Active and Passive simultaneously and cancel out the force in each other. Therefore they are in danger of turning harmony into disharmony at one and the same time. Even in this they will be attracted to one another. They will simply acquiesce or agree to disagree. The three receivers in one will always be the dominant force over the three transmitters in the other whereas it should be the other way round. The three transmitters' type can be drained by the enormous power of the other to receive. I feel this is the reason for their natural tendency to let it be ... whatever the outcome.

Scale: 7 ./

	Poles	Balance	Remainder
(A)			None
(B)			None
Image	e as individuals:	'A' is Expulsive and	B' is Receptive
Image	e as a partnership	: Perfect Balance	
Towar	rds each other:	'A' will strive to dom	inate but will be
		nullified if not overw	helmed by the
		power of the recept	ive force in 'B'
Overa	III:	They complement c	one another
		perfectly; one harm	onizes with the
		other. This in turn c	an lead to
		disharmony and dys	sfunction, which is
		inbuilt.	

INTERPRETATION of the magnetic codes

The balance or imbalance of the **■**'s and **●**'s determine not

only the motivational force behind every human being on the planet but also their interaction with the forces of others.

Although the SER table sets out every possible one-to-one combination, it can be applied to groups of any number and what can be understood from this is where I think the code can be adapted to maximum affect. In fact, sports managers, in particular, will find it indispensable when choosing a team, as can many others dealing with group situations.

Bradley Wiggins	Sportsman	28/4/80	• = = •	
David Radcliffe	Actor	23/7/89		
Cheryl Cole	Singer	30/6/83	• • • •	
Tracey Emin	Artist	3/7/63	• • • •	
Tony Blair	Politician	6/5/53	•••	
And for American rea	ders (US date-s	tyle sho	wn)	
Michael Jordan	Sportsman	2/17/63		
Harrison Ford	Actor	7/13/42	888	
Britney Spears	Singer	12/2/81		
Andy Warhol	Artist	8/6/28	•••	
Barak Obama	Politician	8/4/61		
Clearly, all these people are the same type i.e				
no consequence how the $\textcircled{\baselinesises}$'s and $\textcircled{\baselinesises}$'s are placed in each array.				

This means they have two pullers and two pushers. What might

strike you about them when you visualize them in your mind is how relaxed, friendly and personable they all are but, more than that, how 'balanced' they appear to be, even if they may be grumpy. No matter what their situation in life or what position they have taken in their dealings with the world around them, eee characters are driven by their internal engine of give and take in equal measures. They strive at all times to be fair or balanced; even when they may become desperados like Saddam Hussein, or self-righteous like Tony Blair, they will press to achieve balance in their expression of it, perhaps by counterbalancing their acts with rewards for those they care about.

I would not like you to look at the above lists and think I am talking about these people on a personal level for I am not. Of course I am not. I have never met them. But at the level *I am talking about* I know them intimately for I am talking about what *informs* them in their behaviour. Some may be cool and aloof, others, warm and engaging, but that is their public persona. What is behind their masks – their drivers - is the make-up or distribution of poles that, in this case, directs them to be 'balanced'. This applies to despots as much as to saints and scholars.

e e e very diplomatic in order to get what they want..
Friendly as they appear to be, you will always feel they are 'observing' rather than participating and when something

disinterests them they are cool and aloof. As they go up ladders you'll often see them place one foot after the other in a really steady pace. To go on the stage, they'll prepare a lot as a counter-weight. Even if they give the performance of their lives, they will hardly be boastful of it after the event. They don't go over the top – ever!

Here's an interesting fact which I briefly touched on earlier. In the last 150 years there have been 15 popes. The selection of a Pope is highly political and secretive. The manoeuvrings behind the scenes, the many failures to elect a preferred candidate, the need to strive for someone suitable to deal with the destiny of the church and the desires of its officials who run it make it a lethal time for the cardinals when making their choice. The throne of Rome is unto death and really all powerful. Yet, to date, no one who was **••••** or **••••** has been elected and there has been a majority of 7 who were **••••** followed by 5 who were **••••** one of whom was Albino Luciani the allegedly murdered pope who reigned for

only 33 days and 2 were ● ● ● ● ● including the current pope Benedict XVI.

The fact is that it is the *choice* people are given to make *depending on the role* that causes the predominance of the selection of one type or another. And this is what is the most astonishing thing about the code. I will show you various other disciplines where other types predominated, but let's stick to ended of the sequence - of the ended of the does not matter. It is simply reflecting how the composition was arrived at. For example, ended ended is the same as ended of the sequence.

years have been **** *** (these guys love the stage) yet in the first 150 years they were mostly ****** types. This shows the way the USA has changed from a deeply conservative one to an all-teeth-and-braces nation.

And so it is, too, for the other types, as you will see. Many of the world's most successful men, particularly in computing, are predominantly blue **SSS**, whilst at the other end of the pole **SSS**, who are also big on the world's stage, usually become leaders. And the back-bone to everything is the **SSS** type – steady, reliable, introspective. You will find them everywhere producing order and glue in all around them. Without this type I am certain the world would explode. But to put things into perspective, look at these:-

Bill Gates	Microsoft	28 Oct 1955	
Steve Jobs	Apple	24 Feb 1955	
Rupert Murdoch	News Corp	11 Mar 1931	
Mark Zuckerberg	Facebook	14 May 1984	•==•
Larry Page	Google	26 Mar 1973	••••
Sergey Brin	Google	21 Aug 1973	••••
Richard Branson	Virgin	18 Jul 1950	
Ted Turner	Media Mogul	19 Nov 1938	
Donald Trump	Trump Org.	14 June 1946	
Craig Venter	Biologist	14 Oct 1946	

The list is a random selection of some of the most important and well-known people of our time. Of the 10 listed, they consist of:-

```
3 who are ●●●●
5 who are ●●●●
2 who are ●●●●
```

They can be recognised as some of the most successful and rich individuals in the world. Some made it through luck, others, through their cleverness. Others, still, through a combination of unseen or unknown factors. If the magnetic code was to see patterns in every group then why not in this one. Notwithstanding the fact that there are slightly more chances of a eee types appearing than the others, surely, there ought not to be many more of one type than another? Surely, there ought not to be a pattern of the whole group of 5 types relevant to the method I've employed to assess them. If randomness was at work here, which is what is actually the case for, on the face of it, I 'appointed' each type, there ought to be a fair and roughly even distribution of the five types. But there isn't. There never is!

Anyone can win the lottery. Anyone can rise to be the richest man or woman in the world if the circumstances are right. Your EMF, birth sign or personality may help you to get there but not

So let's add to what we have in the populists above by including the top ten richest individuals in the world according to Forbes. Now what could be more random than that? After all, I didn't choose them off the top of my head.

Carlos Slim	Mexico	28 Jan 1940	
Bill (again) Gates	USA	28 Oct 1955	
Warren Buffett	USA	30 Aug 1930	
Bernard Arnault	France	05 Mar 1949	
Ormancio Ortega	Spain28 Mar	1936 🛛 🖲 🖲 🖲	ð
Lawrence Ellison	USA	17 Aug 1944	
Eike Batista	Brazil	03 Nov 1956	9688
Stefan Persson	Sweden	04 Oct 1947	
Li Ka-shing	China	13 Jun 1928	**
Karl Albrecht	Germany	20 Feb 1920	

And I would like to add those who were in the list until recently, including...

Lakshmi Mittal	India	15 Jun	1950	•••
Mukesh Ambani	India	19 Apr	1957	
John T Walton	USA	08 Oct	1946	
Ingvar Kamprad	Sweden	30 Mar	1926	***
Rinat Akhmetov	Ukraine	21 Sep	1966	***
Kushal Pal Singh	India 15 Nov	1931	8888	

Oleg Deripaska	Russia	02 Jan 1968	9999
Sheldon Adelson	USA	04 Aug 1933	8888
David Thomson	Canada	12 Jun 1957	

And here is the spread of types exactly as you would expect there to be when it is randomness taking place...

There are 28 names listed above including those in the first list. Of that number, there are:

4 who are •••• 4 who are •••• 10 who are •••• 4 who are •••• 6 who are ••••

The fact is the weighting is altogether different when the person is 'selected'. Remember, all of these people are self-made successes. It is individualism at its best. It is randomness, isn't

it? But it is still strange because randomness is what does NOT occur in certain groupings such elections of popes, presidents and prime ministers and the like when the individual has to rely on others for his position. Then, something else is happening; I call it Electromagnetic force field (EMF) recognition. When people are being 'chosen', it is their 'force field' that is being recognised even though it is often masked by personality or sun sign traits. When people are attracted to one another and come together for a common purpose they soon know if they can achieve the objective set for the group as the truth behind their masks becomes more evident in the behaviour determined by their EMF type. Truth to tell, none of the individuals would be able to relate that to you. Instead, it would be a feeling caused by what we would say was their 'frustrating behaviour, 'their unreliability', 'their self-centredness', and the rest of the panoply we would use to identify our struggle with them.

Let's take a look at some of these groups

The Bankers

The first decade of the 21st century saw the collapse of the financial world through banking greed, excess, law-breaking and directorial madness. Regulations had been relaxed and

controls that made corruption far more difficult to enact and far more easy to detect were removed in the mid nineties. Although there are many others who were also involved, I think it is fair to say that this is a list of the most culpable and renowned who can be immediately recognised as having contributed to or made the banking collapse a certainty and a destructive force in their respective countries...

Glenn Hubbard	Deregulation Advocate	US	5 Sept 1958
Ken Lay	ENRON	US	15 Apr 1942
Richard Fuld	LEHMAN	US	26 Apr 1946
Henry Paulson	SECURITIES	US	28 Mar 1946
Fred Goodwin	RBS	UK	17 Aug 1958
Sean Fitzpatrick	ANGLO IRISH	IRL	25 May 1948
Michael Fingleton	IRISH PERMANENT	IRL	1 Jan 1938

All of them are the same type i.e. .

Amazing, eh!

This isn't to imply that everyone who is **SSS** is like one of these, no more than when I say that most mass murderers are born in the Year of the Dog means that everyone born in the Year of the Dog is a mass murderer. Of course not! What I am pointing out is that, given the circumstances, these types, who I always think of as people who love the stage, have the greatest

propensity for what they did. There will, undoubtedly, have been others too, perhaps less to the fore, but these are the ones that really stick out and in the case of the two Irish fellows, their actions brought a whole country - not just a company - to its knees.

Bands of the 1960's

Beatles	John	09 Dec 1940	****
	Paul	18 Jun 1942	•••
	George	25 Feb 1943	
	Ringo	07 Jul 1940	
George	Martin	03 Jan 1926	

Discussed more full in a later chapter, The Beatles were the only band in history let alone in the 60's who, including George Martin who was known as the fifth Beatle because of his contribution, represented each one of the five types. You can see all the interactions in this one group of individuals. So not only were they balanced individually, perfectly counterbalancing one another, but they were balanced in the sight of the audience. 10 \circledast / 10 \boxdot

Rolling	Mick	26 Jul 1943	8880
Stones	Keith	18 Dec 1943	

Brian (Jones)	28 Feb 1942	
Bill	24 Oct 1936	
Charlie	02 Jun 1941	

This is a classic to show what I am talking about. When you think of the Rolling Stones you think of 'energy' and dynamism. But that was just their stage act and even at that it was only Mick prancing about the stage. The others just stood still and let everything come to them. The one guy with the big dynamic was Charlie but he was caged behind the drums so he gave it his all by banging them. But just look at them in interviews, in life, in films they are completely opposite to 'dynamism'. They were and are a laconic band. Their performances are to direct the audience's energy towards them and, with the exception of Mick as I said, not toward the audience in true $\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \odot$ style. And they are still there today – or at least some of them are. They came across in their stage presence as 12/20ths \bigcirc

Beach	Brian	20 Jun 1942	•••
Boys	Mike	15 Mar 1941	
	AI	03 Sep 1942	
	Dennis	04 Dec 1944	
	Carl	21 Dec 1946	

Well, as you can see, these are opposite to the Stones but only just. One thing about them both though was they hardly moved on stage. I once attended a performance of theirs and helped to boo them off the stage they were so bad. However, they

consist of five guys who all had to sing in harmony as well as play so maybe I should say 'sorry'. Stage presence was 13/20ths+

Byrds	Roger	17 Jul 1942	
	Dave	14 Aug 1941	
	Gene	17 Nov 1944	
	Chris	04 Dec 1944	••••
	Michael	03 Jun 1946	

Another band that hardly moved on stage were The Byrds. One of my all-time favourites, The Byrds version of Mr Tambourine Man will be played to me when I die. As a band on stage they came across as musically very dynamic in the early days. On balance they tip the plus side which probably accounted for some movement on stage by Michael. I was bitterly disappointed to learn only recently that none of them were allowed to play on that Tambourine record! Many changes over the years, this was the original line-up. With three guys who were the balanced ●●● ● they were set fair to cross the line with care. These guys had a strong Stage presence in those halcyon days. 11/20ths ●

Monkees	Davy	30 Dec 1945	••••
	Micky	08 Mar 1945	
	Peter	13 Feb 1942	•••
	Mike	30 Dec 1942	

Yeh! What a classic. Just look at the make up? There's another **•••••** type in there and there is also a very close similarity to the Beatles. Notice it? Each one of them is one of the 5 types! But they have a different dynamic than the Beatles which made them unbalanced in favour of screen presence. I know what you are going to say. They were a TV product! And you're right. Maybe that's why they were dynamic. Big 10/16ths **•** stage presence.

Hollies	Alan	05 Apr 1942	
	Graham	02 Feb 1944	**
	Eric	03 Feb 1944	•••
	Bobby	08 Dec1941	•••
	Tony	16 Dec 1945	

One of the most under rated bands of the sixties, I think. Just look at the dynamic of this group's make-up when they started. I included Graham Nash because I'm looking at bands at the start and not how they ended up. These guys were fantastic on stage and brilliant on record with fantastic harmonies and the stupendous voice of Alan Clark. I saw them many times and I can honestly say they were terrific on stage. But there's not a lot of room for so many $\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ who are 'all teeth and braces types, so even though they were brilliant together Graham soon left for America and Eric left in dispute with the management. Now why doesn't that surprise me? On stage a strong presence 13/20ths .

Hermans	Peter	05 Nov 1947	
Hermits	Keith	26 Oct 1946	
	Karl	31 Jul 1947	
	Derek	14 May 1943	
	Barry	21 Jul 1946	

The complete opposite to The Hollies! Whatever you think and whatever you say. this group was one of the most successful UK bands to hit the US and who could forget "I'm into something good", another for my deathbed. You have to go all the way back to the Beatles to find another See and here we have two together. It's like busses. These were probably one of the least dynamic groups of all time whose lead singer, like Mick of the Rolling Stones, also liked to prance around on stage and was brilliant at getting the audience going, and keeping their attention, whilst the rest of the band seemed asleep behind them. Stage presence here is 14/20ths SWOW!

Cliff &	Cliff	14 Oct 1940	***
Shadows	Hank	28 Oct 1941	
	Bruce	02 Nov 1941	
	Jet	06 Jul 1939	
	Tony	02 Mar 1943	

Wait a second. Am I seeing things? There's a couple of e e e e guys in this line up. It can't be true? It must be a

miracle. Well, not really, as I want to explain what you're looking at is one of the most interesting setups of them all. First, notice where the red is? Yeh! all teeth in braces type again. It's Cliff. He brings the only dynamic to the group, which is great seeing as he's the lead singer but the band as it was originally had some terrific talent. Jet Harris and Tony Meehan left and had a hit with their own recording but soon after that they broke up (exactly right if you're a '0' on the relationship scale). The line up changed over the years but the main drive was left to the two with 'reds' in their make-up. Very lacklustre performances, but great instrumentalists! With Cliff they were a mere 5/20ths 🖲 but, on their own, they were the most laid back group ever with 14/16ths S. They could have been asleep while they playing, they were so laid back. I wonder what it was ever like to attend their concerts before the days of the likes of.....

Pink	Roger	06 Sep 1943	
Floyd	Nick	27 Feb 1944	8888
	Richard	28 Jul 1943	8888
	Syd	06 Jan 1946	
	David	06 Mar 1943	

Yes, folks, one of the world's greatest bands - Pink Floyd in the flesh. But what's that I see yonder – predominantly blue in the make-up. Again this is another group given over to 'receiving'

energy rather than dashing about the stage or even moving about the stage like mad dervishes. You might think it strange that their stage presence is 5/20ths ● when they reveal such energy in their music but that's exactly where their energy lies – their music - because they want you to come to them

Okay, let me show you what I mean by energy in the original line up of this band...

Small	Steve	30 Jan 1947	$\odot \odot \odot \odot$
Faces	Ronnie	01 Apr 1946	•••
	Kenny	16 Sep 1948	• • • •
	Jimmy	20 Apr 1945	

This group had energy in their music and performance to "beat the band" (sorry, no pun intended). They were a distinctive 11/16ths ●. Heap big power. Even bigger than the Monkees!

Right! Let's go to the opposite extreme...

Spencer	Spencer	17 Jul 1939	99999
Davis	Steve	12 May 1948	
Group	Muff	15 Jun 1943	
	Pete	15 Aug 1942	

Just look at this for dynamics!!! The Spencer Davis Group were the total opposite to the Small Faces and a healthy heap of

whatever is making Pink Floyd live. These guys were absolutely brilliant but what they did was instilled in their talent not in their cavorting on stage. They have a wild stage presence of only 7/16ths
Ever hear of them? Go check 'em out on youtube. What a band!

Bee Gees	Barry	01 Sep 1946	
	Robin	22 Dec 1949	
	Maurice	22 Dec 1949	

This is a difficult one because a) they were siblings and b) two of the three were twins. Anyway, they had a marginally favoured plus dynamic of 7/12ths
in their stage presence. They could go either way but the emphasis was on pushing.

And as for the BBC...

Well, not really the BBC. It could be any company. In order for a company to be truly successful it needs to have the right management team in place. But, in the first instance, you need to have the right person at the helm. Once they reach this plateau of management they are home and dry as far as their careers are concerned being treated as 'one of the boys' and qualifications have little to do with it. With golden handshakes and golden goodbyes, they are above it – the

damage they can do to a company and often in a very short time. Some of them we have already seen in the Bankers, but they're not all bad managers or psychopaths as brilliantly exposed and described in their book "Snakes in Suits: When Psychopaths go to work" by Babiak and Hare. Some are actually very good indeed and have the capacity to lead great teams.

Rock Bands of course come together 'choosing one another' to associate with at a very personal level. So let's take a look at other groups who reflect more randomness.

Presidents of The World Bank

Eugene Meyer	31 October	1875	
John J McCloy	31 March	1895	
Eugene R Black	1 May	1898	
George D Woods	n/k	1901	?? 🖲 🗐
Robert McNamara	9 June	1916	
Alden W Clausen	17 February	1923	
Barber Conable	2 November	1922	
Lewis T Preston	5 August	1926	
Paul Wolfowitz	22 December	1943	
Robert Zoellick	25 July	1953	
Jim Yong Kim	8 December	1959	

Notice there are no

And another group, the Chairmen of the Federal Reserve

Charles S Hamlin	30 August	1861	
William P Harding	5 May	1864	
Daniel R Crissinger	10 December	1860	
Roy A Young	17 May	1882	•••
Eugene L Meyer	31 October	1875	
Eugene R Black	7 January	1873	
Marriner S Eccles	9 September	1890	•••
Thomas B McCabe	11 July	1893	
William M Martin	17 December	1906	•••
Arthur F Burns	27 April	1904	•••
G. William Miller	9 March	1925	
Paul A Volcker	5 September	1927	
Alan Greenspan	6 March	1926	
Ben S Bernanke	13 December	1953	

Yes, it is worth repeating this important factor – this is a fair and reasonable distribution of the different types as you might expect from randomness but it just does not happen when people are 'chosen' by others – an electorate – who have little personal knowledge of the candidates such as being selected for a political position by the people or on the basis of a job

interview when the candidate is unknown to the selection panel.

The apparent lack of randomness which does not occur when people are 'selected' is happening because of our EMF being unconsciously recognised. When people are 'chosen' by others, it is their 'force field' that is being realised even though it is often masked by personality or sun sign traits. When people are attracted to one another and come together for a common purpose they soon know if they can achieve the objective set for the group as the truth behind their masks becomes more evident in the behaviour determined by their EMF type. On the outside, none of the individuals would be able to tell you that. Instead, it would be a feeling caused by what we, the judges, would say was their 'frustrating behaviour, 'their unreliability', 'their self-centredness', and the rest of the panoply we would use to identify our struggle with them.

Where does that take us?

Whatever about one-to-one personal relationships, what it means, say, in employment terms or membership terms for example, is that your company or group are selecting people thinking they are making the best judgment about based on their prospects' cv's or history or talent or all three but they are really choosing 'blind'. For the prospects to take their place amongst the group they are being selected for, the selectors

themselves may not be actual members of the group. Indeed, you, yourself, could be the head of department as a selector and not actually be involved in the daily interactions so in order to get the right person, they need to have the right EMF to gel. The information I am giving you can help you to choose with your eyes wide open whatever the circumstances. Now, wouldn't that be cool? Not only would it be good for the morale of the group, it would be good for the company or group itself in attaining its purpose.

Here are some examples of what I mean. You have a company that sells 'Double Glazing'

You want

You do NOT want

Sales Manager SSS (* SSS disastrous here if cold calling) Sales people * SSS (* SSS or SSSS Backup to Sales (in this instance you won't be around long enough to need 'backup') Office Manager – n/a

Here's another example. You have a shop in town that sells holidays and trips.

You want

You do NOT want

One more for luck. You have a company selling an internationally renowned product that only your company makes.

You want

Sales Manager . Sales People . Sales and . Sales people . Sales . and . Sales . Sales

You do NOT want

But what about sports, I hear you say

Good question. Little is better than sports for understanding teamwork and team interactivity. This is the 2003 England Rugby World Cup winning team

15 Josh Lewsey	30/11/76	9 3 3
14 Jason Robinson	30/07/74	9999
13 Will Greenwood	20/10/72	ی چ ک ک
12 Mike Tindall	18/10/78	
11 Ben Cohen	14/09/78	۲ کی چ
10 Jonny Wilkinson	25/05/79	ی ک ک
9 Matt Dawson	31/10/72	9888
8 Lawrence Dallaglio	10/08/72	••••
7 Neil Back	16/01/79	ی کی ک
6 Richard Hill	23/05/73	
5 Ben Kay	14/12/75	9 3 9 6
4 Martin Johnson	09/03/70	
3 Phil Vickery	02/05/61	
2 Steve Thomson	15/07/78	
1 Trevor Woodman	4/8/1976	9999

Total: 35 25

0 x • • • • • 2 x • • • • • 7 x • • • • • 5 x • • • • 1 x • • • •

7 backs = 18/28 10/28

8 forwards = 17/32 15/32

AUSTRALIA

15 Matt Rogers	1/2/76	
14 Wendell Sailor	16/7/74	•••
13 Stirling Mortlock	20/5/77	
12 Elton Flatley	7/5/77	
11 Lote Taqiri	23/9/79	8886
10 Stephen Larkin	29/5/74	$\odot \odot \odot \odot$
9 George Gregan	19/4/73	••••
8 David Lyons	15/6/80	
7 Phil Waugh	22/9/79	8886
6 George Smith	14/7/80	9999
5 Nathan Sharpe	26/2/78	888
4 Justin Harrison	20/4/74	
3 Al Baxter	21/1/77	ی چ ک ک
2 Brendan Canon	5/4/73	800
1 Bill Young	4/3/74	

Total: 33 27 3

```
7 backs = 16/8 12/28 
8 forwards = 17/32 15/32
```

The result was England 20 – Australia 17 brought about by a last second drop goal by Wilkinson. The margin between the teams was equal to the finish. But you can just see the tipping point in England's favour in the 'backs', which is where the final claim of the game came from.

The two teams were identical in distribution except for one – England had one more ●●●● and one less ●●● while, conversely, Australia had one more ●●●● and one less ●●●●.

DECODE YOUR RELATIONSHIPS 3

Relationships in families, friends and partners

The most successful relationships are those in which there is one more O pole in the electromagnetic make-up of one of the two parties but getting the dynamic of the relationship right is key. In other words, in addition to an extra transmitter, you need an overall balance of both transmitters and receivers.

So far, much of what we have reflected on concerns one to one relationships and although it is the recognition of the X factor in attraction it is not a recipe for long-term marital success or otherwise. It is only the determinant factor in drawing people together in the first place. What makes that relationship succeed has to do with personal circumstances and they change all the time. Of course, one to one relationships are the kernel of ALL relationships and the soulmate code recognises that but its heart is to show what is going on in the EMF of people so that it is at its most effective in use when employed in the understanding, control and movement of groups or large numbers of people. From families to business, from sports to pop bands, from organisations to politics or anything else you can think of, this is an instrument that can manage events.

In Families

Let's take a look at a given family. Using the charts, gather together all the dynamics. Here's an example:-

Father			
Mother	•••	9/16	۲
Son	8888	7/16	Θ
Daughter			

First of all you will notice there are 9/16ths. and 7/16ths which although reasonably balanced will make this family overall a dynamic one leaning as they do to the Active a side of the chart. The father and the mother will be separate and exceedingly individualistic. Their relationship is only a 1 on the Active side of the chart. The father and daughter and the father and son will have the best relationship with a 6 Active and a 6 Passive respectively. The mother and son will also relate well with a 4 on the Active sole. The son and daughter will be marginally less so. The mother and daughter will have the poorest relationship with a 2 Active on the scale.

Father and Mother	= 1🖲			
Father and Son = 6	Mother	r and Son	= 3🖲	
Father and Daughter	= 6 🖲	Mother and I	Daughter	= 2 🖲
Son and Daughter	= 3🖲			

The one relationship that will be difficult will be between the Mother and Father and the outcome of this may visit itself on

the others but all in all this will be a dynamic group with lots of clashes.

Take this family as another example:

Father	000	
Mother		
Son		15/20 🖲
Daughter 1		5/20 💿
Daughter 2	• • • •	

This is a highly dynamic active group. Huge individualism here; all will be pulling in their own directions leaving the father and daughter 2 to suffer as they carry the only rests in the family's electromagnetic make-up. The inter-relationships between the mother, son and daughter 1 will be highly active and each will be pulling strongly only for themselves. The Mother and Father relationship will be 3 on the Active scale and well attracted to each other but they will be sorely challenged as a couple by the demands of the other two 49 individuals. This will leave little room for the needs of daughter 2 with two ; she will suffer with all three of them as she can only reach a level 2 on the Active side of the relationship scales. She will have her best chance with the father with whom she will be a 4 on the Passive scale. However, because he will be under the cosh from the other three he will have insufficient energy to devote to properly nurturing the needs of daughter 2.

Father and Mother	= 3🖲		
Father and Son	= 3🖲	Mother and Son	= 0🖲
Father and Daughter 1	= 3🖲	Mother and Daughter 1	= 0🖲
Father and Daughter 2	= 4🖲	Mother and Daughter 2	= 2🖲
Son and Daughter 1	= 0 🖲		
Son and Daughter 2	= 2 🖲		
Daughter 1 & 2	= 2 🖲		

And here is a family that is largely laconic and passive.

Father		
Mother	**	
Son 1		11/24 🖲
Son 2		13/24 🖲
Son 3		
Daughter	•••	

Son 2 and Son 3 are 3[•] and 4[•] on the SER scales respectively. The Mother is even better linked on the scales with the sons than the father and the siblings are also well balanced to get along with each other in a helpful way.

= 6🖲		
= 5🖲	Mother and Son 1	= 6🖲
= 3🖲	Mother and Son 2	= 6 🖲
= 4🖲	Mother and Son 3	= 7
= 6 🖲	Mother and Daughter	= 5🖲
	= 5 = 3 = 4	 = 5^o Mother and Son 1 = 3^o Mother and Son 2 = 4^o Mother and Son 3

Now let us look at a highly dynamic family.

Father	•••	
Mother	•••	
Son 1		19/24 🖲
Son 2	•••	5/24 🖲
Daughter 1		
Daughter 2		

A family such as this would be entirely dysfunctional. I would expect them to disintegrate as there is far too much Transmitter energy. Son 1 would be its only hope for relief and it would not be enough. There would be huge flare-ups and mental – possibly physical – violence.

My personal observation of...

The Beatles and George Martin

John Lennon	9 Oct 1940	، چ ک ک	
Paul McCartney	18 Jun 1942		8/16 🖲
George Harrison	25 Feb 1943		8/16 🗐
Ringo Starr	7 Jul 1940		
George Martin	3 Jan 1926		

Well, everything we have come to learn and know about The Beatles is obvious as you rest your eyes on the electromagnetic make-up above of the famous four both individually and collectively as well as in their relationships with each other.

First of all, as a group, they are perfectly balanced with 8/16 Active ● and 8/16 Passive ●. The next thing to notice is the Actives all rest with Lennon and McCartney and the Passives with Harrison and Starr. On an individual basis Harrison gives the backbone to the group while Starr is clearly the one who likes to draw attention towards himself. Lennon is all flash while McCartney is the consistent untiring driving force. As for relationships the Lennon McCartney one only scores 1 on the scale. And we have seen the brilliance and sparring of these

two as they went their separate ways very early when writing Initially, relationships of 49 with 391 types are songs. magical but the magic soon wears off, as they are both highly individualistic. As for the Lennon and Harrison relationship this type is very successful with a 69 on the Passive side and between Lennon and Starr they are a 7 Balanced relationship. This latter relationship is, remember, a hermaphrodite electromagnetic relationship and includes its own destruction in its attractiveness. McCartney and Harrison score an Active 40, which would make for a good magnetic relationship whilst McCartney and Starr would be only slightly less so at 39 Active. Harrison and Starr of course would be a 19 Passive relying on the dynamic of Starr to bolster the relationship. Harrison, successful in his own right, as party to the group made the appropriate and famous reply to the question "how long will you be a Beatle?" saying "I'll be a Beatle as long as people want me to be a Beatle" in typical 4 Receiver fashion.

Partnership	<u>SER</u>
Lennon & McCartney	= 1🖲
Lennon & Harrison	= 6🖲
Lennon & Starr	= 7⊛/⊜
Harrison & Starr= 1🖲	
McCartney & Harrison	= 4🖲
McCartney & Starr	= 3🖲

What made them almost unique was the recognised contribution of Sir George Martin, their producer, who was always referred to as the fifth Beatle. Martin's EMF was + - + -. This means The Beatles including George Martin were made up of each one of the five types of EMF giving them complete balance. In passing, it is worth noting the electromagnetic make-up of the famous duo John and Yoko.

John 9 Oct 1940 €€€€ Yoko 18 Feb 1933 €€€€

The perfect balance of **7**. as I have said before, has its own built-in self-destruct mechanism. The attraction is so magnetically strong it goes on despite everything.

Another famous grouping is the **Apollo 11 Astronauts**, Neil Armstrong, Buzz Aldrin and Michael Collins, who formed the first lunar landing mission team in July 1969.

Armstrong	5 Aug 1930	•••	
Aldrin	20 Jan 1930	$\odot \odot \odot \odot$	9/12 🖲
Collins	31 Oct 1930	•••	3/12 🖲

In any other circumstance I would not have partnered Armstrong with Aldrin as they would tend to rile one another. Being focused on survival, as they would have to be under the extreme conditions they would have been placed in, meant they were ideally suited EMF-wise to make a good go of

achieving the objective. As a trio, they form a powerful 9/12ths dynamic force that I would expect to be real goal achievers. Collins would have made the perfect backup in his position as Command Module Pilot. His balanced electromagnetic makeup of 2 and 2(-) would have been ideally suited for the role and in addition he would have made a superb counter to the potential 'me first' clashes of the other two. Buzz Aldrin's 4 would have made him a real go-getter; a John Wayne type whilst Neil Armstrong would have been the pin-up boy with a little more reserve thanks to the single Receiver. In other words if you were going to do something impossible or difficult you couldn't pick a better team. These guys only know one way to go, being major transmitter types; getting along together is something else.

Their SER Relationships with each other would have been

Armstrong & Aldrin	= 1🖲
Armstrong & Collins	= 6 🖲
Collins & Aldrin	= 2 🖲

As you can see, Both Armstrong and Aldrin's EMF is 'good to go' with Collins. Collins makes an ideal backbone to this trio but it's the duo of Armstrong and Aldrin that would be incompatible. Certainly, there is powerful dynamism here but it would be particularly interesting as Armstrong and not Aldrin would be given pole position. 4. types do not do 'support'. I

would have expected Aldrin to make things difficult for Armstrong. What actually happened? We don't know.

You can take any group or team and, applying this method, realise its potential. For example, if you are in a sales office, the last thing you want is the overall make-up of the team to be laconic or overly passive at worst. No, it needs to be dynamic. However, if you have too much dynamism it will fail you in the end, as there will be no respite from the driving force, which is a necessary component for a healthy group mentality. On the other hand if you are a team of librarians the last thing you want is an over-abundance of dynamism. No, here you will want more laconicism - more passivity but with a good injection of actives for balance. Get it right and I can promise you happiness for all.

This is true for sports teams also. If the make-up of the electromagnetic mix is properly addressed it will make the difference between a good and bad team performance. More reason for having mainly dynamic people up front, balanced people in the middle and the Passives in defence. The latter will be brilliant at soaking up whatever comes at them and the former won't understand the meaning of the word retreat.

Companies can succeed or fail in the marketplace as a result of the feelings of their most important asset – their staff. Personnel can be affected by their immediate office

environment as well as by inter-office relationships. It has to be said of course that individuals can be unhappy through a clash of personalities on an individual basis but adverse group conditions can be just as detrimental to both the individual as well as the company. The adage, "horses for courses", applies here. If the dynamics are too "Active" this will not be a good thing in an Accounts department. Conversely, if the dynamics are too "Passive" in a Sales department this could be disastrous also. The same applies in sports. Take Soccer or American Football - if the attacking players are magnetically Passive they aren't going to win much and if the defensive players are too magnetically Active they will not be able to absorb the pressure of the opposing attackers. In such a case the attacking opposition if they are physically stronger will overwhelm them whereas if the were more Passive they would absorb that energy and probably defeat it. The overall polarity of each element of the team must be achieved relative to the job to be done. It may well be that in a particular game the defence is going to be under undue stress in which case it may be necessary to harden the defence by making the dynamic more Passive.

Here is a good example of this that can seen in an imaginary game of Rugby Union between two opposing teams whereby one set of forwards are supreme as an aggressive attacking unit and the opposition are just an average team. It's essential to make sure the forwards in the average team are

predominantly "passive" making the unit passive overall, with the exception of the front row being "active". That way they will absorb the energy of the attackers, weakening their resolve, while the front row will give the impression of meeting force with force. In this game I would also suggest that the backs should have "Active" or
polarity. This will make them as aggressive in defence as they should be in attack.

But nowhere is the system more evident than <u>in a school</u> <u>environment</u>. Each year children of the same given age commence school in September of that year. Between January or February (actual month depends on the Chinese Year) and December most children of the same age in that period are enrolled in the school system in September. Therefore, as a group, they are of a certain polarity rating and, subsequently, the polarity of their teacher can have a successful or detrimental affect on their learning processes.

For example, in the year 1987, from January 29th to December 31st, all children born then were in the Chinese year of the Cat , the males were Passive making them and the females were making them for the year. If we add to that their individual polarity drawn from the month of their birth we can see that those attending school from September 1995 to June 2005 will be mainly Passive . In a single-sex school of males, all of those in the class of 2005, less a few minor exceptions born before January 29th 1987 will be mainty and their individual polarity and the set the se

polarity added to that. This means that in their year few if any can be more than two
[●]'s and indeed many will be
[●][●][●][●][●]. So the overall polarity of the class will be passive and receptive ranging from those who are 4 types, to those who are 1. There will be some who are 4[™], and others who will be 3[®] and 1[☉], the rest being 2[®] and 2[®]. No male in the class will be greater than . and . To understand how they will interact and adjust to one another, see the tables and analysis. But it is their formation as a group that is important when determining the best teacher for them. And the best teacher for the class of 2005 should have no less than 3 Transmitters 🖲 and preferably 4 🖲 to successfully reach this laconic group. If the teacher is predominantly passive , this group will suffer. They will be unable to contain their ennui and easily be distracted. What they will need is someone who is predominantly Active 🖲 so teachers with 3. or 4. will bring the greatest benefits.

If the school is a girls' school in the same year the class will be e, the for the Cat and the for females in that year. This means that they will be an Active group unlike the males. In opposition to their male counterparts, no female can be less than 2 and 2 . In fact no one will be more than 3 or 3 . The individuals will range from 1 3 types, through 2 2 types to 3 1 types. No female will be extreme polarity as none can be 4 or 4 . The class will be dynamic, attentive and willing to learn but will require a teacher with the same dynamics. Not for them the 4 or the 4 as they would

willingly be distracted. Ideally, they need teachers with ● and 2.

An interesting factor to note is that this year and, indeed, all years, are unique within any ten years period in school; main schooling usually lasts from ages 8 to 18. The children born in 1987 will be finished in 2005, two years before another class with the same East/West make-up will be in the system again i.e. those born in 1999 joining the main 10 years school session in 2007. So they also have an effect on the other classes in the years around them and vice versa, and the school itself, and it cannot and will not be repeated for two years beyond their leaving date.

Let's look at the classes immediately adjacent to our class of 2005. In the class of 2006, from those born in 1988, the males are and the females e. For the males the class will be dynamic and active just as the females were in the previous year. No male will be greater than 3 nor less than 1. They will need a Passive or semi-Passive teacher to control them. For the girls, this will be the most dynamic of years. Some will actually be 4 and no girl will be less than 2. This makes for a very wild class, sharp, bright and difficult to control. They will demand a lot of input and when it's not forthcoming they will be unruly and difficult to keep disciplined. So the best teacher they could have is one with 3 or 4 receivers.

would respond dynamically to the class. And the class would respond to the equal dynamics of the teacher.

Back to the beginning

If you understand and apply its contents, this book will give you a unique 'magic wand' to use in your dealings with others. Use it well and kindly. Most importantly, it will give you an incredible power over, and insight into, your closest relationships.

Some people understand it immediately and intuitively. Others, take their time to fully comprehend its implications but all who are open to it are untroubled in their total appreciation of it and use it automatically without judgement in their daily lives. Meeting someone, hearing about someone, reading about someone all lead to the immediate question: I wonder what their plus/minus is?

All of us at some time in our lives are lost as to the meaning of life itself, our place in the eons of time and the utter vastness of space. We are apprised of the considered thoughts of others now and then who offer us possible explanations either scientifically, philosophically or religiously. Some things we have learned or experienced have been surprising like the Theory of Big Bang. Others, more mundane and confirmative

like: we are just another organism on a very small planet rather than presided over by an all seeing eye.

One very important discovery of late is that we humans have magnetite in our brain. The question for scientists is why? We know exactly where it is - beside the pineal gland - but what is its purpose? It is also in animals and birds. Why should we need it? Magnetite, as its name infers, means we have a magnetic substance that produces a magnetic field which is invisible but is responsible for the most notable property of a magnet, a force that pulls on other ferromagnetic materials, such as iron and attracts or repels other magnets. It has two opposite polarities identified as north and south but I identify them as () for repels and (). The key point to be made is that a magnet is made up of two opposites. In fact, everything is made up of two opposites. Everything is in or of itself is made up of two opposites. For those who may not know, you can make your own magnet. Simply take a rod of iron, aim it northwards and facing one end towards the ground strike it with a hammer. This jars the molecules and they separate to displace at the opposite ends of the rod making it magnetic.

This is called 'duality'

So, let's go right to the heart of the matter. Scientists currently believe in what they call 'The Theory of Big Bang' whereby the universe exploded into existence in a 'singularity' moment. All

the mass of the universe was within a single point, a "primeval atom", at a point before which time and space did not exist. At this point, the fabric of time and space had not yet come into existence. The problem I have with this is that in order for it to 'bang' it has to have something to bang into in the first instance. And this is where everything in duality begins. Light into darkness.

DECODE YOUR RELATIONSHIPS 4

A further explanation of the magnetic code

This book is not an attempt to put us into neat little boxes but to recognise the patterns that exist and to show how our core is very basic, mechanical and straightforward for just as the cosmos, on the face of it, appears beyond our comprehension, at its heart is some very simple numbers as denoted by Professor Sir Martin Rees, in his book, 'Just Six Numbers'. Duality could be the seventh in my opinion.

By way of an analogy and the interlink between the five different types, you can see it in the ranks of army officers. There are five basic officer levels, which are

> Generals Colonels Majors Captains Lieutenants

When looked at in the way they give and receive orders, they pose a clear picture of the relationships between each other In terms of giving and receiving (and) Generals are ••••• because they can only give orders Colonels are •••• because they can receive orders from one (Generals) but give orders to the other three

Majors are SSSS because they receive orders from two (Generals and Colonels) but they can also give orders to the other two Captains are SSS because they receive orders from three (Generals, Colonels and Captains) but they can also give orders to one (Lieutenants).

Lieutenants are **BSB** because they can only receive orders which they present to the rank and file en masse.

Note: I am not suggesting that in the ordinary human scheme of things that these positions reflect on people themselves. They do not. These are a reflection on positions but they illustrate the flow of energy and the way it is treated by each of the five types. Imagine a human type at Captain level ($\odot \odot \odot \odot$) in the position of a General ($\odot \odot \odot \odot$). He/She would be entirely different to, say, a human type at Colonel level ($\odot \odot \odot \odot$) in the position of a General ($\odot \odot \odot \odot$). Indeed, you can see that in the behaviour of the different generals you can read about in WW11.

Perhaps another analogy might prove to be more useful in expressing the behaviour aspect of the different types when we look at chess pieces. Although there are an endless number of possibilities in the way we all interact as in the way each game of chess can be played, there are a fixed number of pieces whose movements are preordained whilst each piece may be said to have its own personality in the way it behaves. The five

main pieces are King, Queen, Rook, Bishop and Knight. As described in the soulmate code, each chess piece has its own style of moving. The King can move in any direction but only one square at a time, the Queen can move in any direction any number of squares, the Rook can only move horizontally or vertically, the Bishop can only move diagonally and the Knight can move from one corner to the other of any 2x3 or 3x2 rectangle of squares. The success of the game is the outcome of the way in which these pieces are brought together and contend with their opposition. Whatever their combination may be, they always retain their unique way of moving. They are fixed and immutable and their unique way of moving is their blueprint for existence just as the soulmate code is our blueprint.

Instead of us being army officers or key chess pieces, we are subjected to being the recipients of one of the five patterns of electromagnetic waves emanating from the brain and related to the earth that makes human beings always conform to a particular pattern of behaviour. Whichever it may be, it is given to us by the 'ray of creation' at birth. We do not – we cannot - choose it. It is coincidental to our time of arrival on earth and it brings with it a variety of other colourful personality traits, identified by astrologers, which are an overlay to the core, the mechanics, the blueprint – our soulmate code.

A powerful magic wand or just plus's and minus's, huh?

As the famous Swiss psychiatrist and founder of analytical psychology, C J Jung, once said about those characteristics:


"We are born at a given moment and like vintage years of wine we have the qualities of the year and of the season in which we are born"

However, just as we have one of five basic types of gender applied by nature to us – male, female, hermaphrodite, homosexual, lesbian – we also have one of five types of magnetic force. It ranges from ********, the repelling force where all energy is driven outward to ******** to ******* to ****** to ****** to ***** to

Look, here's a way to see it visually. Imagine you have a pole resting over a barrel like so




Taking say ●●●● type, where would you normally envisage his/her place on the magnetic pole? Well, they are balanced, so in the middle, right!




Two plusses for one side, 2 minuses for the other. Perfect. The pole remains balanced.

Now we introduce another type, say e e e e. Clearly this guy is mainly blue energy, so he goes on the blue side but he's not all blue so he would go between the middle and the end thus




Now the pole is unbalanced as you can see. So, say we throw ••••••
up onto it, where do you suppose they would land? Yes, you're right – on the red side and because they too have a little blue they would only go half way between the end of the red side of the pole and the middle.




Ah! Balanced again. (Instead of a pole you might start thinking about 'relationships' instead!

Okay! Now we have the last two to throw up onto the pole and predictably the same thing will happen in both cases.

●●●● first but it doesn't really matter which goes first



it will be unbalanced until the opposite is on the pole




The perfect five in harmony and balance.

You could take away the **S S S** here and it would make no difference. The other four would counter each other. But the group would miss the extra even distribution of energy that this type brings to all occasions.

But can you imagine though the various situations you would have with this scenario if you kept loading on more of each of theses types into their respective places on the pole/relationships? Imagine the influence they would have on the rest!

Here's an example, think of a meeting and there are nine people attending made up of (unlikely but however) 2 of these types ••••, one of each of these •••• and ••••, three of these types •••• and finally two of these types ••••.



Besides the personal delivery the outcome would prove to be weighted in favour of the Side. This would be good for a meeting of accountants but bad for a meeting of sales people. What I mean is nitty-gritty, more cautious, deep thinkers here would overwhelm the more flighty, devil-may-care, lets-do-it brigade.

Can you see that? Great, we're making progress

We may mask ourselves as much as we like in our clothes of manners, status symbols, speech and adopted behaviour but we are engineered to a specific posture in all we do and think and this is vital to understanding our motivation and the motivation of others. Without this knowledge we are restricted in our appreciation of the real intentions of others and, as a result, our interactions with them. The soulmate code gives you magic see-through glasses that enable you to see the person under the clothes just as if they were your own inner self. Imagine what a powerful magic wand this is.

The perfect match – a word of extreme caution

It would be a grave mistake to think that this book, like others that contribute to identifying matching or attractive factors between people, is a guarantee for successful 'marital' relationships. It makes no such claim. That success is a rarity and the outcome of extraneous circumstances that have little to do with attraction and more to do with ever-changing personal accommodations and circumstances. There's no such thing as the perfect match but the soulmate code can tell you what works best and why. What it will do is expose the direction of the energy waves of the individual you are dealing with and how they interact with your own electromagnetic energy field. In knowing this you can 'facilitate' or 'allow them' to be more easily what they are and, therefore, improve, if not ensure, your success in your relationship with them or gain a fuller comprehension as to why they behave in certain ways at certain times. This relieves you of your feelings of judgements and others are 'left to be' as they are, which they instinctively acknowledge and respond to with better heart. For example, have you ever had a boss who you look up to but who actually gives you the feeling he is looking up to you?

Overall, its real power can be realised in its use in groups. Whether a group consists of three people or three hundred people, the soulmate code can be used to identify the factors necessary to create the foundation for achieving any set

objective. Too many of one type or too few of another can easily bring a breakdown in teamwork. The imbalance of various types wrongly placed can also disturb the status quo. Appropriately applied, the soulmate code can successfully combat these hidden forces as it reveals to us only five types of basic human electromagnetic waves and how they inter-relate.

The famous five

As stated before, it is incredible to realise that there are so many different faces in the world. How is it possible? Certainly, we've all had the experience of seeing people we thought were look-a-likes of someone else, yet perhaps, on closer inspection, we might find there were subtle differences. The fact remains though that it would seem impossible that the human face could be different 7 billion times. Even allowing for some actual duplication we are still talking in billions. It's one of those striking facts that you don't think about but when you do it seems utterly impossible, like knowing that you could put all 7 billion people standing together in County Cork and still have room for more – a fact – so who said the world is overpopulated? Clearly, there is plenty of room – it is just a matter of distribution and sharing.

How interesting, too, that, generally speaking, people in one ethnic group looking at another ethnic group, in general, cannot easily discern the different faces of each other. It is only when

they individually associate together that the normal, easy identification happens. And therein lies a key as to how there are so many different faces. Those facial differences are the result of inner expression painted on an ethnic type. Of course we all draw our features from our parents and grandparents but if you go back far enough you will probably find that we were far more in-bred and less individually identifiable at which point there are a given number of ethnic types such as white, black, brown, yellow and red. And so out of these five base types with clearly separate identikits we now have 7 billion variations over and above them.

It may seem impossible but in the field of human life, with such abundance of mental and physical diversity to be found in over 7 billion people that, from simply applying the laws of duality and magnetism to dates of birth, it can be seen and shown that, allowing for gender, there are only 5 types of conditioned, elementary, human directions of spirit, irrespective of everything else. Coincidentally, have you seen the connection here; 5 oceans, 5 continents, 5 major lines of latitude and 5 senses so why not 5 types of basic mechanical human behaviour.

Has this really anything to do with astrology?

The discovery of the code was a result of serendipity. I wasn't looking for it. I was looking to see if there was a scientific

reason for the basis for astrology. For so long, astrology has had to live in the shadow of science because it has had no recognisable scientific foundation on which to hang its pearls of wisdom, particularly in identifying our physiognomy and facial physicality. Yet, all of us or at least most of us who are openminded, can not help but be fascinated by its insights even, if begrudgingly, by those amongst us who are altogether less impressed. For these people particularly, the scientific community as a whole and the world in general, I can now pose the question: if astrology is groundless or hocus pocus or mumbo jumbo or whatever you want to call it, then the application of matching it to the simple law of duality upon which it is founded and explained here, should mean a fair and equal distribution of all one-to-one relationships as expressed in appended tables? Yet, it doesn't. It is not contrived. The facts and the samples I have gathered underpin this in their delivery and actualité. As you will see, I chose to start the process in a random way. Everything that followed on from that was also random but patterns emerged and they were mesmerising. I could have started anywhere and even still it should not be valid even as it is.

It is true that what I did, produced a 'weighting' or bias in the possibility of relationships of some types in a one-to-one scenario i.e. more people in the middle stream than the wings. But that weighting would occur no matter where I started and the outcomes of the results would not have been so true or so

incredible. As you will see, there are fewer people at the polar ends of the relationship scales although it's as well to keep in mind there are 7 billion people so we should still get some powerful returns. But my choice of beginning where I did was a simple expedient. I referred to astrology for guidance as to which sign I should use for a starting point. It could have been at any other point. However, the results have proved to be so spectacularly accurate as to underpin its root, astrology. Out of thousands of submissions to theSoulmateCode.com less than a handful resulted from those that were '0' – the least likely, out of 15 possibilities on the scales (see page 134). And for all I know they may have been siblings or family members.

It's also unwise to think this is because there are less of one type than another. Take ese types for instance. As I have already pointed out many of the most successful men in the world are of this type. So there are plenty of them out there alright but they don't often work when put together, repelling, as they do, others of the same type. They normally go for people who are extremely dynamic like ese.

A classic case?

Just to add to your understanding that this symbol so does not mean 'negative' except in terms of the magnetic field, and to indicate the human characteristic of 'attraction' or 'pulling', let

me mention a soldier named Lance Corporal William Harold Coltman who was SSS. He was a pacifist who refused to fight in the trenches of WW1. Yet, he volunteered for one of the most dangerous jobs – stretcher bearer – where his incredible bravery brought him the Victoria Cross, Distinguished Military Medal and bar and the French awarded him the Croix de Guerre. So I hope that makes it clear once and for all. The one thing I can tell you about minuses is it means 'tough'.

So where, was I? Ah! yes, there are only five types remember and that means there can be only 15 <u>one-to-one</u> relationships – $(0 \\ \odot$ to 7 $\ \odot$) and $(0 \\ \odot$ to 7 $\ \odot$) (see the Scales of Elecromagnetic Relationships on page 134). '0' means no magnetic attraction and this rises to '7', which means full magnetic attraction to the point of reversing the attraction. In other words these relationships can go either way after primary attraction takes affect. In recognising it as being random, it must be right to believe and expect there would always be a fair and equal distribution of all of the possible relationships. Surprisingly, I can say without any fear of contradiction, there just isn't.

Are Aquarian men and Virgo women a good match?

You could ask the same question about any other astrological coupling. Prof. David Voas of Manchester University, in 2007,

conducted a study to ascertain whether there was any correlation between astrological sun signs and marriage. After examining 10 million marriages, he proved conclusively there was not. I think we can safely deduce from this that astrological sun signs and marriage are unrelated. However, he was unable to include the years of birth in the collected data of the partners in the marriages, as it was unobtainable from the Central Statistics Office (CSO). This is an essential component in the soulmate code. Nonetheless, I was unsurprised by the findings. Men and women in many ways are different in the same astrological birth signs in different years so it would be unlikely for us to see a pattern emerge identifying certain parties from certain sun signs being attracted to other certain parties from other certain sun signs like Aquarian men and Virgo women for example. But this could happen and be seen, using only sun signs, applying only the fundamental principles of the soulmate code. In fact, I confidently predict that in any study of human relationships there will be few if any at the polar ends of the scales of electromagnetic relationships described in this book, and that there will be a pyramidal shape or bell curve in the outcome of the rest. Remember I could have started at any point and the types who combine to be identified as the polar ends would still have the same results.

How come?

Well, our brain's electrical pulses generate magnetism and that is connected to the earth's magnetism. It affects us in different ways at different times and the times have been recognised in astrological patterns without realising or fully understanding the There may be some very small interference or cause. influence by other planets in our solar system, which moderate the main magnetic influence but I have yet to be convinced. The son of a very close friend of mine was born just after the cusp of Virgo and Libra - 23rd September 1990. In addition, he was born quite prematurely, 2 months in fact which could have landed him on the cusp of Scorpio/Sagittarius. He could have been any one of three different types including Virgo/Horse (on the cusp) . Libra/Horse . or Scorpio/Horse Image: Second Action of Seguretarius Horse Image: Second Action of he was intended to be). I have watched him growing up and I can confirm without hesitation that he is the sign he was actually 'born' into and not what he was destined to be born into. He is a 100% gold standard Libra/Horse which is @ @ @ @.

That should interest all you astrologers out there. You are the astrological sign you are actually born into rather than that which you should have been born into. When the waters break...the moon...?

Turn me on and let the force be with me

For me, our behaviour is underpinned for life from birth when the waters in the womb break, and the magnetite aligns itself to the earth's magnetic field at that moment. It then references us through the iron in our blood cells. The magnetite, connected to the earth's magnetic field, acts as a switch that lights up after dark and tells the pineal gland to do its work and produce melatonin, whilst it straightens out the iron in the blood cells, refreshing them as they restart their circuitous journey round the body. Thereafter, the ever-changing magnetic field as determined by the Moon's position affects our moods and influences our manner. That said, astrology recognises our characteristics and various behaviours and although they align them to different planetary positions I think it can only be true if those planets can affect the earth's magnetic field.

We know now that homing pigeons use the earth's magnetic field to guide them in their journeys as do bees, whales, and sharks. Indeed, nearly all living creatures are being manipulated by the earth's magnetic field and magnetite has been found in most. In January 2013, scientists announced the discovery that salmon use the magnetic field to navigate across the ocean as they return to their home rivers to breed. Scientists say the memory of the magnetic field helps them find their way back.

Michael Faraday first discovered the link between magnetism and electricity in the nineteenth century. Basically, a moving

magnet generates electricity and a moving electrical charge generates magnetism. Light is a wave of magnetic and electric energy as are radio waves and x-rays. The brain generates electricity and therefore generates magnetism. As human beings I believe we generate various types of magnetism to a lesser or greater extent but paramagnetism in particular.

Paramagnetism is a weak form of magnetism but enough to enable us to align with the earth's magnetic force field. It is through this means we are informed to behave in certain behavioural patterns and we are enabled to recognise it in others often without realising it. A property of paramagnetism is it does not retain any magnetization in the absence of an externally applied magnetic field, because thermal motion causes the spins to become randomly oriented without it. Thus the total magnetization will drop to zero when the applied field is removed. The magnetic field of the earth is constant and is therefore 'the externally applied magnetic field'. The conductor of our magnetism is magnetite. Magnetite crystals have been found in the human brain and it is magnetite that enables us and other animals and birds to detect the earth's magnetic field. It is believed that magnetite crystals turn the bacteria into swimming needles that orient with respect to the earth's magnetic fields. In fact, magnetite is the most magnetic of all the naturally occurring minerals on Earth.

NASA have discovered that the brain does not produce melatonin when we are outside the earth. It is thought that the astronauts don't produce melatonin because of disturbed patterns of sleep due to changes from night to day every two hours. I believe, we don't produce it because magnetite is beyond the earth's magnetic field and that, in turn, stops the pineal gland from doing its job and producing melatonin within which melanin protects us from the sun's radiation. In fact, NASA coat their spacecraft with melanin to protect the instruments! Thus it shows that while we are on the earth we are tied to the earth's magnetic field. Astronauts have to take melatonin pills. Without melatonin you can't sleep and worse you can't repair the blood cells which die from exhaustion. It my contention that the reason for this is that the magnetite in the human brain no longer functions outside the earth and there is every reason to believe it can be further affected by the Sun's rays. Scientists are studying the Earth's magnetic field using the stones that line Maori steam ovens. The cooking process generates so much heat that the magnetic minerals in these stones will realign themselves with the current field direction. Dr. Gillian Turner from Victoria University, Wellington, New Zealand told the American Geophysical Union (AGU) Fall meeting at the world's largest annual gathering of Earth scientists in December 2012 that when these objects are fired, the minerals in their clay are heated above the Curie temperature and are demagnetised. Then, as the pots cool down, those minerals become magnetised again in the

direction of the prevalent field. And the strength of the magnetisation is directly related to the strength of that field.

"The Maori legend is that the stones achieve white hot heat," she explained. Well, red hot is about 700 degrees and so white hot would be a good deal more than that. But by putting some thermocouples in the stones we were able to show they got as high as 1,100C, which of itself is quite surprising. At that temperature, rock-forming minerals start to become plastic if not melt."

By placing a compass on top of the cooled hangi stones Dr Turner's team was able to establish that a **re-magnetisation** had indeed taken place.

It turns out that **hangi stones** were carefully chosen, and one of the most popular types was **an andesite boulder** found in Central North Island.

"The Maori prefer these volcanic boulders because they don't crack and shatter in the fire, and from our point of view they're the best because magnetically they behave better - they're formed with a high concentration of <u>magnetite</u>," the Wellington scientist said. "But there are some sedimentary rocks which we can use also."

When we leave the Earth we are removed from the Earth's magnetic field, the magnetite in our brain no longer functions

so the pineal gland can no longer perform its essential requirement to produce melatonin. Without melatonin, we die.

Michael Arndt, in his article "Rewiring the Body" published in Business Week in March 2005, when revealing the latest developments in the use of electrical implants in the body to stimulate counteraction to disease, described the role of electricity in us as 'governing everything from the ethereal transmission of thought to the rhythmic music of the heart'.

More about magnetite

To understand this there are two key factors to be remembered. Firstly, not only do humans have magnetite but it is also found in animals, birds and even plants. Magnetite is common to us all as a necessity for existence on planet earth. Secondly, there is a real physiological reason for it. It is remarkable and the cornerstone of all life in our world. And it requires a little explanation.

The pineal gland is a tiny organ in the center of the brain but outside the blood-brain barrier. It produces melatonin - a hormone. It is found in all animals, plants and microbes. Melatonin is an antioxidant which cleans the blood and tells the cells they are healthy, wealthy and wise. Melatonin contains

melanin which protects the skin from the sun's rays. It is vital to our health.

The pineal gland and the heart are the only organs of the body through which all our blood flows. This wonderful little fragment of the human complex is an bio-engineering triumph, without which we couldn't live. It is commonly thought of as 'the third eye' and respectfully revered in ancient times as the 'seat of learning', that has remained a mystery to us until very, very recently.

We now know that the function of the pineal gland is simply to create melatonin. Melatonin has many beneficial effects on human physiology. It regulates the sleep cycle, is a powerful antioxidant and anti-inflammatory agent, it helps prevent cancer and neurodegenerative diseases, and may be helpful in the treatment of inflammatory bowel diseases. During the daytime the gland does not produce melatonin because it only follows the circadian rhythm of night-time to do it whilst the sun during the day provides vitamin D which, in turn, bolsters our quickly-diminishing melatonin levels and thereby protects us from cellular degeneration.

However, if we are awake during darkness hours we get neither Vitamin D from the sun nor melatonin from the pineal gland. The key factor is that for the pineal gland to do its job it has to be after sundown and before sun up and you have to be

asleep. Bad news for night workers and people working in the North or South Poles where there is 6 months of day and 6 months of night and for blind people who suffer from disturbed patterns of sleep due to the lack of a visual indication of nighttime. During night-time hours, when the sun has fallen, the pineal gland operates to increase melatonin production. It is a vicious circle. You have to be asleep for it to happen and you cannot sleep if your melatonin levels are too low.

Magnetite, I believe, not only relays the signal to the gland as to start-up time by, in turn, receiving the signal from the magnetic field, but it also realigns the iron in the blood. This refreshment reminds you of the direction of your plus/minus make-up.

The instruction to the pineal gland is to perform its function but also that it can align the molecules in the individual's blood iron to the earth's magnetic field. Basically, when we close our eyes in darkness to sleep, magnetite gives off a revealing glow which informs the pineal gland to take action

It is considered that the operation of the pineal gland is determined by the amount of light entering through the eyes. Whilst this may be true, it is not, I believe, what makes the pineal gland do its work. Without question, in my mind, it happens due to the circadian rhythm clock coupled with us being asleep at the appropriate times. In other words, if you go to sleep during dark hours but with all lights blazing, the pineal

gland will still open and produce melatonin because the circadian clock regulated by magnetism tells the pineal gland when it is night-time. Conversely, if you take a little nap in the garden on a hot summers afternoon, or in a bedroom with all the curtains pulled and a blindfold to shield your eyes from any light, the pineal gland will remain unproductive because the circadian clock tells it it's still daylight time. In other words, it operates with regularity and that regularity has been set into place for each of us by the linking of the magnetic field to our magnetite. If you travel to another part of the globe you upset the clock but after a short period it realigns itself and adjusts itself to its new position.

We are bio-magnetic beings.

Some years ago clams were drawn from the Pacific and placed in a vat in a university in the middle of the USA. For several days they remained unmoved and locked tight. Then they began to open and close again with their normal regularity but, not in accordance with the tide some thousands of miles away, rather in accordance with the effects of the Moon phases locally!

EPILOGUE

The Earth is a magnet. Magnetism is caused by the way molecules are arranged within its material substance. The molecules are magnetised by the way the electrons rotate inside the atoms.

The North and the South Poles are its ends. Magnetite, for so long believed to be magic stones, freely held, would automatically turn until one end pointed north and the other south. This led to the invention of the compass. Dr. William Gilbert in 1600 was able to explain for the first time why the points of a compass pointed north and south. It was, he proved, because they were attracted to the North and South poles of the biggest magnet of all - the Earth. The two ends of a magnet have different kinds of magnetism. This ensures that one end always points north and the other south. The strength of magnetism at the ends is always stronger than in the middle. If you were to take an iron rod and point one end downwards. towards the North and hit it a single blow with a metal hammer it would become magnetised. This is because the molecules in the rod when hit become shaken up and immediately become split and attracted to the nearest end of the rod. There is the recognised "Law of Poles" which states: Like poles repel each other, and unlike poles attract each other. If the N poles of two magnets are brought close to each other they push apart. The same is true for the S poles of two magnets, they also repel

one another. But if the N pole of one is brought together with the S pole of another they are strongly attracted to each other.

And the Earth magnet can be seriously affected by the Cosmos. The Earth's magnetic field can be disrupted when a large number of electrified particles from the Sun reach the Earth. Sunspot activity often precedes this disturbance, which is known as a magnetic storm. Solar flares, when the sun throws out large blasts of flaming gases, also cause magnetic storms on the earth about two days later. This can cause magnetic compass needles to move erratically and can also cause severe interference to communications systems. So it also is reasonable to conclude it must affect us also.

As we now know magnetite, as well as having been found in birds and animals, is in the brain of Man. This means Man is subject to the same magnetic fluctuations as the Earth itself.

We can further appreciate what magnetism means to us with a small experiment. Simply pour some iron fillings over a glass standing on a piece of magnet and tap the glass lightly. The fillings will arrange themselves into curved lines from one end of the magnet to the other. They radiate from both ends, curve back and meet the lines from the other end. The area in which the magnet attracts is the field of force. Surprisingly, it is similar to the way in which human cells divide. Human cells are composed of chromosomes, which, in turn, are the

containers of our genes. When a cell is ready to divide, the chromosomes cluster together in the middle, they split lengthwise, forming two sets of halves, which are then pulled apart and become the centre of a new cell.

This is similar to what occurs with a magnet in that the molecules are clustered together in the middle and a jarring effect splits them into two where they congregate at either end of the pole, north to north and south to south. Once the pole has been magnetized it remains so even if it is cut in half. Chromosomes appear to behave exactly the same as the magnetic lines of force. In a process known as mitosis the cell divides equally into two identical cells. The chromosome pairs come together in the middle of the cell and split themselves lengthwise into two identical parts. They then become attracted to the centrosomes on the opposite ends of the cell, which splits into two identical cells and the whole process starts again in each of them. Each new cell, however, consists of identical chromosomes and genes. So, at the time of the mitosis of the first cell the electromagnetic force field indelibly stamps it with the blueprint that will link it to the ray of creation throughout the holder's lifetime.

Clearly, we are subject to the same outside influences as everything else on the planet. All our cells, which are continuously being replaced, are going through the magnetic process but the original cell is the original lodestone and all the

cells will follow its determination. These cells matter little when they are in the legs or the arms but they do matter when they are in the brain where electromagnetic energy emanates from.

Hammerhead sharks have sensors in their wide faces, which can pick up the electromagnetic pulse from several miles away as if they were lights going on. These electric signals given off by all living creatures are called bio-electricity. Extensive research has shown that the living organism carries electricity, and bodies "consist of currents, semi-conductor diodes, electric charge potentials and a complex mega-circuit composed of several, smaller circuits".

There is an aura around each and every one of us. This aura is our electromagnetic energy force field (EMF). Some people are gifted and can see it. Within its beam all our experiences are stored. Pictures, sounds, memories and thoughts are continuously floating in our EMF. Mediums are able to pick up these items often without understanding or realising them but projecting them back to us so we are able to identify them for ourselves.

They say that when you die all your life is reeled before your eyes. All that you are is stored in your EMF – your Soulmate - and on its separation from the body you are able to see it for the first time as it reveals to you all that you are. And you are all that it is and not what you leave behind.

The real you is finally released.

American Presidents

George Washington	22/02/1732	
John Adams	30/10/1735	
Thomas Jefferson	13/04/1743	
James Madison	16/03/1751	
James Monroe	28/04/1758	\odot \odot \odot \odot
John Quincy Adams	11/07/1767	
Andrew Jackson	15/03/1767	
Martin Van Buren	05/12/1782	
William Harrison	09/02/1773	
John Tyler	29/03/1790	
James Knox Polk	02/11/1795	
Zachary Taylor	24/11/1784	$\odot \odot \odot \odot$
Millard Filmore	07/01/1800	
Franklin Pierce	23/11/1804	
James Buchanan	23/04/1793	
Abraham Lincoln	12/02/1809	
Andrew Johnson	29/12/1808	
Ulysses S. Grant	27/04/1822	$\odot \odot \odot \odot$
Rutherford Hayes	04/10/1822	$\odot \odot \odot \odot$
James Garfield	19/11/1831	
Chester Arthur	05/10/1829	
Grover Cleveland	18/03/1837	•••
Benjamin Harrison	20/08/1833	•••
Grover Cleveland*	18/03/1837	•••
William McKinley	29/01/1843	$\odot \odot \odot \odot$
Theodore Roosevelt	27/10/1858	•••
William Howard Taft	15/09/1857	9 9 9 9
Woodrow Wilson	28/12/1856	ی ک ک ک
Warren Harding 02/11/		
Calvin Coolidge	04/07/1872	
Herbert Hoover	10/08/1874	
Franklin D. Roosevelt	30/01/1882	
Harry S. Truman	08/05/1884	ی ک ک ک
Dwight Eisenhower	14/10/1890	$\odot \odot \odot \odot$
John F. Kennedy	29/05/1917	
Lyndon B. Johnson	27/08/1908	
Richard M. Nixon	09/01/1913	•••
Gerald Ford	14/07/1913	ی ہے ی

James Carter	01/10/1924	
Ronald Reagan	06/02/1911	، کې کې کې
George H Bush	12/06/1924	
William Clinton	19/08/1946	
George W. Bush	06/07/1946	، کې کې کې
Barack Obama	04/08/1961	ی ک ک ک

There have been 43 different people acting as presidents' of which...

There have been 6 who were $\bigcirc \odot \odot \odot \bigcirc$ all before 20th century There have been 7 who were $\bigcirc \odot \odot \odot$ the last being a shoe-in There have been 15 who were $\bigcirc \odot \odot \odot$ There have been 10 who were $\bigcirc \odot \odot \odot$ There have been 5 who were $\bigcirc \odot \odot \odot$ There have been 5 who were $\bigcirc \odot \odot \odot$

43 x 4 = 172 89● 83●

*Cleveland was the only president to be elected twice so although there were 44 presidencies there were only 43 presidents

UK Prime Ministers 1902 – 2008

Balfour	25/03/1848	
Bannerman	07/03/1836	۵ ک ک ک
Asquith	12/09/1852	ی ک ک
Lloyd George	17/01/1863	ی کی ج
Bonar Law	16/09/1858	
Baldwin	03/08/1867	9 🖲 🖲 🗑
MacDonald	12/10/1866	
Chamberlain	18/03/1869	
Churchill	30/11/1874	
Atlee	03/01/1883	ی ی ی
Eden	12/06/1897	
McMillan	10/02/1894	
Douglas-Home	02/07/1903	
Wilson	11/03/1916	
Heath	09/07/1916	
Callaghan	27/03/1912	8886
Thatcher	13/10/1925	8886
Major	29/03/1943	9 3 8 6
Blair	06/05/1953	
Brown	20/02/1951	
Cameron	09/10/1966	
-		

Of the 21 listed 7 were ● ● ● ● 3 were ● ● ● ● 2 were ● ● ● ●

21 x 4 = 82 41⊛ 41⊛

Notes: Neither of the SSS (Douglas-Home and Brown) were 'elected' PM. Both took over from a sitting PM. Interestingly, Douglas-Home SSS followed McMillan SSSS and, conversely, Cameron SSSS followed Brown SSSS the only time either of these types were PM's. After Douglas-Home, came five, consecutive, leaders who were SSSSS

Famous Men

Edmund Hilary	20/07/1919	
Bill Gates	28/10/1955	
Albert Einstein	14/03/1879	
Alan Shepherd	18/11/1923	
Steve Jobs	24/02/1955	
Alexander G Bell	03/03/1847	
Prince Charles	14/11/1948	
Frank Sinatra	12/12/1915	••••
Oscar Monet	14/11/1840	
Walter Kronkite	04/11/1916	
Sir Ranulph Fiennes	03/07/1944	
Charles Dickens	07/02/1812	
Martin Luther King	15/01/1929	• • • •
Adolf Hitler	20/04/1889	
Lee Harvey Oswald	18/10/1939	، کې چې چې کې
Duke of Wellington	01/05/1769	• • • •
Napoleon Bonaparte	15/08/1769	• • • •
Cecil B. Demille	12/08/1881	•••
Yuri Gagarin	09/03/1934	•••
Frank Lloyd Wright	08/06/1867	، کې چې چې کې
Ludwig von Beethoven	17/12/1770	•••
Vladimir Lenin	22/04/1870	، و ک
Leonardo Da Vinci	15/04/1452	•••
Charles Darwin	12/02/1809	، کې کې کې کې
Charles Lindbergh	04/02/1902	
James Dean	08/02/1931	$\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$
Thomas Edison	11/02/1847	\odot \odot \odot \odot
James Joyce	02/02/1882	$\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$
Craig Venter	14/10/1946	\odot

Head to Head

Elizabeth Taylor & 27/02/1932 €€€€		SER	7⊛/⊜
Eric Morecombe 14/05/1926 • • • •		SER	6
Eamon DeValera 14/10/1882 €€€€	& Michael Collins 16/10/1890 ● ● ● ●	SER	0.
Anthony McPartlin 18/11/1975 ⊜⊜⊜⊜	n (Ant) & Declan Donr 25/09/1975 ●●●	nelly (De SER	c) 3 ⊜
Bonnie Parker & 0 01/10/1910 ⊜⊛⊛⊛	Clyde Barrow 24/03/1909 ම 🖲 🖲	SER	5
Stan Laurel & Oliv 16/06/1890 ● ● ● ●	ver Hardy 18/01/1892 €€€⊜⊜	SER	2.
Paul Simon & Art 13/10/1941 €€€⊜	Garfunkel 05/11/1941 ເ∋ເອເອເອ	SER	7⊛/⊜

SER – Scales of Electromagnetic Relationships

Famous Women

Twiggy	19/09/1949	
Ella Fitzgerald	25/04/1917	
HM Queen Elizabeth	21/04/1926	988
Oprah Winfrey	29/01/1954	988
Princess Diana	01/07/1961	🖲 🖯 🕤 💮
Margaret Thatcher	13/10/1925	8886
Indira Ghandi	19/11/1917	🖲 🖯 🕤 💮
Golda Meir	03/05/1898	988
Marie Antoinette	02/11/1755	
Virginia Woolf	25/01/1882	8886
Barbara Cartland	09/07/1901	
Nancy Astor	19/05/1879	
Valentina Tereshkova	06/03/1937	8886
Christa McAuliffe	02/09/1948	
Emily Pankhurst	15/07/1858	۲ ی ک
Jackie Kennedy	28/07/1929	۲ ک ک ک
Marilyn Monroe	01/06/1926	
Maria Callas	02/12/1923	۲ ک ک ک
Condoleezza Rice	14/11/1954	ی چ چ ک
Billy Jean King	22/11/1943	
Shirley Temple	23/04/1928	
Amy Johnson	01/07/1903	
Marie Curie	07/11/1867	
Countess Markiewicz	04/02/1868	۲ ک ک
Rosa Parks	04/02/1913	
Jacqueline Du Pre	26/01/1945	
Princess Anne	15/08/1950	، چ ک ک
Edith Piaf	19/12/1915	۲ 😌 ک
Mata Hari	07/08/1876	

Stage & Screen

Brad Pitt	18/12/63	9680
Elvis	08/01/35	9680
Curt Cobain	20/02/67	99999
Sean Connery	25/08/30	•••
Mariah Carey	27/03/70	ی کی کی کی
Michael Jackson	29/08/58	
Paul Newman	26/01/25	
Angelina Jolie	04/06/75	••••
Madonna	16/08/58	••••
Cliff Richard	14/10/40	
George Clooney	16/05/61	
Jack Nicholson	22/04/37	
Pierce Brosnan	16/05/53	
Bono	10/05/60	ی ک ک ک ک
Russell Crow	07/04/64	ی ک ک ک
Emma Watson	15/04/90	
Keira Knightley	26/03/85	ی ک ک ک
Quentin Tarantino	27/03/63	
Linda Goodman	10/04/25	ی ک ک ک
Nicole Kidman	20/06/67	
Johnny Depp	09/06/63	
Prince	07/06/58	
Donald Trump	14/06/46	
Tom Cruise	03/07/62	
Tom Hanks	09/07/56	
Zinedine Didane	23/06/72	
Harrison Ford	13/07/42	
Sylvester Stallone	06/07/46	
Nelson Mandela	18/07/18	
Liv Tyler	01/07/77	
Meryl Streep	22/06/49	
George Michael	25/06/63	
Robert Redford	18/08/37	
Charlotte Casiraghi	03/08/86	
Ben Affleck	15/08/72	
Arnold Schwarzenegger		

Stage & Screen (cont.)

Mick Jagger Robert Deniro Halle Berry Sandra Bullocvk Whitney Houston Robert Plant Keanu Reeves Cameron Diaz Freddie Mercury Richard Gere Hugh Grant	26/07/43 17/08/43 14/08/66 26/07/64 09/08/63 20/08/48 02/09/64 30/08/72 05/09/46 31/08/49 09/09/60	
5		
Hugh Grant	09/09/60	• • • •
Amy Winehouse Liz Green	14/09/83 04/09/46	
Greta Garbo	18/09/05	
Shania Twain	28/08/65	

Popes

8 are 🗟 🖲 🖲 5 are 🗟 🖲 🖲 3 are 🗟 🗑 🗑

Most Influential Women of the 20th Century

Jane Addams Corazon Aquino Rachel Carson Coco Chanel Julia Child Hilary Clinton Marie Curie Aretha Franklin Indira Gandhi Estee lauder Madonna Margaret Mead Golda Meir Angela Merkel Sandra Day O'Connor Rosa Parks Jiang Qing Eleanor Roosevelt Margaret Sanger Gloria Steinem Martha Stewart	06 Sep 1860 25 Jan 1933 27 May 1907 19 Aug 1883 15 Aug 1912 26 Oct 1947 07 Nov 1867 25 Mar 1942 19 Nov 1917 01 Jul 1906 16 Aug 1958 16 Dec 1901 03 May 1898 17 Jul 1954 26 Mar 1930 04 Feb 1913 19 Mar 1914 11 Oct 1884 14 Sep 1879 25 Mar 1934 03 Aug 1941	
Gloria Steinem	25 Mar 1934	

FREE TABLES DOWNLOAD

Refer to the force fields table on the following page or from the tables available separately for download for free from <u>www.matchme.biz</u> which you can use to determine your polarity.

Take care with establishing the start of each year – the Chinese system is subject to wild fluctuation.

When the table on Page 128 is fully expressed there are, 1728 pages of one-to-one relationships freely available for download.

1911,1923,1935,1947,1959,1971,1983,1995,2007	Pig	۲	1			Pisces
1910,1922,1934,1946,1958,1970,1982,1994,2006	Dog			۲	۲	Aquarius
Rooster 1909,1921,1933,1945,1957,1969,1981,1993,2005	Rooster	1	۲			Capricorn
Monkey 1908,1920,1932,1944,1956,1968,1980,1992,2004	Monkey			۲	1	Sagittarius
1907,1919,1931,1943,1955,1967,1979,1991,2003	Goat	۲	1			Scorpio
1906, 1918, 1930, 1942, 1954, 1966, 1978, 1990, 2002	Horse				۲	Libra
1905,1917,1929,1941,1953,1965,1977,1989,2001	Snake	•	۲			Virgo
Dragon 1904,1916,1928,1940,1952,1964,1976,1988,2000	Dragon			Ð	٩	Leo
1903, 1915, 1927, 1939, 1951, 1963, 1975, 1987, 1999	Cat	۲	1			Cancer
1902,1914,1926,1938,1950,1962,1974,1986,1998	Tiger				Ð	Gemini
Buffalo 1901,1913,1925,1937,1949,1961,1973,1985,1997	Buffalo	1	۲			Taurus
1900,1912,1924,1936,1948,1960,1972,1984,1996	Rat				٩	Aries
Years in which the Animal Year occurs	Pemales Animal Year	Females	Males	Pemales	Males	Birth Sign
		PASSIVE	PA	ACTIVE	AC	
		1		Ð		

End

References

Altschule M.D. (1957). Some effects of aqueous extracts of acetone-dried beef pineal substance in chronic schizophrenia. New England Journal of Medicine, 257, 919. Altschule M.D. Ed (1975). Frontiers Of Pineal Physiology. MIT Press. Cambridge, Massachusetts and London, 25 Arai Y. (1968). Metaplasia in male rat reproductive accessory glands induced by neonatal estrogen treatment. Experientia, 24, 180 Balada F., Torrubia R. and Argue J.M. (1993). Gonadal hormone correlates of sensation seeking and anxiety in healthy human females. Neuropsychobiology, 27(2), 91 Battle Y.L., Martin B.C., Dorfman J.H. and Miller L.S. (1999). Seasonality and infectious disease in schizophrenia: the birth hypothesis revisited. British Journal of Psychiatric Research, 33(6), 501 Bergiannaki Joff, Paparrigopoulos U. and Stefanis C.N. (1996). Seasonal pattern of melatonin excretion in humans: relationship to daylength variation rate and geomagnetic field fluctuations. Experientia, 52(3), 253 Boldsen J.I. (1992). Season of birth and recalled age at menarche. Journal of Biosocial Sciences, 24 (2) 167 Bonomini V., Campieri C., Scolari M.P. et al. (1994). The age-old spirit of nephrology from the oldest university in the world. American Journal of Nephrology. 14(4-6), 361 Bouhuys A.L., Meesters Y., Jansen J.H. and Bloem G.M. (1994). Relationship between cognitive sensitivity to symbolic light in remitted seasonal affective disorder patients and the onset time of a subsequent depressive episode. Journal of Affective Disorders, 1, 39 Brown F.A. (1967). Synodic monthly modulation of the diurnal rhythm of hamsters. Proceedings of the Society of Experimental Biology and Medicine, 125, 712 Brownstein M.J. and Heller A. (1968). Hydroxyindole -

0- methyl transferase activity: effect of sympathetic

nerve activity. Science, 162, 365 Cagnacci A., Landi S. and Volpe A. (1999). Rhythmic variation in the rate of ectopic pregnancy throughout the year. Scandinavian Journal of Work and Environmental Health, 25, Suppl 1,34-7, Discussion 76 Camden C. (1930). Elizabethan Astrological Medicine. Annals of Medical History, 217-226. Cardinali D.P., Larin F. and Wurtman R.J. (1973). Control of the rat pineal by light spectra. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA, 69, 2003 Castrogiovanni P., Lapichino S., Pacchicrotti C. and Pieraccini F. (1999). Season of birth in panic disorder. Neuropsychobiology, 40(4)A77-82 Clarke M., Moran P., Keogh F., Morris M., Kinsella A., Larkin C., Walsh D. and O'Callaghan E. (1999). Seasonal influences on admissions for affective disorder and schizophrenia in Ireland: a comparison of first and readmissions. European Psychiatry: The Journal of European Psychiatry, 14(5), 251 Commentz X., Ulilig H., Henke A., Hellwege H.H. and Willig R.P. (1997). Melatonin and 6-hydroxymelatonin sulfate excretion is inversely correlated with gonadal development in children. Hormone Research, 47(3), 97 Cook N.Y. Cited in Altschule (1975), 74 Cutler W.B.(1980). Lunar and menstrual phase locking. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 137(7), 834 Danilenko K.V., Wirz-Justice A., Krauchi K., Cajochen C. et al (2000). Phase advance after one or three simulated dawns in humans. Chronobiol International, 17(5), 659 Dean G. (1977). Recent Advances In Natal Astrology. A Critical Review 1900-1976. Analogic, Subiaco 6008, Western Australia, 215

Dewan E.M. (1967). On the possibility of a perfect rythym method of birth control by periodic light stimulation. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 98, 656

Dewan E.M., Menkin M. and Rock J. (1978). Effect of photic stimulation on the human menstrual cycle Photochemistry and Photobiology, 27(5),581 Dionne C.E., Soderstrom M. and Schwartz S.M. (1993). Seasonal variation of twin births in Washington State. Acta Geneticae Medicae et Gemellogiae (Roma), 42(2), 141

Drysdale D. (1999). Lunar cycles and presentations to a community assessment and treatment

(crisis) team. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry, 33(3), 445

Elden C.A. (1971). Sterility of blind women. Japanese Journal of Fertility and Sterility, 16(48), 50

Eldred S.H., Bell N.W. and Sherma U. (1961). A pilot study comparing the effects of pineal extract and a placebo in patients with chronic schizophrenia. New England Journal of Medicine, 263, 1330

Esqifino A.I., Villanua M.A. and Agrasal C. (1987). Effect of neonatal melatonin administration on sexual development in the rat. Journal of Steroid

Biochemistry, 27(4-6) 1089 Fellman J. and Eriksson A.W. (1999). Statistical analysis of the seasonal variation in the twinning rate. Twin Res: the official journal of the International Society for Twin Studies, 2(1), 22

Fielke S.I., Young I.R., Walker D.W. and McMillen I.C. (1994). Effect of two weeeks of continuous light on the development of the circadian melatonin rhythm in newborn lambs. Journal of Pineal Research, 17(3),118 Fiske V.M. (1941). Effect of light on sexual maturation, estrous cycles, and anterior pituitary in the rat. Endocrinology, 29, 187

Fliess W. (1923). Ablauf des Lebens. Der Grundlegung zur exacten Biologi. Leipzeig and Vienna

Fraser F.C. and Gwyn A. (1998). Seasonal variation in birth date of children with cleft lip. Teratology, 57(2),93

Frogon J.Y. and Prokop C. (1992). Personality traits in

relation to premature birth and season of birth. International Journal of Psychology, 27 (34), 356 Geddes J. (1999). Prenatal and perinatal and perinatal risk factors for early onset schizophrenia, affective psychosis, and reactive psychosis. British Medical Journal, 318 (718l), 426

Glotzbach S.F., Rowlett E.A., Edgar D.M., Moffat R.J. and Ariagno R.L. (1993). Light variability in the modern neonatal nursery: chronobiologic issues. Medical Hypotheses 41(3), 217

Graham C., Cook M.R. and Riffle D.W. (1997). Human melatonin during continuous magnetic field exposure. Bioelectromagnetics, 18(2), 166

Halberg F. (1967). Symposium on rhythms. In:
Verhandlungen der deutschen Gesellschaft fur innere
Medizin, 73rd Congress, Munchen: Bergmann, 886
Halberg F. (1969). Symposium on rhythms.
Proceedings 4th Panamerican Symposium on
Pharmacology and Therapy:Excerpta. Medica
Foundation International Congress Series, 185, 7
Hawkins L. (1992). Seasonal affective disorders: the
effect of light on human behaviour. Endeavour, 16(3)
122

Hultman C.M., Sparen P., Takei N., Murray R.M. and Cnattingius S. (1999). Prenatal and perinatal risk factors for schizophrenia, affective psychosis, and reactive psychosis of early onset: case-control study. British Medical Journal, 318(7181); 421

Hughes S. (1990). Nephrology and astrology - is there a link- British Journal of Clinical Practice, 44(7), 279 Jaldo-Alba F., Munoz-Hoyos A., Molina-Carballo A., Molina-Font J.A. and Acuna Castroviejo D. (1993a). Absence of plasma melatonin circadian rhythyrn during the first 72h of life in human infants. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, 77(3), 699 Jaldo-Alba F., Munoz-Hoyos A., Molina-Carballo A., Molina-Font J.A. and Acuna-Castroviejo D. (1993b). Light deprivation increases plasma levels of melatonin

during the first 72 h of life in human infants. Acta Endocrinologica (Copenh), 129 (5), 442 Juutilainen J., Stevens R.G., Anderson L.E., Hansen N.H., Kipelainen M., Kumlin T., Laitinen J.T., Sobel E. and Wilson B.W. (2000). Nocturnal 6hydroxymelatonin sulfate secretion in female workers exposed to magnetic fields. Journal of Pineal Research, (2), 97Kennaway D.J., Stamp G.E. and Goble F.C. (1992). Development of melatonin production in infants and the impact of prematurity. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, 75(2), 367 Kibre P. (1967). Giovanni Garzoni of Bologna (1419-1505), Professor of Medicine and defender of astrology, Isis, 58(194), 504 Kirkpatrick B., Castle D., Murray R.M. and Carpenter W.R. Jr (2000). Risk factors for the deficit syndrome of schizophrenia. Schizophrenia Bulletin, 26(I), 233 Kitay K.I. and Altschule M.D. (1954). The Pineal Gland. A Review Of The Physiologic Literature. Harvard University Press Laakso N.L., Hatonen T., Stenberg D., Alila A. and Smith S. (1993). One-hour exposure to moderate illuminance (500 lux) shifts the human melatonin rhythym. Journal of Pineal Research, 15(1), 21 Laron Z., Shamis I., Nitzan-Kaluski D. and Ashkenazi I. (1999). Month of birth and subsequent development of type 1 diabetes (IDDM). Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology and Metabolism, 12(3), 397 Law S.P. (1986). The regulation of menstrual cycle and its relationship to the moon. Acta Obstetrica et Gynecoogical Scandinavica, 65(1), 45 Lerner A.B., Case J.D., Takahashi Y., Lee T. H. and Mori W. (1958). Isolation of melatonin, the pineal gland factor that lightens melanocytes. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 80, 2587 Lertner A. B., Case J. and Heinzelman R. V. (1959). Structure of melatonin. Journal of the American

Chemical Society, 1959, 81, 6084 Levine M E. and Wojcik B.E. (1999). Alcoholic typology and season of birth. Journal of Addictive Disorders, 18(l):41

Liederman J. and Flannery K.A. (1994). Fall conception increases the risk of neurodevelopmental disorder in offspring. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology, 16(5),754

Lohmann K.J. and Johnsen S. (2000). The neurobiology of magnetoreception in vertebrate animals. Trends in Neuroscience, 23(4),153 Luce Gay Gaer. (1972). Body Time: The Natural Rythms of the Body. Maurice Temple Smith,31 McGillion F.B., Thompson G.G., Moore M.R. and Goldberg A. (1974). The passage of aminolaevulinic acid across the blood-brain barrier of the rat: effect of ethanol. Biochemical Pharmacology, 23, 472 McGillion F.B. (1980). The Opening Eye, Coventure, London, 6

McGillion F.B. (1997). A Further Look At Jung's Astrological Experiment In the Context of His Concept of Synchronicity. Jaarbook van de Interdisciplinaire Verenniging Voor Analytische Psychologie 13, 76 McGillion F.B. (1998). The Influence of Wilhelm Fliess' Cosmobiology On Sigmund Freud. Culture and Cosmos, 2/1, 33

McGillion F.B. (2001), Blinded By Starlight: The Pineal Gland and Western Astronomia (in press)

McGrath J.J. and Welham J.L. (1999). Season of birth and schizophrenia: a systematic review and metaanalysis of data from the Southern Hemisphere. Schizophrenia Research 35(3),237

Martin M. and Jones G.V. (1999). Handedness and season of birth: a gender-invariant relation. Cortex, 1,123

Mednick S.A., Machon R.A., Huttunen M.O. and Bonnett D. (1984). Schizophrenic birth seasonality in relation to incidence of infectious diseases and

temperature extremes. Archives of General Psychiatry, 41,85

Mikulecky M. and Valachova A. (1996). Lunar influence on atrial fibrillation- Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research, 29(8),1073

Mikulecky M, Moravcikova C, Czanner S (1996). Lunisolar tidal waves, geomagnetic activity and epilepsy in the light of multivariate coherence. Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research, (8),1069 Morgan J.F;. and Lacey H. (2000). Season of birth and bulimia nervosa. International Journal of Eating Disorders, 27(4),452

Natale V. and Adan A. (1999). Season of birth modulates morning-evening preference in humans. Neuroscience Letters, 274(2), 139

O'Connor R.P. and Persinger M.A. (1996). Increases in geomagnetic activity are associated with increases in thyroxine levels in a single patient: implications for melatonin levels. International Journal of Neuroscience, 88(3-4) 243

O'Connor R.P. and Persinger M.A. (1997). Geophysical variables and behavior: LXXXII. A strong association between sudden infant death syndrome and increments of global geomagnetic activity--possible support for the melatonin hypothesis. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 84(2), 395

Ohaeri J.U. (1997). The planetary positions and relationships at the dates of birth of a cohort of Nigerian schizophrenics. African Journal of Medicine and Medical Science, (3-4), 127

Oren D.A., Brainard G.C., Johnson S.H., Joseph-Vanderpool J.R., Sorek E. and Rosenthal N.E. (1991).Treatment of seasonal affective disorder with green light and red light. American Journal of Psychiatry, 148(4), 509

Parker G., Mahendran R., Koh E.S. and Machin D. (2000). Season of birth in schizophrenia: no latitude at the equator. British Journal of Psychiatry, 176, 68

Parry P.I. (1999). A simple theory for the genesis of the belief in an association between the full moon and exacerbation of psychiatric disorder. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry, 33(3), 445 Pelisek V. Kosar E. and Vaneck J. (1994). Effect of photoperiod on pineal melatonin rhythym in neonatal rats. Neuroscience Letters, 180(2), 87

Persinger M.A. (1993). Average diurnal changes in melatonin levels are associated with hourly incidence of bereavement apparitions: support for the hypothesis of temporal (limbic) lobe microseizuring. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 76(2), 444

Persinger M.A. (1995). Out-of-body-like experiences are more probable in people with elevated complex partial epileptic-like signs during periods of enhanced geomagnetic activity: a nonlinear effect. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 80(2), 63

Persinger M.A. (1999). Wars and increased solargeomagnetic activity: aggression or change in int.htmecies dominance- Perceptual and Motor Skills, 88(3 pt2), 351

Persinger M.A. and Hodge K.A. (1999). Geophysical variables and behavior: LXXXVI. Geomagnetic activity as a partial parturitional trigger - are male babies more affected than female babies- Perceptual and Motor Skills, 88(3 pt2),177

Piccardi G. (1962). The Chemical Basis of Medical Climatology. Thomas, Springfield Illinois, 146 Raison C.L., Klein H.M. and Steckler M. (1999). The moon and madness reconsidered. Journal of Affective Disorders, 53(1), 99

Randal W. (1990). The solar wind and human birth rate: a possible relationship due to magnetic disturbances. International Journal of Biometeorology, 34 (1), 42

Reiter R.J. et al ,(a) In: Altschule M.D. Ed (1975), 82 Reiter R.J. et al, (b) Ibid, 90 Reiter R.J. and Richardson B.A. (1992). Some

perturbations that disturb the circadian melatonin rhythm. Chronobiology International, 9(4), 314 Reiter R.J. (1993a). Static and extremely low frequency field exposure: reported effects on the circadian production of melatonin. Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, 51(4) 394

Reiter R.J. (1993b). Electromagnetic fields and melatonin production. Biomedecine and Pharmacotherapy, 47(10), 439

Reiter RJ (1995) Reported biological consequences related to the suppression of

melatonin by electric and magnetic field exposure. Integrative Physiological and Behavioural Science, 30(4), 314

Rezaul I., Persaud R., Takei N. and Treasure J. (1996). Season of births and eating disorders. International Journal of Eating Disorder, 19(1), 53

Richardson B.A., Yaga K., Reiter R.J. and Morton D.J. (1992). Pulsed static magnetic field effects on in-vitro pineal indoleamine metabolism. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 1137(1), 59

Roos A.M. (2000). Luminaries in medicine: Richard Mead, James Gibbs, and solar and lunar effects on the human body in early modern England. Bulletin of the History of Medicine, 74(3), 433

Rotton J. and Kelly I.W. (1985). Much ado about the full moon: a meta-analysis of lunar-lunacy research. Psychological Bulletin, 97(2), 286

Sampson P.H. (1954). Maternal behaviour in the pinealectomised rat. Cited in: Kitay J.J. and Altschule M.D. (1975), 204

Sampson P.H. and Bigelow L.B. (1971). Pineal Influence on exploratory behaviour of the female rat. Physiology and Behaviour, 7, 713

Sandyk R., Anninos P.A. and Tsagas N. (1991). Magnetic fields and seasonality of affective illness: implications for therapy. International Journal of Neuroscience, 58 (34), 261

Sans L.J. (1977). Ovulation symptoms and ovarian function in blind women. Journal of Fertility and Sterility 28(3) 277

Schmidt F., Penka B., Trauner M., Reinsperger L., Ranner G., Ebner F. and Waldhauser F. (1995). Lack of pineal growth during childhood. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, 80(4), A221 Schneider T., Thalau H.P. and Semm P. (1994). Effects of Light or different earth strength magnetic fields on the nocturnal melatonin concentration in a migratory bird. Neuroscience Letters, 168 (1-2) 73 Schultheiss-Grassi P.P. and Dobson J. (1999). Magnetic analysis of human brain tissue. Biometals, (12/1), 67-

72

Seymour P. (1988). Astrology: The Evidence Of Science. Lennard Publishing, 98

Sitar J. (1989) The effect of solar activity on lunar changes in cardiovascular mortality. Casopis Lekaru Ceskych, 128(14), 425

Sitar J. (1994). Extra terrestrial influences on health and disease. Casopis Lekaru Ceskych, 133(4), 103 Summers L. and Shur E. (1992). The relationship between onset of depression and sudden drops in solar radiation. Biological Psychiatry, 32 (12) 1164 Suzisaari J., Haukka J., Tanskanen A., Hovi T. and

Lonnqvist J. (1999). Association between prenatal exposure to poliovirus infection and adult schizophrenia. American Journal of Psychiatry, 156(7),

1100

Takata M. (1950). Cited in Dean (1976), 505 Terman M., Schlager D., Fairhurst S. and Perlinan B. (1998a). Dawn and dusk simulation as a therapeutic intervention. Biological Psychiatry, 25, 966. Terman M., Terman J.S. and Ross D.C. (1998b). A controlled trial of timed bright light and negative air

ionisation for treatment of winter depression. Archives of General Psychiatry, 55, 875

Terry K.D. and Tucker W.H. (1968). Biologic effects of

supernovae. Science, 159 (813), 421

Tester J.S. (1987). A History of Western Astrology. Boydell Press, Woodbrdge UK a,19, b,125, c,198, d,221, e,28, f, 221

Timonen S. and Carpen E. (1968). Multiple pregnancies and photoperiodicity. Annales Chirugiae et Gynacoligae Fenniae, 57, 5

Torrey E.F. (1975). Schizophrenia and season of birth. American Journal of Psychiatry, 132(1), 87.

Uviias-Moberg K., Am I., Jonsson C.O., Ek S. and Nilsonne A. (1993). The relationships between personality traits and plasma gastrin, cholecystokinin, somatostatin, insulin, and oxytocin levels in healthy women. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 37(6), 581

Vaughan M.K. and Vaughan G.M. (1974) Effect of neonatal melatonin on the subsequent functioning of adult adrenal and gonadal neuroedocrine axes. American Zoologist, 12, 674.

Wallace B. and Fisher L.E. (2001). Day persons night persons and time of birth: preliminary findings. Journal of Social Psychology, 141(1),111

Wohlfahrt J., Melbye M., Christens P., Andersen A.M. and Hjalgrim H. (1998). Secular and seasonal variation of length and weight at birth. Lancet, 352(9145), 1990.

Yaga K., Reither R.J., Manchester L.C., Nieves H., Sun J.H. and Chen L.D. (1993). Pineal Sensitivity to pulsed static magnetic field changes during the photoperiod. Brain Research Bulletin; 30(1-2), 153

Schumann W. O. (1952). "Über die strahlungslosen Eigenschwingungen einer leitenden Kugel, die von einer Luftschicht und einer Ionosphärenhülle umgeben ist".*Zeitschrift und Naturfirschung* **7a**: 149–

154. Bibcode 1952ZNatA...7..149S.

Schumann W. O. (1952). "Über die Dämpfung der elektromagnetischen Eigenschwingnugen des Systems Erde – Luft – Ionosphäre". *Zeitschrift und*

Naturfirschung**7a**: 250– 252. <u>Bibcode 1952ZNatA...7..250S</u>. Schumann W. O. (1952). "Über die Ausbreitung sehr Langer elektriseher Wellen um die Signale des Blitzes". *Nuovo Cimento* **9** (12): 1116– 1138.<u>doi:10.1007/BF02782924</u>. Schumann W. O. and H. König (1954). "Über die Beobactung von Atmospherics bei geringsten Frequenzen". *Naturwiss* **41** (8): 183